Q.B. (CGA) No. 53

COMMITTEE

ON

GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES (1970-71)

(FOURTH LOK SABHA)

TENTH REPORT

(Presented on the 15th December, 1970)

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT
NEW DELHI.

15th December, 1970/Agrahayana 24, 1892 (Saka)
Price : *Rs.* 2·55

LOK SABHA

C_O_R_R_I_G_E_N_D_A

to the

Tenth Report of the Committee on Government Assurances (Fourth Lok Sabha)

Page No.	Correction
Contents page	Appendix IV, line 2 from bottom, For 'produced' read 'reproduced'
6	Line 10 from top, For 'Sael' read 'Sale'
8	Line 20 from top, For 'Gadgil ssurances' read 'Gadgil Assurances'
9	Line 12 from top, For occuration' read 'occupation'
10	Line 3 from top, For 'Secrutiny' read 'Scrutiny'
67	Line 1 from top, For 'metter' read 'matter'
143	Line 22 from top, For '25th February, 1960' read '25th February, 1969'

New Delhi;
Dated the 21st April, 1971.

CONTENTS

		PAGE
COMPOSITION OF THE COMMITTEE		(iii)
I.	Introduction	1
II.	Sittings of the Committee	1
III.	Review of Assurances which had been been pending for a long time	2
IV.	Role of the Department of Parliamentary Affairs to ensure prompt implementation of Assurances	2
V.	Treatment of Replies of Ministers which are evasive in nature	4
VI.	Position of pending assurances relating to 3rd Lok Sabha and First to Eleventh Sessions of Fourth Lok Sabha	5
VII.	Implementation of Assurances *re*: formation of an Expert Committee to evolve measures to tackle the unemployment problem	5
VIII.	Delay in the implementation of assurances given on the Floor of the House as pointed out by Shri N.K. Somani, M. P.	6
IX.	Progress of action taken for the rehabilitation of displaced persons under the "Gadgil Assurances"	8

MINUTES

Minutes of the Fifty-seventh Sitting with Annexures held on 29-9-70	13
Minutes of the Fifty-eighth Sitting with Annexures held on 30-9-70	66
Minutes of the Fifty-ninth Sitting with Annexures held on 24-10-70	141
Minutes of the Sixtieth Sitting with Annexures held on 3-12-70	210
Minutes of the Sixty-first Sitting held on 14-12-70	217

APPENDICES

APPENDIX I	Statement showing the position of outstanding Assurances as on 28-8-70	219
APPENDIX II.	Letter dated the 27th August, 1970 from Dr. Ram Subhag Singh and nine other M.P.s. addressed to Speaker, Lok Sabha *re*: formation of an Expert Committee to tackle the 'Unemployment Problem'	220
APPENDIX III.	Letter dated the 22nd September, 1970 from Shri N, K. Somani, M.P. addressed to Speaker, Lok Sabha, complaining against the delay in the implementation of two assurances given on the Floor of Lok Sabha	222
APPENDIX IV.	Recommendations of the Chanda Committee *re*: areas to be allotted to the Squatters in Delhi/New Delhi as produced in para 47 of the 2nd Report of the Committee on Government Assurances	224

3423—LS—1

COMPOSITION OF THE COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES (1970-71)

1. Shri K. Anbazhagan—*Chairman.*
2. Shri Onkarlal Bohra
3. Shri Samar Guha
4. Dr. Karni Singh
5. Dr. Mahadeva Prasad
6. Shri Inder J. Malhotra
7. Shrimati Mohinder Kaur
8. Shri C. Muthusami
9. Shri Deorao S. Patil
10. Maulana Ishaq Sambhali
11. Shri A. T. Sarma
12. Shri Ram Awtar Sarma
13. Shri Satya Narain Singh
14. Shri Mudrika Sinha
15. Shri Ramachandra Ulaka.

SECRETARIAT

Shri M. C. Chawla—*Deputy Secretary.*

REPORT

I. Introduction

I, the Chairman of the Committee on Government Assurances, having been authorised by the Committee to present the Report on their behalf, hereby present this Tenth Report of the Committee.

2. The Committee was nominated by the Speaker with effect from the 1st May, 1970.

II. Sittings of the Committee

3. After the presentation of the Ninth Report (Fourth Lok Sabha) on the 28th August, 1970, the Committee held 5 sittings on the 29th and 30th September, 24th October, 3rd and 14th December, 1970.

4. At these sittings, the Committee considered the following items:—

(i) Requests from the Department of Parliamentary Affairs for the dropping of assurances;

(ii) Representations from the Department of Parliamentary Affairs for not treating certain replies of Ministers as assurances;

(iii) Review of assurances which had been pending implementation for a long time;

(iv) Role of the Department of Parliamentary Affairs to ensure prompt implementation of assurances;

(v) Replies of Ministers which are evasive in character;

(vi) Position of pending assurances relating to Third and Fourth Lok Sabha;

(vii) Assurance *re.* formation of an Expert Committee to evolve measures to tackle the unemployment problem;

(viii) Delay in the implementation of two assurances given on the floor of the House as pointed out by Shri N. K. Somani, M. P.; and

(ix) Progress of action taken by the Government on the observations/recommendations contained in the 7th Report

of the Committee on Government Assurances *re*: rehabilitation of displaced persons under the 'Gadgil Assurances'.

5. A brief account of the discussions held and the conclusions arrived at by the Committee on the above matters is set forth in the Minutes of the sittings of the Committee which are appended to this Report and form part of it.

6. Cases, where as a result of the discussions held, the Committee found it necessary to make certain observations|recommendations, are dealt with in detail as under:—

III. Review of assurances which had been pending implementation for a long time

7. While reviewing the pending assurances pertaining to the Sixth and Seventh Sessions of the Fourth Lok Sabha, the Committee were very critical of the persistent delay on the part of the various Ministries/Departments in the implementation of the outstanding assurances, which had been pending for nearly two years.

The Committee particularly express great concern over the inordinate delay caused in the implementation of the assurances at Sl. Nos. 10, 11, 16, 18, 21 and 22 (Annexure III to Minutes dated 29.9.1970). They are distressed to note that it had taken nearly two years to collect and supply information of such a prosaic nature, which should ordinarily have been available with the Ministry itself, such as (i) supply of a copy of charge sheet against an official (S. No. 10), (ii) collection of information regarding import of spare-parts of tractors (Sl. No. 16), (iii) supply of a copy of the Report on Police excesses at Indraprastha Bhavan, New Delhi on the 19th September, 1968 (Sl. No. 21) and (iv) collection of information regarding the appointment of a Police Commissioner for Delhi with powers of an Inspector-General (Sl. No. 22).

The Committee would reiterate their earlier recommendations as contained in para 7 of their Ninth Report (4th L.S.) wherein they had stressed that "instead of waiting for the collection of entire information, whatever information is readily available, should be laid on the Table of the House at the earliest possible opportunity. The rest of the information as and when it becomes available should be laid on the Table of the House periodically".

IV. Role of the Department of Parliamentary Affairs to ensure prompt implementation of assurances

8. The Committee were very much handicapped in their deliberations, while reviewing the outstanding assurances relating to

Fourth and Fifth Sessions, in the absence of the indication of the latest position of several cases by that Department and had desired in their Ninth Report that in future the latest position of the outstanding assurances, which were considered by the Committee should be stated by the Department of Parliamentary Affairs against each case.

Accordingly, the Department of Parliamentary Affairs had indicated the latest position against each outstanding assurance relating to Sixth and Seventh Sessions *vide* their U|O Nos. 2(7)|69—Implementation dated 24 and 29 September and 21st October 1970 which were considered by the Committee. The Committee took a serious view of the remarks of the Department of Parliamentary Affairs against majority of outstanding assurances *viz.* "No information received despite repeated reminders".

The Committee deprecate this pusillanimous approach by the Department of Parliamentary Affairs in dealing with Ministries/Departments concerned on the one hand and the sheer helplessness shown by the Department of Parliamentary Affairs on the other, which would negate the very basis of the functions of that Department, in so far as its role as a coordinating agency is concerned.

The Committee would urge that instead of merely contenting themselves by addressing the Ministries/Departments concerned in a routine manner for ensuring the prompt implementation of assurances, the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs might do well to address the Ministers concerned direct, fixing the target dates for the supply of the promised information.

9. The anxiety of the Committee in the matter of not being expeditious in the implementation of an assurance given on the floor of the House was conveyed to the Department of Parliamentary Affairs on the 3rd October, 1970 under the direction of the Committee.

10. While forwarding their comments, the Department of Parliamentary Affairs *inter alia* stated as under:—

> "Keeping in view the observations of the Committee, we have initiated a special drive to get the old pending assurances implemented more expeditiously. I might, however, mention here that we have laid Implementation Reports in the Lok Sabha in respect of as many as 603 assurances on the 31st July, 1970 and 246 assurances on the 28th August, 1970. I agree that the performance in this regard can still be improved and for this purpose we are pursuing

the outstanding cases with the concerned Ministries/Departments vigorously. We are conveying the observations of the Committee (in their 9th Report and in paras 3, 6 and 9 of the L.S.S. U.O. dated 3rd October, 1970 referred to above) on the performance of the Ministries/Departments for their information. We on our part are advising them to put their best efforts in getting the assurances implemented within the prescribed period. We also propose to take up old cases of pending assurances at the Minister's level as desired by the Committee. Para 7 of your U.O. note dated 3rd October, 1970 refers.

*** *** ***

As regards para 9 of the U.O. note under reference, we have already advised the Ministries/Departments to furnish whatever information was readily available without waiting for collection of the entire information.

As a result of a vigorous review of the outstanding assurances, we have been able to collect information from the Ministries/Departments in respect of large number of pending assurances. We will be laying those Implementation Reports in the ensuing session of Parliament".

The Committee trust that various measures adopted by the Department of Parliamentary Affairs would help facilitate the collection of information in respect of a number of pending assurances and expedite their implementation.

At the same time, keeping in view the past performance of the Ministries/Departments in regard to the implementation of assurances, the Committee would like to watch for sometime more the impact of the drive launched by the Department of Parliamentary Affairs at the Committee's instance.

V. Replies of Ministers which are evasive in nature

11. The Committee examined illustrations of certain answers to the questions containing the terms "the matter is under consideration" or "the matter is being examined" for the purpose of determining the scope of such answers to be treated as an assurance. The Committee came to the conclusion that strictly speaking most of such answers did not constitute assurances, as they did not involve any definite commitment for positive action on the part of the Government.

At the same time, the Committee feel that it would be desirable on the part of the Ministers concerned to inform the House at the earliest opportunity of the outcome of their examination of the matters referred to in their answers as "under examination|under consideration".

VI. Position of pending assurances relating to 3rd Lok Sabha and First to Eleventh Sessions of Fourth Lok Sabha

12. A statement (Appendix I), showing the position of assurances pending implementation by Government as on 28-8-1970 was considered by the Committee.

13. An analysis of the data shown in the statement would show that as on 28-8-1970 there were 6 pending assurances pertaining to the Third Lok Sabha which were still to be implemented. Out of these, three assurances have since been implemented as intimated by the Department of Parliamentary Affairs. Thus only three assurances pertaining to the 3rd Lok Sabha still remain to be implemented.

14. During the Fourth Lok Sabha, in all 10,098 assurances pertaining to the First to Eleventh Sessions had been culled out. Out of these, 7639 assurances had since been implemented leaving a balance of 2459 assurances which are still to be implemented, most of which are more than 3 months old.

The Committee would once again emphasise that Government should take vigorous steps to implement the 3 pending assurances pertaining to the Third Lok Sabha and 2459 outstanding assurances relating to First to Eleventh Sessions of Fourth Lok Sabha.

VII. Assurance regarding formation of an Expert Committee to evolve measures to tackle the unemployment problem

15. The Committee considered the letter from Dr. Ram Subhag Singh, M.P. and nine others (Appendix II) regarding the non-implementation of the assurance for the formation of an Expert Committee to tackle the unemployment problem given on the Floor of the House during the course of discussions on the Private Members' Resolutions on 'Unemployment' held on 28-11-1969 and 3-4-1970 in the light of the comments of the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation thereon.

16. The Committee noted with satisfaction the progress made in the matter as stated by the Ministry in their Note No. MP-10(42)/1970 dated the 29th September, 1970 *viz.*, "the Cabinet have since

approved the setting up of the Committee and its terms of reference. The composition of the proposed Committee, which will include Members of Parliament, Economists, Representatives of the State Governments and the concerned Central Ministers, is in the process of being finalised. The Government hopes to make an announcement in this regard soon".

The Committee hope that the appointment of the proposed Expert Committee would be announced soon.

VIII. Delay in the implementation of assurances re: performance of Rs-09 tractors and (ii) misuse and sael of imported raw materials and components by the holders of Actual Users Licences

17. The Committee considered the complaint made by Shri N. K. Somani, M.P. in his letter dated the 22nd September, 1970 (Appendix III) regarding the delay in the implementation of the following two assurances:—

(i) Assurance given in reply to USQ. No. 621 on the 26th February, 1970 (Annexure II to the Minutes dated 24-10-1970) by the Minister of Food and Agriculture and Community Development and Cooperation regarding the performance of RS-09 tractors in Andhra imported from East Germany and Rumania.

(ii) Assurance given in reply to USQ. No. 1110 on 25-2-1969 (Annexure III to the Minutes dated 24-10-1970) regarding misuse and sale of imported raw materials and componenets by the holders of Actual Users Licences.

18. In reply to parts (c) and (d) of USQ. No. 621 on 26-2-1970, the Minister had stated that a technical Team was sent to Andhra Pradesh and it had submitted its interim report which was currently under discussion with the Technical Delegation of G.D.R. which had just then arrived in the country and that the report when finalised would be placed on the Table of the House. This reply was treated as an assurance which was implemented on 28-8-1970 when the Inspection Report of RS-09 Tractors in Andhra Pradesh was laid on the Table of the House (*vide* item 55 of Supplementary Statement No. V).

19. It has been pointed out by the Member that although the inspection Report in question was finalised as early as on the 7th January, 1970, it was laid on the Table only on the 28th August, 1970, resulting in delay of about 8 months.

20. The Ministry in their note submitted to the Committee *vide* their O.M. No. F. 10-5/70-MY(S) dated 7-10-1970 had contended that "there was no delay on the part of that Ministry in fulfilling the assurance. They felt that there would have been no point in merely forwarding copies of Minutes of meetings held from time to time to Lok Sabha Secretariat until a firm commitment of the action to be taken by G.D.R. suppliers as well as a report on implementation as far as possible, had become available".

21. After some discussion, the Committee deferred the consideration of the above matter. In the meantime, the Committee desired that a copy of the Inspection Report of RS-09 tractors in Andhra Pradesh laid on the Table of the House on 28-8-1970 should be circulated to the Members for study so as to enable them to have a clearer picture of the case.

22. A copy of the Inspection Report of RS-09 tractors in Andhra Pradesh as received from the Ministry was circulated to the Members of the Committee.

23. The Committee then considered the second case of delay in the implementation of assurance arising out of the reply given to USQ. No. 1110 on the 25th February, 1969 regarding complaints of misuse and sale of imported raw materials and components by the holders of Actual Users Licences. The Member wanted to know the number and particulars of such cases apprehended in 1968 and the preventive steps taken to stop such malpractices. The Minister had given an assurance on 25th February, 1969 that the information would be laid on the Table of the House. This assurance was implemented on 28-8-1970 *vide* item No. 12 of Supplementrry Statement No. XIX laid on the Table of the House on 28-8-1970.

24. The Ministry of Foreign Trade furnished the following comments in this case *vide* their U.O. No. 4-11012|3|70-Parl. dated the 2nd November, 1970:—

> "The position with regard to the delay in the implementation of the assurance is that when such a question is put to the Minister of Foreign Trade, the information has to be collected by the Office of the Chief Controller of Imports and Exports from port offices and also, sometimes, from the C.B.I. At times, certain discrepancies are found in the information given by the Port Offices etc. In the particular case, it was observed that the information given by the C.B.I. did not tally with that given by the Chief

Controller of Imports and Exports. As such the whole exercise had to be repeated in order to get the correct information.

This Ministry have noted criticism about the delay in supplying material in response to the assurance. However, every effort will be made to avoid such delays".

25. At their sitting held on the 3rd December, 1970, the Committee considered both these cases in the light of the comments offered by the Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Community Development and Cooperation and the Ministry of Foreign Trade. The Committee felt satisfied with the efforts made by the Ministries concerned to ensure the implementation of the assurances and appreciated that under the circumstances the delay was unavoidable. **After considering the matter in all its perspective, the Committee have decided to drop the matter.**

IX. Rehabilitation of displaced persons under the 'Gadgil Assurances'

26. In their Seventh Report presented to the House on 13-12-1969, the Committee had made certain observations/recommendations in regard to the rehabilitation of displaced persons who were covered under the 'Gadgil ssurances'. The Ministry of Health and Family Planning and Works, Housing and Urban Development were asked to intimate the latest position for the information of the Committee by the 31st March, 1970.

27. While intimating the progress of action taken on the observations/recommendations of the Committee, the Ministry of Health and Family Planning and Works, Housing and Urban Development requested for an extension of the time-limit for the rehabilitation of displaced persons till the end of October, 1970 in view of cetrain difficulties experienced by them in the assessment of claims of displaced persons.

28. While appreciating the magnitude of the problem of determining the eligibilty of the claimants for getting relief under the 'Gadgil Assurances' and keeping in view the time and labour involved in the assessment of their claims, the Committee agreed to the request made by Government for the extension of time-limit upto the end of October, 1970.

29. The Ministry furnished to the Committee the latest position in respect of the following categories of displaced persons for their

rehabilitation under the 'Gadgil Assurances' *vide* their O.M. No. LII-15(2)/68—Vol—II dated the 31st October, 1970 (Annexure I to Minutes dated 3.12.70):—

(i) *Squatters on Panchkuin Road, under the control of the Land and Development Officer.*

30. In September, 1969, the Ministry had promised to rehabilitate the twelve eligible squatters of Panchkuin Road whose cases had been accepted by the Government which were coming within the jurisdiction of the Land and Development Officer, within six months (c. f. para 19 of 7th Report presented to Lok Sabha on 13-12-1969).

31. The Ministry have now stated that "the question of regularisation of occuration of one squatter on Panchkuin Road, New Delhi is being considered in consultation with the Ministry of Finance and a decision is likely to be taken shortly. As regards the remaining eleven squatters, necessary instructions have also been issued to the Central Public Works, Department to develop some land in New Rajinder Nagar, New Delhi, and carve out eleven plots for the rehabilitation of those squatters as soon as the approval of the Minicipal Corporation of Delhi to the layout plan is received. The Corporation has been requested to approve the layout without delay".

The Committee are distressed to observe that the rehabilitation of these twelve persons whose eligibility has been accepted by the Government long ago has still not been settled finally on one ground or the other, inspite of the promises made by the Ministry from time to time.

(ii) *Khokawallahs squatting on road-berms in areas under the Municipal Corporation of Delhi*

32. While dealing with the question of rehabilitation of 625 khokawallahs in Delhi, the Committee had urged upon the Government to take immediate steps to provide shops on a regular basis in the developed colonies to the eligible khokawallahs. The Committee had also desired that the rehabilitation of these persons should be completed within a few months which should not be later than the 31st March, 1970 (c. f. para 25 of 7th Report presented to Lok Sabha on 13-12-1970). This date was further extended upto 31st October, 1970 on a request from the Ministry.

33. The Department of Works, Housing and Urban Development have now stated that "their (khokawallahs) eligibility is now being

determined by the Delhi Development Authority. About 130 persons have so far been found eligible to receive relief. The cases of the other persons are under secrutiny. The Delhi Development Authority is being asked to finalise the case and rehabilitate the eligible persons by providing them alternative accommodation".

The Committee regret to note the slow pace of determining the eligibility of the khokawallahs and impress upon the authorities concerned to expedite the final settlement of their cases.

(iii) *Squatters on land under the control of Delhi Development Authority*

34. In November, 1969, during the coυrse of evidence before the Committee, the representatives of the Department of Works, Housing and Urban Development had stated that in all about 5,000 applications (including 625 from khokawallahs) were received in response to a public announcement made by Delhi Development Authority who considered themselves to be eligible for assistance under the 'Gadgil Assurances'.

35. A Five-man Scrυtinising Çommittee of the Delhi Development Authority had been set up to scrutinize these applications and to determine their eligibility. The Scrutinising Committee had been asked by the Ministry to complete the work within 60 days say by 5th January, 1970.

36. The Committee had expressed the hope that the scrutiny of the applications would proceed apace and the work would be finished within the stipulated period (c. f. para 20 of 7th Report). This date was further extended upto 31-10-1970 at the request of the Ministry.

37. While stating the latest position, the Department of Works, Housing and Urban Development have now stated as under:—

> "In response to the announcement made by the Delhi Development Authority, about 5,000 persons submitted applications, claiming themselves to be covered by the 'Gadgil Assurances'. A Committee of senior officers was specifically set up to determine the eligibility of the applicants.

After careful scrutiny, about 1,225 persons appear to be eligible. However, out of them, the eligiblity of 662 persons has been finally determined and their list is being published. The eligibility of the remaining persons is being verified from the records in the office of the Delhi Development Authority. The Authority is being requested to start allotting alternative plots to the persons who are eligible".

The Committee are dismayed over appallingly slow progress in determining the eligibility of the displaced persons for relief under the 'Gadgil Assurances', which had been pending for the last two decades and more. The Committee are unable to appreciate the basis for rejecting the claims of about 3,000 displaced persons who have not been found eligible by the authorities.

38. The Committee have also noted that the Ministry have given the area/category-wise position in respect of only two areas|categories *viz.*, 'Panchkuin Road' and 'khokawallahs' whereas the Chanda Committee had made recommendations regarding rehabilitation of displaced persons in respect of nine areas (Appendix IV). The recommendations of the Chanda Committee were also endorsed by the Committee on Government Assurances *vide* para 48 of their Second Report presented to the House on 26-4-1968.

The Committee would like to be apprised of the latest position in regard to the rehabilitation of the displaced persons in other areas also.

The Committee observe that the entire problem of the rehabilitation of displaced persons, under the 'Gadgil Assurances', is still in a fluid stage, and has been dealt with in a casual manner. The Committee are amazed to observe that inspite of the various steps taken by the authorities concerned, the problem is nowhere near any solution.

The Committee have decided to examine the representatives of the Ministry of Health and Family Planning and Works, Housing and Urban Development, Delhi Development Authority, Delhi Administration and Municipal Corporation of Delhi to thrash out the

whole matter which had been hanging fire for over twenty years and thus help its solution for all time to come.

K. ANBAZHAGAN,

Chairman,

Committee on Government Assurances.

NEW DELHI;

December 14, 1970.

Agrahayana 23, 1892 (*Saka*).

MINUTES

I. Fifty-seventh sitting

(*See* para 5 of Report)

The Committee met on Tuesday, the 29th September, 1970 from 14.00 hours to 16.00 hours.

PRESENT

Shri K. Anbazhagan—*Chairman*

MEMBERS

2. Shri Onkar Lal Bohra
3. Shri Samar Guha
4. Dr. Mahadeva Prasad
5. Shri Inder J. Malhotra
6. Shrimati Mohinder Kaur
7. Shri Deorao S. Patil
8. Shri A. T. Sarma
9. Shri Ram Awtar Sharma
10. Shri Mudrika Sinha
11. Shri Ramachandra Ulaka.

SECRETARIAT

Shri M. C. Chawla—*Deputy Secretary*

2. At the outset, the Committee passed the following resolution condoling the death of Shri A. S. Saigal, M.P.:—

> "The Committee place on record their profound sense of sorrow over the passing away of their esteemed colleague Shri A. S. Saigal, M.P., and send their heartfelt condolences to members of the bereaved family."

The Members thereafter stood in silence for one minute as a mark of respect to his memory.

3. The Committee then took up consideration of Memoranda Nos. 92, 93, 95 and 96. The observations/recommendations of the Com-

mittee on these Memoranda are as under:—

MEMORANDUM No. 92—Requests from the Department of Parliamentary Affairs for dropping of six assurances

4. The Committee took up for consideration the requests made by the Department of Parliamentary Affairs for the dropping of six Assurances (Annexure-I).

After examining the reasons advanced by Government in each case, the Committee agreed to the dropping of the following six assurances:—

(1) Assurance arising out of the reply given to Starred Question No. 1330 on the 25th April, 1969 by Shri Yajna Datt Sharma and Shri Jugal Mondal regarding Enquiry into construction of Ashoka Hotel Annexe and Revolving Tower.

(2) Assurance arising out of the reply given to a supplementary by Shri R. K. Birla on Starred Question No. 1683 on the 18th May, 1970 regarding Market Borrowings during the current Financial Year.

(3) Assurance arising out of the reply given to Starred Question No. 901 on the 9th April, 1970 by Shri M. Meghachandra regarding Sale of Paddy by Manipur Administration to Food Corporation of India.

(4) Assurance arising out of the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 8072 on the 30th April, 1970 by Shri Lobo Prabhu regarding Establishment of Service Station for Tractors in Jalaun District.

(5) Assurance arising out of the reply given to a supplementary by Shri Jyotirmoy Basu on Short Notice Question No. 20 on the 17th April, 1970 regarding Contract with Japan for Ore Export.

(6) Assurance arising out of the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 4710 on the 1st April, 1970 by Shri Lakhan Lal Gupta and others regarding Completion of Satiara Dam, Madhya Pradesh.

MEMORANDUM No. 93—Treatment of certain replies of Ministers as assurances

5. The Committee then considered the reasons advanced by the Department of Parliamentary Affairs for not treating the replies

(Annexure-II) given to the following questions/debates as assurances:—

(1) Unstarred Question No. 3544 by Shri S. M. Banerjee, answered on 10th December, 1969 regarding formation of a Defence Production Board.

(2) Starred Question No. 57 by Shri Indrajit Gupta and others, answered on 24th February, 1970 regarding recruitment rules for posts under Social Welfare Department.

(3) Clarificatory question by Shri D. K. Kunte regarding requisitioning of land and paying of compensation during discussion on Demands for Grants, Ministry of Defence on 22nd April, 1969.

(4) Unstarred Question No. 4628 by Shri Ram Swarup Vidyarthi, answered on 31st March, 1970 regarding seniority list of works Mistries on Central Railways.

The Committee after considering the reasons advanced by the Department of Parliamentary Affairs agreed to the plea taken by them that the replies given by the Government to the questions/debates at Serial Nos. 1, 3 and 4 above might not be treated as assurances. The Committee were not convinced with the reasons advanced by the Department of Parliamentary Affairs in regard to the reply given to question at Serial No. 2 above and felt that it did constitute an assurance.

MEMORANDUM No. 95—Replies of Ministers which are evasive in nature

6. Some illustrations of certain answers to the questions containing the terms "the matter is under consideration" or "the matter is being examined" (Annexure to Memo. 95) were perused by the Committee for the purpose of determining the scope of such answers to be treated as an assurance.

After examining few cases, the Committee came to the conclusion that strictly speaking most of such answers did not constitute as assurances, as they did not involve any definite commitment for positive action on the part of the Government.

The Committee further observed that as at present the answers containing the terms "the matter is under examination/considera-

tion" did not cover the specific points raised through the questions and were evasive in character, which did not lead the House to any conclusion.

The Committee felt that it would be desirable on the part of the Ministers concerned to inform the House at the earliest opportunity of the outcome of their examination of the matters referred to in their answers as "under examination/under consideration".

MEMORANDUM No. 96—Review of pending assurances pertaining to Sixth Session of Fourth Lok Sabha

7. The Committee then took up consideration of 61 outstanding assurances pertaining to Sixth Session of Fourth Lok Sabha, which had been pending implementation for nearly two years. While reviewing these assurances in the light of the latest comments offered by the Department of Parliamentary Affairs against each pending assurance, the Committee were distressed to note that the Department of Parliamentary Affairs in a majority of cases had remarked that "No information received despite repeated reminders."

8. The Committee were also distressed to observe the sheer helplessness of the Department of Parliamentary Affairs, whose primary function was to act as a coordinating agency for getting the assurances implemented promptly and expeditiously.

9. The Committee could not help feeling that this sort of indifferent attitude on the part of Ministries/Departments on the one hand and the lack of initiative and helplessness shown by the Department of Parliamentary Affairs on the other would frustrate the very basis of the functions of that Department, in so far as its role as a coordinating agency was concerned.

10. In order to achieve some positive results, the Committee desired that instead of merely addressing the Ministries/Departments concerned in a routine manner for prompt implementation of assurances, the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs might better address the Ministers concerned direct, fixing target dates for the supply of the promised information.

11. The Committee also noted from the comments of the Department of Parliamentary Affairs against certain assurances that although the English version of the required information was ready with them, it had been withheld for want of Hindi version. The Committee could not appreciate the logic for withholding information from the House for want of translated version, which in the opinion of the Committee would unnecessarily delay the implementation of the assurances.

12. In this connection, the Committee reiterated their earlier recommendation as contained in para 7 of their Ninth Report, wherein the Committee had stressed that "instead of waiting for the collection of entire information, whatever information was readily available, should be laid on the Table of the House at the earliest possible opportunity. The rest of the information as and when it becomes available should be laid on the Table of the House periodically".

13. Accordingly, the Committee decided that in respect of the following pending assurances, whatever information was available with Government should be laid on the Table of the House at the earliest possible opportunity:—

Sl. Nos. 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 12, 13, 15, 27, 28, 29, 31 to 34, 36, 37, 39, 40, 41, 42, 45, 46, 48, 49, 55, 59, 60, 62, 69, 71, 73, 74, 75, 80 (Annexure-III).

14. The Committee while expressing their dissatisfaction over the delay in the implementation of the following assurances desired that the latest position of the cases referred to in these assurances should be furnished to the House early:—

Sl. Nos. 7, 19, 20, 23, 25, 30, 38, 43, 44, 51, 52, 57, 58, 61, 68, 70, 77, 79, 81, and 82 (Annexure—III).

15. The Committee were particularly critical of the inordinate delay involved in the implementation of the assurances at Sl. Nos. 10, 11, 16, 18, 21 and 22 (Annexure—III) and were distressed to note that it had taken nearly two years to collect and supply information of a very simple nature, which should ordinarily have been available with the Ministry itself, such as (i) supply of a copy of charge sheet against an official (S. No. 10), (ii) collection of information regarding import of tractors spare-parts (Sl. No. 16), (iii) supply of a copy of the Report on Police excesses at Indraprastha Bhavan on the 19th September, 1968 (Sl. No. 21) and (iv) collection of information regarding appointment of a Police Commissioner for Delhi with powers of an Inspector General (Sl. No. 22).

16. The Committee took a very serious view of the persistent delay on the part of the various Ministries/ Departments in the implementation of the assurances, which had been pending for nearly two years and directed that a detailed note should be addressed to the Department of Parliamentary Affairs incorporating the various observations/recommendations made by the Committee during the course of their deliberations.

17. The Committee also desires that a further note from the Chairman on the lines of the note already sent to the Speaker previously may be sent to him, expressing the grave concern of the Committee on the persistent delay on the part of the Ministries/Departments in the implementation of assurances and also highlighting the deliberations of the Committee as stated above.

The Committee then adjourned till 14.00 *hours on Wednesday, the* 30*th September*, 1970 *to consider residuary items on the Agenda.*

ANNEXURE I

(*Vide* para 4 of Minutes dated 29-9-70)

Statement showing the Assurances proposed to be dropped by the Department of Parliamentary Affairs with the reasons therefor.

No. Date and Reference	Subject	Promise made	Reasons advanced by the D. P. A. for dropping the assurances
1 2	3	4	5
MINISTRY OF TOURISM AND CIVIL AVIATION			
1. SQ. No. 1330 dated the 25th April, 1969 by Shri Yajna Datt Sharma and Shri Jugal Mondal.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 4363 on the 13th December, 1968 and asking : (a) whether the report of enquiry conducted in to the irregularities committed into the construction of the Ashoka Hotel Annexe and the Revolving Tower has since been examined ; and (b) if so the details of the report and the decision taken by Government threreon.	(a) and (b) The findings of the Enquiry Committee have been communicated to the Ashoka Hotels Ltd. and the matter is being Processed.	Since the matter is under consideration of the Committee on Public Undertakings, it is proposed to delete the assurance from the list of pending assurances, subject to the approval of the Committee on Government Assurances.
MINISTRY OF FINANCE			
Market Borrowings during the Current financial Year.			
2. Supplementary SQ No. 1683, dated the 18th May, 1970 by Shri R. K. Birla.	Asking in what proportion the financial institutions-the Reserve Bank, the nationalised banks, concerns in the Private Sector and indivduals who are most important—have responded to the Cntral Government's borrowings this year as well as last year.	The Minister said, "I am sorryr, the break-up in this form is not available with me at present but I will supply the information to the hon. Member."	The matter has been considered at the instance of the Ministry of Finance. It has been represented by them that soon after a market loan floated by the Government is closed, it is usual to make a statement apprising Parliament of the total subscrptions received —the statement in relation to the last market loan, namely the 5½ loan, 2000, was made.

1	2	3	4	5
				in Parliament on the 14th April, 1970. It will be appreciated that the total subscriptions to a Loan having been communicated to Parliament, disclosure of the proportion subscribed by the Financial Institution would tentamount to disclosure of the amount subscribed by them. Accordingly it will not be in the public interest to disclose the proportions in whch Financial Institutions responded to Govt. borrowings. In the Circumstances explained above, it is proposed to delete the assurances subject to the approval of Committee on Govt. Assurances.

MINISTRY OF FOOD, AGRICULTURE, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT & COOPERATION

Sale of Paddy by Manipur Administration to Food Corporation of India.

3.	SQ. No. 901, dated the 9th April, 1970, by Shri M. Meghchandra	(a) whether the Manipur Administration is making arrangement with Food Corporation of India this year; (b) if so, the amount of paddy to be sold and the rate at which the Food Corporation is purchasing. (c) whether the Manipur Administration is making arrangement with The Food Corporation of India for the latter's purchase of paddy from the peasants and landowners who want to sell paddy at a fair price ;	(c) and (d). The matter is under consideration of the Food Corporation of India.	The Ministry of Food, Agriculture Community Development & Cooperation have represented that the statement "The matter is under consideration of the Food Corporation of India" gave the factual position with no commitments to make a further report to the House on the outcome of the consideration of the proposal by the Food Corporation of India. In view of this position, the Ministry have expressed their inability to accept tne statement as an assurance and hence requested for its deletion from the list of pending assurances. Accordingly it is proposed to drop the

	and (d) if so, the nature of the arrangement.		assurances subject to the approval of the Committee of Government Assurances.

Establishment of Service Station for Tractors in Jalaun District

4 U.S.Q. No. 8072, dated the 30th April, 1970, by Shri Lobo Prabhu:	(a) with reference to report in Times of India dated the 12th April, 1970 why the Ministry has not helped to establish a service station for 2·200 tractors in Jalaun District, 500 of which are idle for want of repairs ; and (b) whether Government propose to order a survey district-wise of the number of tractors and the service station available for them.	(b) The State Government are already collecting the necessary information.	The Matter was taken up by the Department of Agriculture with the Government of Uttar Pradesh, who have now stated as under :— "What was actually intended to be conveyed in the report made in this State Government's telegram of April 23, 1970, that "State Government are already collecting the necessary information' was that the State Government had already been collecting information on a quarterly basis about the tractor population in the districts and in view of the existing arrangement for collection of data about tractor population no fresh survey was proposed to be ordered. It will thus be seen that no assurance was involved in the State Government's report and none is to be fulfilled by them. The inconvienience, if any caused to the Government of India is regretted." In view of the position explained above, it is proposed to delete this from the pending list of Assurances. Lok Sabha Secretariat may kindly place it before the Committee on Government Assurances

1	2	3	4	5
		MINISTRY OF FOREIGN TRADE		
5	SNQ. No. 20, dated the 17th April, 1970—Supplementary Question by Shri Jyotirmoy Basu.	Asking what steps the Government is going to take to check under-invoicing of metal content as well as weight in case of exports through Goa by Private Parties.	The Minister said, "Even in the case of export by private parties through Goa there is no under-invoicing of the content and the price charged is a fair price. I have also not come across any complaint regarding the weight. But if the hon. Member makes a complaint that there is under weighting by the private parties, I will certainly look into it.	The matter has been reconsidered at the instance of the Ministry of Foreign Trade. It is represented by them that in reply to the above said Question the Minister stated the factual position which is reproduced below :— "Even in the case of Export by private parties through Goa there is no under-invoicing of the content and the price charged is a fair price. I have also not come across any complaint regarding the weight. But if the hon. Member makes a complaint that there is under weighting by the private parties, I will certainly look into it.' In pursuance of the above statement, when any complaint is received the Ministry would no doubt look into it properly. In the circumstances it is proposed to delete this item of assurance subject to the approval of the Committee on Government Assurances.
		MINISTRY OF IRRIGATION AND POWER		
6	U.S.Q. No. 4710, dated the 1st April, 1970 by Shri Lakhan Lal Gupta and others.	(a) whehther the Government have accepted the necessity for the execution of the Mahanadi Reservoir (Stajara) Project during the Fourth Plan for meeting the additional water requirements for the expansion of the Bhilai Steel Plant ;	(a) to (d). The proposal to construct Mahanadi Reservoir Project to meet the water requirements of expanded Bhilai Steel Plant is under consideration of the Government.	The Ministry of Irrigation and Power have informed that the Mahanadi Reservoir project is linked with the question of expansion of Bhilai Steel Plant and setting up of Refractory Products Plant, which matters. are under the consideration of the Ministry of Steel and Heavy Engineering.

(b) whether the project report submitted by the Madhya Pradesh Government to the Central Government has been finally approved ;

(c) if so when the execution of the project is likely to be started ; and

(d) what financial assistance is being given by the Central Government to the Madhya Pradesh Government for this project.

In fact the question has answered on the basis on material supplied by that Ministry and stated tne factual position.

In view of the position stated above it is requested that the reply given to U.S.Q. 4710 on 1st April, 1970 by the Union Deputy Minister of Irrigation and Power may not be treated as an assurance and the Committee on Government Assurances may kindy be approcached for its deletion.

ANNEXURE II

(*Vide* para of Minutes dated 29-9-70)

Statement showing the replies proposed not to be treated by the Department of Parliamentary Affairs as Assurances with the reasons therefor

S. No.	Date and Reference	Question	Answer	Reasons advanced by the D.P.A. for not treating the reply as Assurance
1	2	3	4	5
		MINISTRY OF DEFENCE		
		Formation of a Defence Production Board		
1.	U.S.Q. No. 3544 dated the 10th December, 1969 by Shri S.M. Banerjee.	(a) whether it is a fact that Government have taken a final decision regarding the formation of a Defence Production Board ; (b) if so, the composition of this Board; and (c) whether the employees' representatives are being included in the Board.	(a) to (c) Presumably the Hon'ble Member has in mind the proposal regarding the setting up of the Ordnance Production Board. This proposal is still under examination by Government.	The reply given to part (a) to (c) of the question stated the factual position and did not imply an assurance to furnish further information to the House. Moreover, the proposal for setting up of Ordnance Production Board raises certain major issues which have to be examined in consultation with the concerned Ministries in all their aspects, financial, administrative etc. The approval of the defence Committee of the Cabinet has also to be obtained and it is not possible to say when a final decision on the proposal will be reached. In the circumstances explained above, it is proposed not to treat this item as an assurance.
		MINISTRY OF LAW AND SOCIAL WELFARE		
2.	S.Q. No. 57, dated the 24th February, 1970	(a) whether rules for recruitment for the following posts under the Depart-	(a) to (c) The Recruitment Rules for the post of Ad-	The Department of Social Welfare were consulted in the matter. It is observed that

	by Shri Indrajit Gupta and others.	ment of Social Welfare have been framed ; (i) Adviser. Social Welfare; (ii) Secretary, Central Social Welfare Board ; (iii) Director, Bureau of Correctional Services ; and (iv) Director, Social Welfare and Rehabilitation : (b) whether these rules have been approved by the U.P.S.C ; (c) whether copies of the rules will be laid on the Table of the House; and (d) if the reply to part (a) above be in the negative, when the recruitment rules will be framed ?	viser (Social Welfare) have been framed in consultation with the Union Public Service Commission. A Copy thereof is being laid on the Table of the House. The Recruitment Rules for the other posts have not yet been framed. (d) Action to frame the remaining Recruitment Rules is being taken and it is expected that they will be finalised shortly.	reply to the Question was complete and no assurance was given to submit a further report to the House. It is requested that this item may not be treated as an assurance.
3	Discussion on Demands for Grants in respect of the Ministry of Defence on 22-4-1969.	He (Shri D.K. Kunte) raised another point about requisition of lands. That is a matter which we are examining very carefully. Regarding lands which have been under requisition for a long time, we are very carefully examining and we are either de-requisitioning or paying adequate compensation. In fact, there was a big backlog in this respect and from last year there is an annual provision of Rs. 5 crores which is utilized for acquiring lands which have been under requisition for a long time. And I think that this matter which has been hanging fire for a long time will be sorted out	The Committee were of the view that the replies given by Government to the above debate did constitute assurances and directed that the Department of Parliamentary Affairs might be asked to forward the relevant statements of assurances relating thereto to the Lok Sabha Secretariat at an early date.	The observations made by Shri Kunte did not relate to any particular piece of land requisitioned by the Ministry of Defence, for if he had done so, it would have been possible to give further information in regard to that particular land. As stated by the Defence Minister in his speech in the Lok Sabha on the 22nd April, 1969, the policy in regard to the review of the cases under requisition was already under implementation, that there is a constant review of the lands under requisition and that an expenditure of Rs. 5 crores will be utilized to acquire the requisitioned lands needed on long a term basis.

1	2	3	4	5
		before long and we will either pay compensation or de-requisition and release the lands.		In this connection, attention is also invited to the answer given in the Lok Sabha to Unstarred Question No. 3545 on the 18th March, 1970, wherein it was stated that out of a total area of 86,658 acres of land under requisition, under the Requisitioning and Acquisition of Immoval Property Act, 1952, the area sanctioned so far for acquisition is approximately 41,180 acres. The area derequisitioned or approved for derequisitioning is 5,912 acres. Periodical reviews are undertaken to ensure early decision on acquisition of such properties as are permanently required and to derequisition of other land. It may also be added that an expenditure of approximately Rs. 5 crore has been incurred during 1969-70 for the acquisition of lands. In view of foregoing position it will be observed that the assurance relating to point raised by Shri Kunte thus stands fully implemented and is proposed to be dropped from the list of pending assurances, subject to the approval of the Committee on Government Assurances.
		MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS		
		Seniority List of Works Mistries on Central Railway		
4	U.S.Q. No. 4628 dated the 31st March, 1970 by Shri Ram Swarup Vidyarthi.	(a) whether it is a fact that the seniority list of the Works Mistries working in open line and Construction Branch has not been published so far on the Central Railway ; and	(a) and (b) Seniority list of Works Mistries working on open line has been published. Such a list of the Works	It would be observed that parts (a) & (b) of the question were fully answered without involving an assurance for a further report to the House. It is, therefore, requested that the item under reference may not be

(b) if so, the reasons therefor and the steps being taken in this direction?	Mistries in the Construction Organisation is, however, under preparation and will be published shortly.	treated as an assurance.

ANNEXURE III

(*Vide* para 13 of Minutes dated 29-9-70)

Pending Assurances pertaining to the Sixth Session, 1968 of Fourth Lok Sabha

S. No.	Date and Reference	Subject	Promise made	Remarks of D.P.A. as on 24-9-70
1	2	3	4	5
		SIXTH SESSION, 1968		
		DEPARTMENT OF COMUNICATIONS		
1	S.N.Q. No. 14 dated the 18th December, 1968—Supplementary question by Shri K. Narayana Rao.	Referring to the withholding of telegrams of the U.P. teachers who were on strike and asking whether it was done by the concerned officer bv issuing a general order prohibiting the sending of telegrams in connection with strikes as such or there was individual discretion with reference to the merits of each telegram and further asking in view of these things will Government consider the matter further and see that even if a general order is issued, the merits and demerits of the order are also taken into consideration before it is accepted.	The Minister stated,"There is no general order ; whenever any officer feels anything, he does consult the appropriate State authority, and it was in pursuance of this that this had happened. Anyway, as has been suggested by the hon. Member, I shall examine the matter."	Since implemented.
2	S.N.Q. No. 14, dated the 18th December, 1968 Supplementary Question by Shri Shivaji Roa S. Deshmukh.	Asking : what action Government propose to take against the officer for the wrong exercise of discretion of withholding the telegrams of U.P. teachers who were on strike constructing it as an	The Minister promised to go into the matter.	Since Implemented.

act of indiscipline.

MINISTRY OF COMMERCE

3	U.S.Q. No. 2230, dated the 26th November, 1968 by Shri K.M. Koushik.	Referring to the reply given to Starred Question No. 771 on the 21st May, 1968 and asking : (a) the steps proposed to be taken to improve the village economy ; and (b) whether such measures have tried in any particular area and if so, with what result ?	(a) and (b). the information regarding measures to improve village economy through the development of village industries is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House in due course.	No information received despite repeated reminders.
4	U.S.Q. No. 2242, dated the 26th November, 1968 by Shri Abdul Ghani Dar.	(a) the total value of exports to and imports from each country during the years 1966-67, 1967-68 and 1968-69 (upto 10th October, 1968) and the value of wool, wool-tops yarn, Stainless-steel, Steel-wires and mutton tallow imported during the period. (b) the percentage to total value of exports and imports handled by the State Trading Corporation or by any other Government agency ; (c) whether these exports and imports were undertaken by the State Trading Corporation itself or through any commission agent ; (d) thr exact procedure adopted by the S.T.C. for trade through the Commission agents and the amount of commission paid to them during this period.	(a) to (f). Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	-Do-

1	2	3	4	5
		(e) the percentage to total value of exports made by the private parties during this period and the total amount granted to them by way of incentives for the export of woollen and other textile goods ; and (f) the steps taken by Government to exercise control on the consumption and prices of imported goods.		
5	U.S.Q. No. 3943, dated the 10th December, 1968 by Shri Maharaj Singh Bharati.	(a) the percentage to the total value of exports and imports undertaken by the Public Sector during the year 1967-68 and the extent to which the percentage of foreign trade is likely to be augmented by the Public Sector by the end of the Fourth Five Year Plan ; and (b) the areas where the said trade is likely to be developed.	(*a*) *and* (*b*). Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	No information received despite repeated reminders.
6	U.S.Q. No. 4083, dated the 10th December, 1968 by Shri C. Chittybabu.	(a) whether Government are aware that the importers of stainless steel and synthetic fabrics in India are either the sister concerns or the collaborators of the firms in Nepal which export these items. (b) whether the exporting firm in Nepal and importing firm in India for the Synthetic fabrics and stainless steel have the same partners or directors or their near relatives ; and (c) if so, the names and addresses of the exporting firms in Nepal and the importers in India who imported synthetic fabrics during the year 1967-68.	(*a*) *to* (*c*). The requisite information is being collected and will be placed on the Table of the House.	Since implemented.

S. No.	Date and Reference	Subject	Promise made	Observations of the Committee	Remarks of DPA as on 24.9.70
7.	U.S.Q. No. 4875, dated the 17th December, 1968 by Shri Vasudevan Nair.	(a) whether Government have taken any decision on the Abdullah Committee Report on Small Scale Rubber Cultivators; (b) if so, the decision taken thereon ; and (c) if not, the reasons for the delay.	(a) *to* (c). The recommendations made by the Committee are being examined in the light of the Rubber Board's comments which have since been received.	The Committee were not convinced with the reasons advanced by the D.P.A. for dropping the assurance in question and directed that Government should state the outcome of the examination of the recommendations made by the Abdullah Committee on Small Scale Rubber Cultivators. [Min. C.G.A. dt. 2-7-69 para 5 (vi)].	No information received despite repeated reminders.
		MINISTRY OF EDUCATION			
8.	U.S.Q. No. 781, dated the 15th November, 1968 by Shri Hem Raj.	(a) the pay scales that have been sanctioned to the teachers of Himachal Pradesh ; (b) how do they compare with the pay scales given by the Punjab Government to its teachers under the Kothari Commission Report ; and (c) the details showing comparative pay scales of teachers of both the Governments.	(a) *to* (c). The required details of the information are being collected and will be placed on the Table of the Sabha as soon as possible.		English version received. Hindi version awaited.
9.	U.S.Q. No. 4459, dated the 18th December, 1968 by Shri M. Meghachandra.	(a) whether a seminar was held at Imphal on 27th and 28th October, 1968 on the question of deciding the	(a) *to* (f). The requisite information is being collected from the Manipur		English version received. Hindi version still awaited.

1	2	3	4	5	6
		differences on the "Meitie Mayak" Meitie Script ;	Administration and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha in due course.		
		(b) if so, the decision of the seminar ;			
		(c) whether the Government of Manipur made any grant to the organisers of the seminar ;			
		(d) if so, the amount of the grant ;			
		(e) whether it is a fact that many delegates and visitors walked out from the seminar on the 27th October, 1968 ; and			
		(f) if so, the reason therefor.			
10.	S.Q. No. 875, dated the 20th December, 1968 by Shri Onkar Lal Berwa and Shri Yashpal Singh.	Enquiry against Secretary of Indian Council for Cultural Relations.	During the course of supplementary the Minister promised to place on the Table a copy of the charges made by Shri V.M. Chordia against the Secretary of the conucil.	No information received despite repeated reminders.	
			MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS		
11.	U.S.Q. No. 4983, dated the 18th Dec. 1968 by Shri Bhola Nath Master and Shri Ram Avtar Sharma.	(a) whether it is a fact that a boy dressed as a girl obtained a passport for London in the name of Joginder Kaur and on being detected there he was sent back to India by the same plane by which he had flown to London ; and	(*a*) *and* (*b*). The matter is being looked into and a report will be laid on the Table of the House.		

		(b) if so, the action taken against the Officer concerned for issuing bogus passport.		
		MINISTRY OF FINANCE		
12.	U.S.Q. No. 968, dated the 18th November, 1968 by Shri Kashi Nath Pandey.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 2526 on the 5th August, 1968 and asking : (a) whether the information regarding the total amount deposited in foreign banks as on the 31st March, 1968 by 38 Ex-Princes and 11 members of Princely families has since been collected ; (b) if so, the details thereof ; and (c) the total amount paid collectively on the items during any year of completed assessments if the Income Tax and Wealth Tax are paid in India.	(*a*) *to* (*c*). The information relating to Lok Sabha Unstarred Question No. 2526 dated the 5th August, 1968 is still under collection and the same will be laid on the Table of the House soon.	Received incomplete information. Matter referred back to the Ministry.
13	U.S.Q. No. 2906, dated the 2nd December, 1968 by Shri S.R. Damani.	Referring to the reply given to Starred Question No. 424 on the 12th August, 1968 and asking : (a) the details of the demand estimates in respect of products of the 14 enterpises ; (b) the year in which actual production and demand in respect of each enterprise tallied with the estimates ; (c) the years in which each of the enterprises yeild profits and the per centage of profit to the relative investment,	(*a*) *to* (*e*). The detailed information asked for in the Question is being collected from the concerned enterprises and will be laid on the Table of the House as soon as possible.	Complete information received. To be laid next Session.

1	2	3	4	5
		or the details of benefits accrued such as saving of foreign exchange ; (d) the details of technical reasons like breakdowns in machinery, power failures etc. in each enterprises which contributed to under-utilisation of capacity and the loss accrued in each case ; and (e) the estimated future demand for the products over the next five years and whether that would result in full utilisation of capacity and the expected return on capital invested.		
14	U.S.Q. No. 4592, dated the 16th December, 1968 by Shri Kanwar Lal Gupta.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 5948 on the 1st April, 1968 and asking for the total amount deposited in the 1220 accounts in the banks abroad.	The Information is being collected and will soon be laid on the Table of the House.	Since implemented.
		MINISTRY OF FOOD, AGRICULTURE, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND COOPERATION		
15	U.S.Q. No. 1649, dated the 21st November, 1968 by Shri Ram Charan.	(a) the number of Government and authorised private slaughter-houses in the country ; (b) the years in which each of them was constructed ; (c) the number of oxen, calves, cows and bulls slaughtered during the last five years, year-wise ; (d) the value of meat, hides, bones, guts as a result thereof ;	*(a) to (f).* The required information is being collected from the State Governments and the concerned Ministries and departments and of the Government of India and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha on its receipt.	

		(e) the names and quantity of items, out of them, which were exported to foreign countries ; and (f) the names of the articles among those mentioned in part (d) above, which are used, for producing various medicines and injections.		
16	U.S.Q. No. 1650, dated the 21st November, 1968 by Shri Jugal Mondal.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 6343 on the 29th August, 1968, and asking whether the information regarding import of Tractors spare parts has since been collected.	Information is still awaited from some States/Union Territories. A statement would be laid on the Table of the Sabha as soon as all replies are received.	No information received despite repeated reminders.
17	U.S.Q. No. 1652, dated the 21st November, 1968 by Shri Jugal Mondal.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 4787 on the 22nd August, 1968 and asking : (a) whether the information regarding slaughtering of animals has since been collected ; and (b) if so, the details thereof ?	(*a*) *and* (*b*). Information in respect of Lok Sabha Question No. 4787 answered on 22-8-68 has been called for from the States. Replies from some of the States have not so far been received and they have been reminded. Complete information, when received will be placed on the Table of the Sabha.	Since Implemented.

MINISTRY OF HEALTH FAMILY PLANNING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

18	S.Q. No. 29, dated the 11th November, 1968 by Shri Hem Raj.	(a) the number and names of manufacturers of food stuffs spices etc. who have been cought for food adulteration, State-wise and Union Territories-wise during the years from 1966 to 1968 ;	(*a*) *to* (*d*). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha in due course.	No information received despite repeated reminders.

1	2	3	4	5
		(b) the break-up of penalties imposed as between less than one year's imprisonment and above ; (c) the States or Union Territories where it is not prevalent ; and (d) the main items of food in which adulteration is mostly indulged.		
19	U.S.Q. No. 1986, dated the 25th November, 1968 by Shri Ram Avtar Sharma;	(a) whether it is a fact that Government have drawn up a scheme to appoint Food Inspectors to check inter-State Food adulteration ; (b) if so, the details thereof and the names of food-stuffs whose adulteration these inspectors would check; and (c) the time by which these inspectors would be appointed and the scheme inforced.	(a) to (c). A proposal to formulate a scheme to check inter-State Food adulteration is under consideration.	The Committee noted that the proposal for setting up of a Central Organisation for the prevention of Food Adulteration was under consideration of the Government for long time and directed that they might be informed whether the said proposal which included *inter alia* for setting up of check-posts for checking of inter-State food adulteration had been included in the Fourth Plan.
20	U.S.Q. No. 3740, dated the 9th December, 1968 by Shri Bhogendra Jha.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 1451, on the 29th July, 1968 and asking : (a) whether any proposal has since been received from the Government of Bihar for the upgrading of the surgical department of the Darbhanga Medical College Hospital; (b) if so, the decision taken thereon ; and	(b) and (c). The proposal in under consideration.	No information received despite repeated reminders.

		(c) if not, whether inquiries have been made from the Health Department of the State Government and at what stage the proposal stands at present.		
		MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS		
21	S.Q. No. 121, dated the 15th November, 1968.	Judicial inquiry into alleged Police excesses at Indraprastha Bhavan, New Delhi in September, 1968.	During the course of supplementaries, the Minister promised to place a copy of the Deputy Commissioner's inquiry report on police excesses at Indraprastha Bhavan on 19th September, 1968 on the Table of the House.	No information received despite repeated reminders.
22	U.S.Q. No. 868 dated the 15th November, 1968 by Shri Sreedharan and others.	(a) whether Government have decided to appoint a Police Comissioner for Delhi with the powers of an Inspector-General. (b) if so, what are the details of the proposals ; (c) the reaction of the Delhi Administration thereto ; and (d) when these proposals are likely to be implemented.	(a) to (d). The matter is under examination of the Government.	Do.
23	19-11-1968	Calling attention to Matter of Urgent Public Importance, Non-implementation of Central Government's orders by Kerala Government.	The Minister agreed to lay on the Table of the House the relevant correspondence exchanged between the Kerala Govt. and the Central Govt.	No information received despite repeated reminders.

1	2	3	4	5
24	U.S.Q. No. 4380, dated the 13th December, 1968 by Shri Hukam Chand Kachwai.	(a) the number of Pakistani citizens arrested in the country since 1st January, 1965 up till now; (b) the number of persons out of the arrested persons against whom prosecutions were launched by Government, the number of those awarded punishment and the number of cases pending at present in the courts concerned ; and (c) the number of Pakistani citizens reported form the country during the aforesaid period.	(a) to (c). The Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House as soon as it becomes available.	Since implemented.
		MINISTRY OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AND COMPANY AFFAIRS		
25	S.Q. No. 31, dated the 12th November, 1968 by Shri Yajna Datt Sharma and others.	(a) whether the Ministry has sent a proposal to the Planning Commission in connection with the establishment of a small car project in the public sector during the Fourth Five Year Plan; (b) whether the Commission have considered the proposal ; and (c) if so, the reaction of the Planning Commission thereto.	(b) and (c). The views of the Planning Commission are awaited.	No information received despite repeated reminders.
26	S.Q. No. 32, dated the 12th November, 1968 Supplementary Question by Shri Lobo Prabhu.	The value of the machinery accumulated in the Factories (of H.E.C. Ranchi) which cannot be sold.	The Minister said, "As far as that question is concerned, I do not have immediate figures, but	Since implemented.

			I shall let the Hon. Member know the position."	
27	S.Q. No. 57, dated the 12th November, 1968 by Shri Hardayal Devgun and others.	(a) the names of persons, firms and companies to whom loans for industrial development have been sanctioned in Punjab during 1957—67 and the amount thereof; (b) whether it is also a fact that some companies were bogus and they did not establish industrial Units ; and (c) if so, their names and the action taken by Government against them.	(a) to (c). The information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House.	No information received despite repeated reminders.
28	U.S.Q. No. 302, dated the 12th November, 1968 by Shri Madhu Limaye.	(a) whether Government have received a Memo/letter from a Member of Parliament about the take-over by the Kapadia family of the Killick group concerns and National Rayon and the earlier formation by them of the Standard Drum Company; (b) if so, the salient points of the Memo/letter; (c) whether Government have since carried out a departmental/official/ demi-official inquiry ; (d) the results thereof ; and (e) if the answer to part (c) above be in the negative, the reasons for not holding the inquiry.	(a) to (e). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of of the House as soon as available.	: o.
29	U.S.Q. No. 314, dated the 12th November, 1968 by Shri Bhogendra Jha.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 5926 on the 27th August, 1968 and asking;	(a) and (b). While giving information in regard to the employment of persons in Heavy Engineering	No information received despite repeated reminders.

1	2	3	4	5
		(a) the total number of class I and Class II officers from Bihar in the Heavy Engineering Corporation, Ranchi and other industries of the Union Government and what is their proportion to the total number of such officers ; and (b) the proportion of such officers belonging to the States in which public sector undertakings are situated to the total number of such officers employed in those undertakings.	Corporation Limited, Ranchi, it was stated that the information in regard to other public sector undertakings was being collected and would be laid on the Table of the House.	
30	USQ. No. 365, dated the 12th November, 1968 by Shri Molahu Prasad.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 4590 on the 20th August, 1968 and asking : (a) the details of the information received from Assam, Gujarat, Haryana, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Madras, Maharashtra, Punjab, Uttar Pradesh, West Bengal, Andaman Nicobar Islands, Dadra and Nagar Haveli, Goa, Daman and Diu, Laccadive and Minicoy Islands, Nagaland, Pondicherry, Manipur and Tripura ; and (b) the reasons for inordinate delay in collecting the information from Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Orissa, Rajasthan, Jammu and Kashmir, Mysore and Himachal Pradesh.	(a) and (b). The reply *inter alia* stated as under :— "Replies have been received from all the States except Andhra Pradesh, Orissa, Rajasthan, Mysore and Himachal Pradesh, The information received, however, does not provide adequate and satisfactory material for preparing an answer to the Unstarred Question No. 133 dated 13th February, 1968. Further efforts are therefore being made to collect as complete and accurate an information as possible, which will be laid on the Table of the House."	-do-

31	USQ. No. 383, dated the 12th November, 1968 by Shri Shiva Chandra Jha.	(a) whether it is a fact that the industrial development in Bihar and Kerala is not progressing due to labour troubles ; and (b) if so, the details thereof and the steps taken by Government in this regard.	*(a) and (b).* The information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House.	Complete information since received. To be laid in next Session.
32	SQ. No. 203, dated the 19th November, 1968 by Shri S.R. Damani.	(a) the names of industrial concerns working in India with foreign collaboration with names of the collaborators ; (b) the equity participation allowed in each case as against plant and machinery and for technical know how supplied separately ; (c) whether there have been cases where import of machinery which could be indigenously fabricated was allowed under collaboration agreements, if so, the details of such cases and the circumstances leading to the decision ; and (d) whether full foreign exchange involvement was borne by the collaborators and if not, the drain on our own foreign exchange resources as a reresult.	*(b) to (d).* The information required in details will take considerable time to compile. However, important details of all collaboration cases approved so far-numbering over 3,000-are being collected from records and will be placed on the Table of the House in due course.	No information received despite repeated reminders.
33	USQ. No. 1202, dated the 19th November, 1968 by Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee and others.	(a) the number of firms and companies to whom loans were given for industrial development in Uttar Pradesh during the years 1957 to 1967 and the amount of loan given ; (b) whether it is also a fact that some of the said firms and companies were bogus and they did not set up any industrial establishment ; and (c) if so, their names and the action taken by Government against them.	*(a) to (c).* The required information in respect of loans given by the Government of Uttar Pradesh out of Government funds is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	No information received despite repeated reminders.

1	2	3	4	5
34	U.S.Q. No. 1343, dated the 19th November, 1968 by Shri A.P. Singh Deo.	(a) whether it is a fact that Government of Orissa have requested the Centre to take over the Talcher Industrial Complex as a Centrally sponsored project during the Fourth Five Year Plan ; (b) if so, whether Government of Orissa have requested the Centre to take over any other projects besides the Talcher Industrial Complex ; (c) if so, the projects which the Centre has been requested to take over ; (d) whether Government have agreed to the request made by Government of Orissa ; and (e) if not, the reasons therefor.	*(a) to (e)* (There is no specific request from the Government of Orissa for taking over the Talcher Industrial Complex as a Centrally sponsored project. However, a suggestion was received from the State Government for financial participation in the project and this is under consideration.) The information in respect of projects, other than the Talcher Industrial Comlex, is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	
35	USQ. No. 2090 dated the 26th November, 1968 by Shri Narain Swarup Sharma and others.	Referring to the reply given to Starred Question No. 704 on the 27th August, 1968 and asking : (a) the number and names of the companies in which more than 25 percent of the shares are owned by Government or by institutions investing public money ; (b) the amount donated by the said companies to political parties in the year 1966-67 ; and (c) the percentage of Government money and public money in the said amount.	*(a) to (c)* Complete information regarding the names of all companies in which more than 25% of the shares are owned by Government or Government controlled institutions is not yet available. Efforts are, however, being made to collect the same and the information will be laid on the Table of the House when available.	Since Implemented.

36	U.S.Q. No. 2091 dated the 26th November, 1968 by Shri Narain Swarup Sharma and others.	Referring to the reply given to Starred Question No. 697 on the 27th August, 1968 and asking : (a) the number and names of the companies having an investment of more than 25 per cent of foreign capital ; (b) the amount donated by them to political parties in 1966-67 ; and (c) the percentage of foreign capital in that amount.	*(a) to (c)* The informaiton is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House.	No information read despite repeated reminders.
37	USQ. No. 2094 dated the 26th November, 1968 by Dr. Sushila Nayar and Shri Onkar Lal Berwa.	(a) whether Government have since finalised the proposal regarding the fulfilment of conditions regarding exports by private sector companies while obtaining licences for new units and expansion of existing capacity ; and (b) the names of the licences whose exports during the years 1966 and 1967 were less than 25 per cent of their commitments and action taken by Government in the matter.	(b) The information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House.	No information reed despite repeated reminders.
38	USQ. No. 2172, dated the 26th November, 1968 by Shri M. Sundarsanam.	the total number of foreign collaboration agreements pending for approval, the terms of such agreements, the dates when they were submitted for approval and the reasons for the delay.	In case all the details of a proposal for foreign collaboration are furnished by the party in the first instance, it normally takes three months to consult the different Governmental authorities and arrive at a decision. A list of cases pending decision over three months	Do.

1	2	3	4	5
			as on the 1st November, 1968, in the Ministry of Industrial Development ment and Company Affairs is being compiled and will be laid on the Table of the House.	
39	USQ. No. 2196 dated the 26th November, 1968 by Shri K.M. Abraham and others.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 6170-E on the 27th August, 1968 and asking : (a) Whether Chilien nitrate quota is given to the Barium Chemicals Factory, Ramavaram, Andhra Pradesh ; (b) whether the factory, management has carried on production of Chilien nitrate ; (c) if so, what is the production and the total quantity produced so far and if not, reasons thereof; (d) whether it is a fact that factory management has misused the quota of Chilien nitrate ; and (e) if so, the action taken thereon.	(*a*) to (*e*) The information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House.	No informaion read despite repeated reminders.
40	USQ. No. 2202 dated the 26th November, 1968 by Shri Gadilingana God.	(a) whether it is a fact that several public sector under takings have not appointed senior officers to scrutinise thoroughly the demand for parts and components required by each assembly before they are imported so as to encourage indigenous substitution	(*a*) *and* (*b*) The information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House.	-Do-

		therefor ; and (b) if so, the name of such undertakings and the steps taken in this regard.		
41	USQ. No. 2254 dated the 26th November, 1968 by Shri Lobo Prabhu.	(a) what was the idle capacity in the textile, sugar and motor industries in 1965, 1966 and 1967 ; (b) what was the capacity for sugar and textiles sanctioned to co-operatives during the same three years ; (c) how much of the investment in the co-operative sector during the same years was financed by Government and Government institutions and how much by co-operative share holders ; and (d) the steps taken by Government to engage the full capacity of the motor industry.	(a) *to* (d). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	No information read despite repeated reminders.
42	SQ. No. 489 dated the 3rd December, 1968 by Shri George Fernandes.	(a) whether M/s. Killick Industries Ltd., Bombay has changed hands in recent months ; (b) if so, who are the individuals or firms that have acquired major holdings in the company ; (c) the terms under which the shares of the company were sought by the new owners ; and (d) the names and assets of the firms wholly or partially owned by or managed by M/s. Killick Industries Ltd.	(a) *to* (d). The information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House as soon as available.	-Do.-

S. No.	Date and Reference	Subject	Promise made	Observations of the Committee	Remarks of DPA as on 24.9.70
43	U.S.Q. No. 3027, dated the 3rd December, 1968 by Shri C. Janardhanan.	(a) whether Government have examined the memorandum submitted by Shri Chandra Sekhar, M.P. containing the various allegations of mal-practices indulged in by the Birla Group of firms ; (b) whether Government have come to any conclusion that a *prima facie* case exists for further probe into the matter ; and (c) if so, whether any machinery is being set up for a thorough probe into these allegations.	(b) and (c). 24 allegations out of a total of 88 allegations which required further examination by the concerned Ministries are at present under the consideration of a Cabinet Sub-Committee which has already met. The entire matter is now under Government's consideration for a final decision.	The Committee were not convinced with the reasons advanced by the Department of Parliamentary Affairs for dropping the Assurance in question. They desired to be informed of the outcome of the consideration of the Cabinet Sub-Committee on the 24 allegations and also the result of the other allegations of malpractices indulged in by the Birla Group of Firms as contained in the Memorandum submitted by Shri Chandra Sekhar, M.P. The Committee also desired to know the details of allegations which had since been referred to an Inquiry Commission and the terms of reference of that Commission. [C.G.A. Min. dt. 10-9-69 para 4 (i)]	No information received despite repeated reminders.
44	S.Q. No. 634, dated the 10th December, 1968 by Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee and others.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 6001 on the 27th August, 1968 and asking : (a) the total number of (i) advisers and (ii) experts attached to each	(a) and (b). The information has been received from a number of Ministries but has yet to be received from a number of other Ministries. It will be laid		

		Ministry of the Government of India from 1955 to 1967, year-wise alongwith the names of the countries to which they belonged ; and (b) the amount of expenditure incurred thereon.	on the Table of the House as soon as all the Ministries have sent their replies.	
45	U.S.Q. No. 3896 dated the 10th December, 1968 by Shri Baburao Patel.	(a) the names of companies in which Government hold shares or with directors representing Government and the amount of contributions each company made to political parties during the last three years with the names of the political parties who got the contributions ; (b) the names of companies where Government representative objected to such donations and whether these objections were minuted and if not, the reasons therefor ; (c) the reasons why Government controlled companies should contribute to political parties and whether there is a provision for such contributions in their respective contributions ; and (d) if not, the steps taken in regard to the constitution.	(a) to (d) Information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House as soon as it is available.	No information received despite repeated reminders.
46	U.S.Q. No. 4739 dated the 17th December, 1968 by Shri G.C. Dixit.	(a) the amount of loans granted to Madhya Pradesh Government for industrial development during the last ten years ; (b) the names of the companies to	(a) to (e) The information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House.	Do.

1	2	3	4	5	6
		whom minimum and maximum amount of loans were granted as also the amount thereof ; (c) whether it is a fact that many units did not establish industrial units despite getting loan and if so, the names thereof ; (d) whether Government propose to take action against them ; and (e) if so, the nature thereof.			
47	U.S.Q. No. 4777, dated the 17th December, 1968 by Shri Maharaj Singh Bharati.	(a) whether the prices of the machinery being manufactured by the Heavy Engineering Corporation, Ranchi, for the Bokaro Steel Plant, have been fixed ; and (b) if so, the details thereof.	(a) and (b). The matter is still under examination and negotiations.	The Committee were not convinced with the reasons advanced by the D.P.A. for dropping the assurance in question and decided to keep it pending. They desired to be informed of the outcome of the negotiations undergoing regarding the prices for the machinery manufactured by the H.E.C., Ranchi for the Bokaro Steel Plant. [Min. C.G.A. dt. 2-7-69 para 5 (xi)]	Since implemented.
48.	U.S.Q. No. 4809 dated, the 17th December, 1968 by Shri Jyotirmoy Basu.	The names of Concerns under the control of Birla Brothers (as per Report of the Monopoly Enquiry Commission).	The information is being compiled and will be laid on the table of the House.	No information received despite repeated reminders.	

49	U.S.Q. No. 4843, dated the 17th December, 1968 by Shri Vishwanatha Menon.	(a) whether it is a fact that certain members of the staff of the Technological Consultancy Bureau of the National Industrial Development Corporation are made to sit and work in the rear and front basements of the Jeevan Vihar Building on Parliament Street, New Delhi ;		No information received despite repeated reminders.
		(b) if so, since when they are being made to sit and work in the basements ; and		
		(c) the bye-laws of the New Delhi Municipal Committee under which the National Industrial Development Corporation was allowed to make their staff sit and work in the basements.	(c) the information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	

MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING

50	U.S.Q. No. 548, dated the 13th November, 1968 by Shri Kashi Nath Pandey.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 6305 on the 28th August, 1968 and asking : (a) whether the information regarding release of certain films have since been collected ;	(a) The reply *inter alia* stated that the information in respect of Laccadive, Minicoy and Amindivi Islands had not been collected.	Since Implemented.
		(b) if so, the details thereof ; and		
		(c) if not, the reasons for the delay.	(c) Administrator, Laccadive, Minicoy and Amindivi Islands, Kozhikode has not so far furnished the requisite information in spite of reminders.	

1	2	3	4	5
51	SNQ. No. 2, dated the 20th November, 1968—Supplementary Question by Shri Krishan Kumar Chatterji.	Asking whether Minister is prepared to admit that Govt. has no scheme to assist the language newspapers in Gujarat, and if so, in order that these papers have to survive, will the Government allow the Indian Express Group to have its way (in the matter of taking over of these papers) because that cannot be avoided, and the quota will have to be given to them.	The Minister stated "As I have said, the whole question is under consideration and a decision in the interests of democratic institutions will be taken."	No information received despite repeated reminders.
52	SNQ. No. 2, dated the 20th November, 1968—Supplementary Question by Shri Manubhai Patel.	Asking whether Government would allow the news-print quota in respect of the Gujarat Language news-papers which are taken over by another party to the latter as there is no hitch in the taking over transaction.	The same question is under consideration and consistantly with the policy of preventing concentration of news-paper power in one hand, and also seeing that the language papers continue to function, a decision will be taken.	
53	USQ. No. 3235, dated the 4th December, 1968 by Shri Jyotirmoy Basu.	(a) whether it is a fact that one of the employees of the All India Radio is regularly writing books under a pen name ; (b) whether there are any other such employees and if so, there names and whether they have been allowed to write books for commercial purpose; and		

		(c) if Government have not allowed anyone to write books for commercial purpose, how could some employees go on doing the same regularly and in a systematic manner.	(*a*) *to* (*c*). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	No. information received. despite repeated reminders.

MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS

54	SQ. No. 2, dated the 11th November, 1968—Supplementary Question by Shri Jyotirmoy Basu.	Asking whether Government would consider the question of putting the gas being produced in Assam in cylinders and selling it at least to the hill stations which are nearby in view of the acute shortage of fuel and electricity in stations like Darjeeling, Kurseeng, Kalimpong and Shillong' where the position regarding availability of fuel and electricity has further deteriorated because of the floods and landslides.	The Minister stated "I shall certainly forward this suggestion to the Indian Oil Company which is in charge of marketing Indian gas."	Since Implemented
55	USQ. No. 99, dated the 11th November, 1968 by Shri Himatsingka.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 140 on the 22nd July, 1968 and asking : (a) whether the targets for different items of chemicals including petrochemicals to be produced in the country during the Fourth Five Year Plan have since been fixed and if so, at what level and what will be the production level of each of these items likely to be achieved by the end of 1968-69 ; (b) the industrial licences issued and to be issued for each of the products for installation in 1968-69 and under the Fourth Five Year Plan including the parties to whom and the capacity for which licences have been issued		No information received despite repeated reminders.

1	2	3	4	5
		and the parties from whom applications for issue of licences are under consideration ; and (c) how much of the production capacity for each of these items is to be installed in the public sector.	(*b*) *and* (*c*). Information is being collected of licences issued during 1968-69 and will be laid on the Table of the House.	
56	SQ. No. 152, dated the 18th November, 1968—Supplementary Question by Shri Dhireswar Kalita.	Asking for the installed capacity of various fertilizer factories in India, their actual production at present, and the percentage of the installed capacity which they are now utilising.	While stating that there were a number of units involved, the Minister promised to lay the information on the Table of the House.	Since Implemented.
57	USQ. No. 1958, dated the 25th November, 1968 by Shri Molahu Prasad.	Referring to the reply given to Starred Question No. 538 on the 19th August, 1968 and asking : (a) whether the requisite information regarding inaugural ceremony of the Gorakhpur Fertilizer Factory has since been collected ; (b) if so, the details thereof ; and (c) if not, the reasons for the delay.	(c) The delay is due to the fact that information required is to be collected from all the Departments of Government of India and also from the State Government - Agencies and the Fertilizer Corporation of India. Major part of the information has already been received and the rest is expected to be received shortly. Complete details will be placed on the Table of the Sabha while fulfilling the assurance given to Question No. 538 on 19-8-1968.	No information received despite repeated reminders.
58	USQ. No. 2936, dated the 2nd December, 1968 by Shri Y.A. Prasad.	(a) the number of proposals which are pending consideration by the Central Government for setting up		

	Fertilizer projects in the different States ; (b) the names of States which have submitted proposals for approval ; and (c) the number of factories proposed to be set up both in the public and private sectors in these States and the production media to be adopted by each with the targetted capacity.	(c) The proposals are under consideration.	Do.
9 USQ. No. 3834 dated the 9th December, 1968 by Shri Jugal Mondal and Shri Himatsingka.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 140 on the 22nd July, 1968 and asking : (a) whether the information regarding the production of chemicals has since been collected ; (b) if so, the details thereof ; (c) the total production during the last 3 years in these industries and the extent of imports of each of these items allowed during these years indicating the extent of foreign exchange spent ; (d) the various stages of progress of the units in these industries which are already in the process of installation have not so far gone into production ; and (e) whether there are any plants to manufacture some of these items in the public sector and if so, what is their installed capacity and the names of such units.	(a) to (e). The Annual Plan for 1968-69 was presented to the Parliament on the 31st July, 1968 and some of the information required is available in that document. Complete information to the extent available, is being collected.	No information received despite repeated reminders.

1	2	3	4	5	6
60	USQ. No. 4607 dated the 16th December, 1968 by Shri Nitiraj Singh Chaudhary.	Asking whether the Minister of Petroleum and Chemicals be pleased to state the States of origin of General Managers, the number of employees appointed during the term of each General Manager and how many of the above were of the States of General Managers concerned in each of the undertakings under the control of his Ministry, separately.	Information about the present General Managers is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House in due course. The time and labour involved in collecting the other information will not be commensurate with the resulting benefit.		No information recd. despite repeated reminders.
		PLANNING COMMISSION			
		Impact of Plan Programmes on Villages			
61	U.S.Q. No. 3250, dated the 4th December, 1968 by Shri Himatsingka and Shri S.K. Tapuriah.	(a) whether it is a fact that a recent study of the impact of the plan programme by the programme Evaluation Organisation of the Planning Commission has revealed that nearly half of the villages in the country do not have basic facilities like post offices, markets, doctors, radios and bus stops. (b) if so, what were the State-wise revelations of this study with regard to the rural backwardness in each of the States showing percentages of villages without each of these basic amenities in each State ; and (c) the targets of achievement which are being proposed for rural uplift	(c) The matter is under consideration.	The Committee were not satisfied with the reasons advanced by Government for droppineg the above assurance and decided to keep it pending. They directed further that they might be informed of the decision taken for providing fillip to rural economy and uplift in each State under the Fourth Five Year Plan. [C.G.A. Min. dt. 2-7-69 para 5 (xii)]	No information recd. despite repeated reminders.

		in each of the States under the Fourth Five Year Plan in the light of these revelations.		
62	U.S.Q. No. 5027, dated the 18th December, 1968 by Shri Jugal Mondal.	(a) the details of development schemes of West Bengal included in the the First, Second and Third Plan ; (b) the numbers and names of such schemes which have been completed ; (c) whether all these development schemes were completed in time ; and (d) if not, the reasons therefor.	(a) to (d). A statement relating to irrigation, powwer and industrial sector schemes was laid on the Table of the House. Further information is being collected and will be placed on the Table of the House as soon as available.	No information recd. despite repeated reminders.
		Advertisement of Post in Railways		
63	U.S.Q. No. 1279, dated the 19th November, 1968 by Shri Molahu Prasad.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 4592 on the 20th August, 1968 regarding advertisement of posts in Railways and asking : (a) whether the information has since been collected ; (b) if so, the details thereof ; and (c) if not, the reasons for this long delay.	The information was required to be collected from the 9 Zonal Railways and 3 Production Units, zone-wise and category-wise in regard to number of employment advertisements published in the news-papers during the year 1966-67, besides placing a copy of each of these advertisements on the Table of the Sabha. The collection of this voluminous information involves time. However, efforts are being made to ensure that the required infor-	Since Implemented.

1	2	3	4	5	6
			mation is placed on the Table of the Sabha as quickly as possible.		
64	S.Q. No. 334, dated the 26th November, 1968 Supplementary Question by Shri Ranga.	Asking as the destruction of railway property due to floods is not a new thing and the inadequacy of culverts and bridges has also been noticed several times, would the Hon. Minister see that the research section in the Railways will be co-ordinated with the research section of the Irrigation Ministry so as to see that in regard to all railway lines whatever changes have got to be made in order to avoid year to year dislocation.	The Minister stated, "The suggestion will be kept in view and we shall take necessary action in that regard."	Since Implemented.	
		Derailment of Goods Train Between Chandanpur and Belmuri			
65	U.S.Q. No. 3112, dated the 3rd December, 1968 by Shri Vishwanath Pandey.	(a) whether it is a fact that a Down goods train derailed on the 13th October, 1968 at a point between Chandanpur and Belmuri Stations, 45 kilometers from Howrah-Burdwan chord line ; and		Since Implemented.	
		(b) if so, the causes of the derailment; and	(b) The cause of the accident is under investigation.		
		(c) the total amount of loss to the Railway property ?			
		Supply of Metals to Traffic Apprentices in Training School, Muzaffarpur			
66	U.S.Q. No. 4002, dated the 10th December,	Asking whether it is a fact that the Officers of the North-Eastern Rail-	The matter is still under consideration. It is ex-	Since Implemented.	

	1968 by Shri Arjun Singh Bhadoria.	way misused Rs. 22,397.77 by supplying meals free of cost in an illegal manner to the Trafic Apprentices in the Training School, Muzaffarpur from October, 1961 to December, 1965.	pected to be finalised shortly. ¶		
		Halt of Delhi Hapur Shuttle Train at Hapur Station			
67	U.S.Q. No. 4760, dated the 17th December, 1968 by Shri Prakash Vir Shastri.	(a) the further progress made in regard to the special arrangements that were required to be made at Hapur Station for accommodating Delhi-Hapur shuttle train there ; (b) whether it is a fact that aforesaid shuttle train has to return in the night in the absence of proper halting place and the passengers of city are unable to derive full benefit of that shuttle service ; and (c) if so, the time by which full arrangements would be made.	(a) to (c). A proposal for providing additional terminal facilities at Hapur Station is under examination.	Since Implemented.	
68	U.S.Q. No. 4927, dated the 17th December, 1968 by Shri Nihal Singh.	(a) whether it is a fact that a Railway accident took place on the Eastern Railway near the level crossing at village Bhojpura (Varanasi) on the night of the 15th/16th August, 1968 ; (b) whether it is also a fact that in this accident some passengers sitting in the bus were injured and some of them have claimed compensation from the Railway Administration ; (c) the amount of compensation Railway Administration have decided to pay to them ; and (d) if not, the reasons therefor.	(c) and (d). The Question whether the Railway Administration is liable to pay compensation in this case will be decided and the claims disposed of on receipt of the final Police Report which is awaited. Efforts are being made to obtain the Police report as early as possible.	The Committee considered the reasons advanced by Government for dropping this assurance and decided not to drop the assurance. The Committee directed that they might be informed whether the Railway Administration had paid any compensation to the victims of the accident near Bhojpura Level Crossing.	Likely to take more time.

1	2	3	4	5	6
		DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL WELFARE			
		Scheduled Caste/Scheduled Tribe Students studying Post-Matric Classes in Mysore			
69	U.S.Q. No. 8, dated the 11th November, 1968 by Shri Siddayya.	(a) how many students belonging to Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes are studying in the various institutions in Mysore State in Post-matric classes in the year 1968-69 as on the 1st October, 1968 ; (b) how many Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribe students applied for the grant of post-matric Scholarship in 1968-69 upto the 1st October, 1968 and how many were awarded scholarships ; and (c) how many students whose parents' income is Rs. 500 and above per mensem had applied for the grant of post-matric scholarships in the year 1967-68 and 1968-69 upto the 1st October, 1968 in Mysore State.	(a) to (c). The details are being collected from the State Government and will be laid on the Table of the House when received.	No information received despite repeated reminders.	
		Scholarships for Post-Matric Classes			
70	U.S.Q. No. 9, dated the 11th November, 1968 by Shri Siddayya.	how many Scheduled Castes students whose parents' income is Rs. 500 and above per mensem applied for the grant of post-matric scholarship in the year 1967-68 and 1968-69 upto the 1st October, 1968 in all the States and Union Territories.	The details are being collected from the State Governments and Union Territory Administration and will be laid on the Table of the House when received.	-Do-	

Scholarships to Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe Students

71	U.S.Q. No. 85, dated the 11th November, 1968 by Shri Ram Swarup Vidyarthi.	(a) whether it is a fact that the scholarships awarded to Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes and other backward classes students studying in post-matric classes are not being paid in time which defeat the very purpose of awarding scholarships ;	(a) to (c). The details are being collected from the State Governments and Union Territory Administrations and will be laid on the Table of the House when received.	No information received despite repeated reminders.
		(b) the number of such individuals in whose cases the scholarships were paid after more than two months during the year 1966-67 ; and		
		(c) the reasons therefor.		

Schedule for Grant of Scholarships

72	S. Q. No. 695, dated the 12th December, 1968 by Shri Onkar Lal Berwa.	(a) whether it is a fact that the scholarships given in the form of the grant received from the Central Government have been determined in accordance with the schedule that had been prepared as far back as 20 years;		Since Implemented.
		(b) if so, whether Government propose to increase the amount of scholarships in view of the present high cost of living ; and	(b) to (c). The matter is under consideration.	
		(c) if so, the extent to which Government propose to increase the amounts of scholarships given to the students belonging to the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes in the Engineering, Medical and other Colleges.		

1	2	3	4	5	6
		Scheduled castes and Scheduled Tribes Students in Engineering and Medical Colleges			
73	USQ No. 5108, dated the 19th December, 1968 by Shri Om Prakash Tyagi.	(a) the total number of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes studying in Medical and Engineering Colleges in the country at present ; (b) whether the number of these children in these colleges conforms to the ratio fixed by Government in this regard ; and (c) if not, the reasons therefor.	*(a) to (c).* The details are being collected from the State Governments/Union Territory Administrations and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha when received.	No information received despite repeated reminders.	
		MINISTRY OF STEEL, MINES AND METALS			
74	USQ. No. 245, dated the 12th November, 1968 by Shri Kartik Oraon.	(a) whether it is a fact that the Khetri Copper Project has ordered for a 1600 KW Winder and the Mining and Allied Machinery Corporation Limited, Durgapur has not fulfilled the order ; (b) whether it is also a fact that unless the bearings are imported and air lifted winder will not be available and the Khetri Copper Project will go far behind schedules ; (c) whether the foreign collaborators are very much concerned about it ; and	*(a) to (d).* The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House shortly.	-Do-	

		(d) if so, the action taken by Government to step up production to catch up the schedule.		
75	USQ No. 362, dated the 12th November, 1968 by Shri Molahu Prasad.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 3924 on the 13th August, 1968 and asking : (a) the category-wise number of employees belonging to Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes and others separately in each undertaking shown at Annexure I ; and (b) the category-wise estimated number of employees belonging to Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes and others separately in each undertaking shown at Annexure II.	(a) *and* (b). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Complete information since received To be laid next Session.
76	USQ No. 4040, dated the 10th December, 1968 by Shri G.C. Naik, Shri D. Amat and Shri Mahendra Majhi.	(a) whether the Geological Survey of India, Indian Bureau of Mines and the Governments of Orissa and Bihar have surveyed the potentialities of iron ore and manganese ore in the districts of Keonjhar, Sundargarh and Singhbhum ; (b) the extent of iron ore and manganese ore reserves in each of these Districts ; (c) the quantity of iron ore and manganese ore extracted and despatched for export and for consumption in different steel mills during the last three years, from each of the above districts.	(a) *to* (f). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House, when available.	Since implemented.

1	2	3	4	5
		(d) the quantity of iron ore and manganese ore despatched from Barbil, Banspani and Barajamda sector of Keonjhar and Singhbhum districts of Bihar to Rourkela Steel Plant during the last three years ; (e) the transport cost by rail from these areas to Rourkela Steel Plant ; and (f) whether a Railway line from this sector to Barsuan or Rakshi (Bondammunda and Barsuan line) is feasible.		
		MINISTRY OF TOURISM AND CIVIL AVIATION		
77	USQ No. 1711, dated the 22nd November, 1968 by Shri Hardayal Devgun and others.	(a) whether it is a fact that Government have sought from the United Nations the services of experts in planning hotels and motels for the improvement of hotel industry in the country to promote tourism ; (b) whether it is also a fact that besides the United Nations, Government negotiated with some other countries also on the subject ; (c) if so, the countries with whom negotiations were held ; (d) whether the U.N. or any country with whom negotiations were held,	(*d*) *and* (*e*). Details are being worked out.	An extract from the note dated 17-3-69 received from D.P.A. is reproduced below :— Although the United Nations has accepted in principle to send an Experts Study Team on Tourism, including a Hotel Expert, the composition of the team has yet to be determined. The Department of Tourism has suggested names of certain experts to be included in the Study Team and these proposals are being examined by the U.N. Headquarters. After a mutually agreed team of experts has been determined, the acceptance of these experts to serve on the Team will have to be obtained by the United Nations Complete information received. To be laid next Session.

			have agreed to give assistance in the matter ; and (e) if so, the details thereof.	before the team can be announced. This process of composing the team is likely to take quite sufficient time, as it involves lengthy procedures, and as such for the present it is not possible for the Government to furnish the details of the proposal. Similarly negotiations are under way with the West German Government regarding the development of an Expert Consultancy Service on Hotel Management and Design. Hence it is premature for the present to furnish details as it will take considerable time to finalise the terms of technical assistance. Committee on Government Assurances may kindly be apprised of the likely delay that will be taken in the fulfilment of assurances.	

MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT AND SHIPPING

Road Accident in India

78	USQ No. 872, dated the 15th November, 1968 by Shri R.K. Amin.	(a) whether it is a fact that the fatal road accidents are increasing in India ; and (b) if so, the action Government propose to take to reduce the occurrence of such fatal accidents.	(a) and (b). The information required is being collected from the State Governments and Union Territories and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha, when received.		Since implemented.

Report of Sukhtankar Committee on Ratnakar

79	USQ No. 1811, dated the 22nd November, 1968 by Shri S.K. Tapuriah and others.	(a) whether the Sukhtankar Committee which had been appointed to probe into the affairs of the two companies, namely the Ratnakar		The Committee were not satisfied with the reasons advanced by the Department of Parliamentary	No information received despite repeated reminders.

1	2	3	4	5
		Shipping and the Apeejay Shipping has submitted its report ; (b) if so, the main observations and findings of the Committee ; and (c) Government's reaction thereto.	(b) and (c) The Report is under consideration of Government.	Affairs for dropping the assurance in question and desired that the Government should inform the House of the action taken by them on the Report of the Sukhtankar Committee on Ratnakar Shipping and Apeejay Shipping.
		Road Development in Tripura		
80	USQ No. 3513, dated the 6th December, 1968 by Shri Kirit Bikram Deb Burman.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 7680 on the 19th April, 1968 regarding road development in Tripura and asking : (a) the progress made so far in the road development schemes for Tripura during 1968-69 ; and (b) the expenditure incurred thereon so far and whether any supplementary demands have been made for their completion and, if so, how much.	(a) and (b) The Information is still being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha, when received.	No information received, despite repeated reminders.
81	USQ No. 3622, dated the 6th December, 1968 by Shri A Dipa.	(a) whether it is a fact that a preliminary survey had been conducted recently by the Government of India for the construction of Bridges on Mahanadi River at Dhalpur, Pulesar, Roudh and Kaikata in Phulbani and Dhenkanal Districts of Orissa ;	(a) to (c). The proposed bridges would fall on State Roads. The State Government are, therefore, primarily concerned with the construction of these bridges. However, on a reference received from	Infomation just received will be laid in the next Session.

	(b) if so, the approximate expenditure to be incurred thereon ; and (c) when the work is likely to start.	the Hon'ble Member, the State Government were requested to furnish some essential data to enable the Government of India to examine the matter. Full information is still awaited from the State Authorities.	

Advance for Road Rollers to United Provinces Commercial Corporation.

82 USQ No. 1949, dated the 25th November, 1968 by Shri P. Vishwambharan.	(a) whether it is a fact that the request of the United Provinces Commercial Corporation for payment of 90 per cent advance against proof of inspection of road rollers has been rejected by the Director-General, Supplies and Disposals six times ; (b) whether Government have enquired into the circumstances which prompted the Director-General, Supplies and Disposals to concede the above request the seventh time and if so, the result thereof ; and (c) whether any action has taken in the matter.	(b) and (c). A report from the Central Bureau of Investigation, who are investigating into the matter, is awaited.	No information received despite repeated reminders.

MINUTES

(*Vide* para 5 of Report)

I. Fifty-eighth Sitting

The Committee met on Wednesday, the 30th September, 1970 from 14.00 hours to 16.00 hours.

PRESENT

Shri K. Anbazhagan—*Chairman.*

MEMBERS

2. Dr. Mahadeva Prasad
3. Shri Inder J. Malhotra
4. Shri Deorao S. Patil
5. Maulana Ishaq Sambhali
6. Shri A. T. Sarma
7. Shri Ram Awtar Sharma
8. Shri Mudrika Sinha.

SECRETARIAT

Shri M. C. Chawla—*Deputy Secretary.*

2. At the outset, the Chairman read out the draft note addressed to the Department of Parliamentary Affairs incorporating the various observations|recommendations made by the Committee at their sitting held on 29th September, 1970. The note as approved by the Committee given in Annexure—I.

3. Another draft note from the Chairman, addressed to the Speaker, was placed before the Committee for approval. After some discussion, the Committee agreed that some more time should be given to watch the progress made by the Ministries|Departments in the implementation of the assurances as a result of the observations|recommendations made by the Committee in their Ninth Report, which had been presented to the House in a cyclostyled form only recently on the 28th August, 1970 and the printed copies of which containing the full text were still to be circulated. The Committee decided that the submission of the note to the Speaker

might pend till the next Session, when the metter might be reconsidered in the light of the progress made by Ministries|Departments concerned.

Memorandum No. 94.—Assurance regarding formation of an Expert Committee to evolve measures to tackle the unemployment problem.

4. The Committee then took up consideration of Memorandum No. 94. The Committee considered the letter from Dr. Ram Subhag Singh, M.P. and nine others regarding the non-implementation of the assurance for the formation of an Expert Committee to tackle the unemployment problem given on the Floor of the House during the course of discussions on the Private Members' Resolutions on 'Unemployment' held on 28th November, 1969 and 3rd April, 1970 in the light of the comments of the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation thereon.

The Committee noted with satisfaction the progress made in the matter as stated by the Ministry *viz.* "the Cabinet have since approved the setting up of the Committee and its terms of reference. The composition of the proposed Committee, which will include Members of Parliament, Economists, Representatives of the State Governments and the concerned Central Ministers, is in the process of being finalised. The Government hopes to make an announcement in this regard soon."

The Committee expressed the hope that the appointment of the proposed Expert Committee would be announced during the winter session of Lok Sabha at the latest.

Memorandum No. 97.—Review of outstanding assurances pertaining to the 7th Session of Fourth Lok Sabha.

5. The Committee then took up consideration of 123 outstanding assurances, which had been pending implementation for nearly two years. While expressing their concern over the non-implementation of the pending assurances, as discussed at their sitting held on 29th September, 1970, the Committee decided that in respect of the following assurances whatever information was available should be laid on the Table of the House at the earliest possible opportunity:—

Sl. Nos. 3, 4, 5, 8, 13, 14, 20, 31, 32, 37, 43, 45, 46, 57, 58, 60, 61, 63, 67, 68, 73, 75, 80, 84, 89, 91, 92, 99, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 109, 112, 114, 115, 116, 120, 122, 126, 128, 130, 132, 135, 142, 145, and 149. (Annexure-II).

6. The Committee desired that the latest position of the cases referred to in these assurances should be furnished to the House early:—

Sl. Nos. 1, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 17, 18, 19, 24, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 33, 34, 36, 38, 39, 41, 42, 44, 48, 49, 50, 51, 54, 59, 62, 64, 65, 66, 69, 71, 74, 76, 77, 78, 79, 81, 82, 83, 85, 86, 87, 88, 90, 93, 95, 96, 97, 98, 100, 101, 102, 108, 110, 111, 118, 123, 124, 125, 127, 129, 134, 136, 138, 139, 141, 143, 146, 148, 150, 151. (Annexure-II).

7. The Committee then considered their future programme of work. They decided to sit at 15.00 hours on Saturday, the 24th October, 1970. The Committee also agreed to meet the Chairman and Members of the Committee on Government Assurances of the Tamil Nadu Legislative Assembly at 11.00 hours on the same day.

The Committee then adjourned.

ANNEXURE I

(*Vide* para 2 of Minutes dated 30-9-1970)

Draft note to the Department of Parliamentary Affairs as approved by the Committee

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT

(QUESTION BRANCH)

SUBJECT: *Role of Department of Parliamentary Affairs to ensure prompt implementation of Assurances.*

Will the Department of Parliamentary Affairs please refer to their U.O. notes No. 2(7)|69—Implementation dated the 24th and 29th September, 1970, offering their comments about the latest position of the pending Assurances pertaining to the 6th and 7th Sessions of Fourth Lok Sabha?

2. The Committee at their sittings held on 29th and 30th September, 1970, reviewed the pending assurances pertaining to the 6th and 7th Sessions in the light of the comments given by Department of Parliamentary Affairs against each assurance.

3. The Committee took a very serious view of the persistent delay on the part of various Ministries|Departments in the implementation of the assurances, which had been pending for nearly two years.

4. The Committee also considered the comments given by Department of Parliamentary Affairs against each assurance and were distressed to note the remarks of Department of Parliamentary Affairs in a majority of cases stating that "No information received despite repeated reminders".

5. The Committee were also surprised at the sheer helplessness of the Department of Parliamentary Affairs whose primary function is to act as a coordinating agency for getting the assurances implemented in time.

6. The Committee could not help feeling that this sort of indifferent attitude on the part of Ministries|Departments on the one hand and the lack of initiative and helplessness shown by Depart-

ment of Parliamentary Affairs on the other hand would frustrate the very basis of the functions of that Department, in so far as its role as a coordinating Agency is concerned.

7. In order to achieve some positive results, the Committee considers that instead of addressing the Ministries|Departments concerned in a routine manner for prompt implementation of assurances, the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs might better address direct the Ministers concerned fixing target dates for the supply of the promised information.

8. The Committee also noted from the comments of Department of Parliamentary Affairs against certain assurances that although the English version of the required information was ready, it had been withheld for want of Hindi version. The Committee could not appreciate the logic for withholding information from the House for want of translated version, which in the opinion of the Committee would unnecessarily delay the implementation of the Assurances.

9. The Committee reiterated their earlier recommendation as contained in para 7 of their 9th Report, wherein the Committee had stressed that "instead of waiting for collection of entire information, whatever information was readily available, should be laid on the Table of the House at the earliest possible opportunity. The rest of the information as and when it becomes available should be laid on the Table of the House periodically."

10. The Department of Parliamentary Affairs may please note the observations|recommendations of the Committee, made during the course of their deleberations at their sittings held on 29th and 30th September, 1970 for necessary action and place them before the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs for his information.

The progress of action taken may please be intimated to this Secretariat for the information of the Committee.

11. This issues with the approval of the Committee on Government Assurances.

ANNEXURE II

(*Vide* para 5 of Minutes dated 30-9-70)

Pending Assurances pertaining to the Seventh Session , 1969 of Fourth Lok Sabha

S.No.	Date and Reference	Subject	Promise made	Remarks of D.P.A. as on 24-9-70.
		CABINET SECRETARIAT		
1.	SQ No. 270, dated the 19th February, 1969 by Shri Hem Raj.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 1367 on the 20th November, 1968 and asking (b) whether the report of the Administrative Reforms Commission on Community Development and Cooperation has been examined by Govt., (b) and if so, the conclusions arrived at.	(a) to (b). The Administrative Reforms Commission has not submitted anu report on Community Development and Cooperation. However its report on the machinery of the Government of India and its procedures of work contains a recommendation that there should be only one Small, Combined Department of Community Development and Cooperation instead of two separate Departments as at present. The report is under examination.	The Committee considered the reasons advanced by the Department of Parliamentary Affairs and noted that though the Administrative Reforms Commission had not submitted separate report on the Community Development and Cooperation it had made a recommendation regarding combining both the Departments into one in its report on the Machinery of the Government of India and its procedure of work. The Committee desired that the Government should stated at the action taken on the above recommendation of the A.R.?? information awaited.
		DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNICATIONS		
2.	USQ No. 1853, dated the 6th March, 1969 by Shri Yashwant Singh Kushwah and Shri Baburao Patel.	Asking for the names and designations of postal and telegraph employees of Jabalpur and the amount of frauds involved by way of medical reimbursements and the manner in which the frauds were committed.	While giving other information it was stated that the names and designations of the officials would be placed on the Table of the Lok Sabha in due Course.	Since implemented.

1	2	3	4	5
3.	USQ No. 3754, dated the 20th March, 1969 by Shri Gadilingana Gowd and Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey ;	(a) for the number of Radio licences issued during the years 1967, 1968 and 1969 so far ; (b) the amount received ; (c) the number of radio licences renewed during the above period and ; (d) the amount received.	(a) to (d). Information in respect of the year 1969 is being collected and will be placed on the Table of the Sabha.	No information received despite repeated reminders.
4.	SQ No. 1443 dated, the 1st May, 1969 by Shri S.C. Samanta.	(a) whether the Posts and Telegraphs Department a income has gone up substantially as a result of increase in the rates of postal articles and telegrams ; and (b) if so, the increase over last years sale proceeds of similar articles.	(a) and (b). The relevant information is being collected which will be placed on the Table of the Lok Sabha.	Hindi Version awaited. English Version ready.
5.	USQ No. 8856 dated the 8th May, 1969 by Shri Kanwar Lal Gupta and others	Asking for the amount lying unadjusted in Delhi, Madras, Bombay and Calcutta out of the amount deposited by people in connection with the payment of their telephone bills.	The information regarding the unadjusted credits is being collected and will be placed on the Table of the Sabha in due course.	English Version ready. Hindi version awaited.
6.	USQ No. 8942 dated the 8th May, 1969 by Shri Nihal Singh.	(a) whether it is a fact that there is no post office in Village Nagrota Surian and other nearby villages in Kangra Distt. of Himachal Pradesh on account of which people		No information received despite repeated reminders.

	in this area have to go to Mangwal Railway station which is nearly 8—10 miles away to send a telegram or to make a trunk-call in cases of emergency ; and		
	(b) if so, whether Government propose to open a telegraph office/ Public Call Office there and if not, the reasons therefor ?	(b) Proposals for opening telegraph Office/Public Call Office at Nagrota Surian are under examination.	

Commemorative Stamp on Vir Savarkar

7. SQ No. 1712, dated the 15th May, 1969.		The Minister said, "The Government will certainly consider the suggestion (about honouring veer Savarkar to the people who really fought for Freedom) made by Shri P. Venkatasubbiah."	

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Delegations sent abroad by the Ministry of Defence

8. USQ No. 2721, dated the 12th March, 1969 by Shri Lakkappa and Dr. Sushila Nayar.	(a) the number of delegations sent abroad during the last two years by the Ministry of Defence	(a) to (d). Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	No information received despite repeated reminders.
	(b) the names of countries visited by those delegations;		
	(c) the amount spent by each delegation ; and		
	(d) the result achieved thereby ;		

1	2	3	4	5
		Allotment of Agricultural Land To Ex-Servicemen		
9.	USQ No. 4423 dated the 26th March, 1969 by Shri K. Lakkappa	Referring to the reply given to Starred Question No. 511 on the 4th December, 1968 regarding allotment of agricultural land to Ex-Servicemen and asking: (a) whether the information has since been collected from the State Government; and (b) if so, the details thereof.	(a) and (b) while given information regarding some States and Union Territories it was stated that information regarding other States Andhra Pradesh, Assam, Bengal, Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, Orrisa, Punjab, Rajasthan, Mysore, Jammu & Kashmir, Haryana, Laccadive, Minicoy & Adminidivi Islands, Nefa. Dadra and Nagar Haveli would be laid on the Table of the House in due course.	Information just read. will be laid in the next session
10.	USQ No. 4510 dated the 28th March, 1969 by Shri S. M. Joshi	(a) whether it is a fact that the present Cantonment Board Act, 1924 is outmoded ; and (b) if so, when Government propose to amend it suitably to meet the requirements of the present situation.	(a) and (b) The Cantonments Act, 1924, requires certain amendments. A bill incorporating the requisite amendments is proposed to be introduced in Parliament.	

MINISTRY OF EDUCATION AND YOUTH SERVICES

Secretary to Coordination Committee For Publication of Books

			No information received despite repeated reminders.
11. USQ No. 660 dated the 21st February, 1969 by Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee and others.	(a) whether an Officer has been appointed as a Secretary of the Co-ordination Committee constituted in regard to the programme of publication of books by the Central Government involving crores of rupees; (b) if so, the details regarding his qualifications, suitability and experience; and (c) whether charges of financial irregularities have been levelled against him.	(c) Mention has been made in the audit report about some financial irregularities in the Publications Unit under him and the matter is under consideration.	The Committee were not at all satisfied with the reasons advanced by the Department of Parliamentary Affiars for dropping the assurance in question and directed that the House should be informed of the action taken by the Government regarding some financial irregularities pointed out in the Audit Report in the Publications Unit under the Secretary of the Standing Committee of Vice-Chancellors of Hindi Speaking States. The Committee further observed that the Public Accounts Committee would also take a note of the irregularities reported in the Audit Report.
	Motion of Thanks on the Presidents Address		
12 25-2-1969.		On a point raised by Dr. Sushila Nayar regarding the date of effectiveness of pay scales granted to U.P. College teachers by U.G.C., the Minister promised to find out from which date the pay scales will apply actually.	Since Implemented.
13. USQ No. 1360 dated the 27th February, 1969 by Shri Mohan Prasad.	Referring to the reply given to USQ No. 3580 on 6-12-68 and asking— (a) for the number of teachers belonging to the Scheduled Castes Scheduled Tribes and non-scheduled	(a) and (b). The information is being collected and will be laid	No information received, despite repeated reminders.

1	2	3	4	5
		Castes in each category of Government Schools in the Union Territories school-wise and category-wise, as mentioned in the statement laid in reply to part (b) of the aforesaid question ; and (b) the total number of teachers benefitted in each of the above schools upto December, 1968 by the Ministry of Home Affairs Office Memorandum No. 9/45/60/Fst. (D), dated the 20th April, 1961.	on the Table of the Sabha in due course.	No information received despite repeated reminders.
14.	USQ No. 1469, dated the 27th February, 1969 by Shri Lobo Prabhu.	(a) whether it is a fact that a student has to learn four languages in the Maharashtra State ; (b) whether there is any other State where the position is similar ; (c) whether any study has been made to find out of it there is any deterioration in the study of other subjects due to the encroachment of the language load, if no study has been made, the reasons therefor ; (d) whether an evaluation has been made of the quality of education in English medium and Regional language medium schools in four language and three language areas and, if not, the reasons therefor ;	(a) to (f). Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	No information is received despite repeated reminders.

		(e) whether heavy language loads and regional languages are causing loss of employment opportunities to students in some States ; and (f) if so, the remedial steps taken in the matter.		
15.	USQ No. 2219, dated the 7th March, 1969 by Shri Nihal Singh.	Referring to the reply given to USQ No. 2744 on the 29th November, 1968 ; and (a) whether information regarding class IV employees of Schools in Himachal Pradesh has since been collected ; (b) if so, the details thereof ; and (c) if not, the reasons for the delay.	(a) to (c). The government of Himachal Pradesh are collecting the information from the field offices and the same will be laid on the Table of the Sabha, when received.	Since implemented.
16.	USQ No. 2220, dated the 7th March, 1969 by Shri Nihal Singh.	Referring to the reply given to USQ No. 2743 on the 29th November, 1968 and (a) whether information regarding the Headmaster residing in the school building in Himachal Pradesh has since been colletced ; (b) if so, the details thereof ; and (c) if not, the reasons for which the information would not be collected.	(a) to (c). The information could not be collected so far, as a large number of offices and Educational institutions located in remotest parts of Himachal Pradesh remain inaccessible during rainy and winter seasons. It will be laid on the Table of the Sabha, as soon as possible.	Since implemented.

1	2	3	4	5	6
				Observations of the Committtee—	
17.	USQ No. 2246 dated the 7th March, 1969 by Shri Sharda Nand and others.	(a) whether the Cheif Vigilance Commissioner, New Delhi had received any complaint in December, 1968 from any Member of Parliament regarding misappropriation of funds of about Rs. 1,40,000 allocated for the Post-Matric Scholarship Scheme during 1967-68 by the Delhi Administration; and (b) if so, the result of the enquiries made by the Chief Vigilance Commissioner in this regard.	(a) Yes, Sir. (b) The Central Vigilance Commission is still making enquiries.	The Committee, having gone into the grounds stated by the Department of Parliamentary Affairs for dropping the assurance in question decided to keep it pending until the Government informed the House of the outcome of the inquiries being made by the Central vigilance Commission into the Complaint regarding misappropriation or funds of about Rs. 1,40,000 allocated for the Post-Matric Scholarship Scheme during 1967-68 by the Delhi Administration.	Information awaited
				Observations of the Committee.	No information recd. after repeated reminder
18.	USQ. No. 3174 dated 14th March, 1969 by Shri Nibal Singh	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 5322 on the 20th December, 1968 and asking— (a) whether a decision has since been taken in regard to the introduction from the Year 1969 of the Bachelor of Pharmacy Degree Course, which was under consideration of Delhi Administration; (b) if so, the details thereof; and	(a) to (c) The question of granting affiliation to the course is under the consideration of Delhi University.	The Committee, not being convinced with the reasons advanced by the Department of Parliamentary Affairs for dropping the assurance in question, directed that the Government should inform the House of the the final decision taken on the question of granting affiliation to the Bachelor of Pharmacy Degree	

	(c) if the reply to part (a) be in the negative, the reasons for delay.		Course which was then stated to be under the consideration of the Delhi University.
19. USQ. No. 3936 dated the 21st March, 1969 by Shrimati Ila Palchoudhuri.	(a) whether the employees of the Asiatic Society, Calcutta have approached the Government of India for the grant of Dearness Allowance at the rate given to their own staff ; (b) if so, the details thereof ; (c) whether the request has been acceded to ; and (d) if not, the reasons for its rejection.	(a) to (d). The employees union of the Asiatic Society Calcutta have given a representation seeking dearness allowance at rates on a part with Central Government Employees. The question of financial assistance to be given to the Asiatic Society is under the consideration of the Government of India.	Do.
20. USQ. No. 3963 dated the 21st March, 1969 by Shri M. Meghachandra.	Referring to the reply given to USQ. No. 1295 on 26th July, 1968 and asking : (a) whether the pension cases of Matriculates untrained and non Mtriculate untrained teachers have now been finalised. (b) if so, the details thereof ; (c) whether Government have approved the orders of the Education Department of the former Manipur Territorial Council dated the 14th/15th January, 1963 exempting the non-Matriculate untrained teachers who had put in 20 years of service after the 1st January, 1959, from training and thereby sanctioning the scales of pay of trained teachers for them ; and	(a) to (d). The requisite Information is being collected from the Manipur administration and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha in due course.	No information received despite repeated reminders.

1	2	3	4	5	6
		(d) if not, the reasons for discriminatory treatment to the non-Matriculates who have already enjoyed the exemption referred to above.			
21	USQ. No. 3978 dated the 21st March, 1969 by Shri P.L. Barupal.	Referring to the reply given to USQ. No. 845 on the 15th November, 1968 regarding the exclusion of a text book from the syllabus of public school in Rajasthan and asking :			
		(a) whether the requisite information has since been collected from the Government of Rajasthan ; and	(*a*) *and* (*b*). The requisite information is still awaited from the State Government and the same will be laid on the Table of the Sabha, when received.	Since Implemented.	
		(b) if so, the details thereof.			
22	USQ. No. 4002 dated the 21st March, 1969 by Shri Dinkar Desai.	(a) whether the Madhukeshwara Temple of Banavasi, District North Kanara, Mysore State, known for its nunique features and sculptural wealth (dated 6th Century A.D.) is in a bad state of preservation ;			
		(b) whether it is a fact that the roof of the Temple is heavily leading and vegetation growing on the roof ;		-do-	
		(c) whether the foundation is being affected by erosion, etc.;			

	(d) whether the Archaeological Survey Department has plans to undertake excavation at Banavasi, the famous Capital of the Kadambas (2nd Century A.D.) ;		
	(e) whether it is a fact that recently some antiquites have been found datable to 2nd Century. B.C. at Banavasi ; and	(e) The information is being collected.	
	(f) whether the Archaeological Survey of India are aware of the fact that no major excavation of early historical sites in Mysore has taken place during the last fifteen years.		
23. USQ. No. 4015 dated the 21st March, 1969 by Shri Shiva Chandra Jha.	(a) whether it is a fact that India has been continously defeated in all the International games and sports during the last five years ;	(a) to (e) It is not a fact that India has been continuously defeated in all the International games and sports during the last five years. Although in the Mexico Olympics Indian Hockey Team could secure only a bronze medal, it had won gold medals for Hockey in Tokyo Olympics (1964) and in the last Asian Games, (1966). Indian Tennis Team also reached the Challenge Round in the Davis Cup Matches in 1966. Detailed information about the result of our participation in various games and	Since Implemented.
	(b) if so, the reasons therefor ; and		
	(c) if not, in what international game or sport Indian teams have won, or lost as the case may be, during the period and what trophies the Indian teams won or lost.		

1	2	3	4	5	6
			sports in International events during last five year is being collected from the National Sports Federations and will be laid on the Table of the House, in due coulsrse.		
24.	USQ. No. 4035 dated the 21st March, 1969 by Shri Biswanarayan Shastri.	(a) whether Government propose to constitute a Central Youth Services schemes in view of their growing importance ; (b) if so, the details thereof ; and (c) if not, the reasons therefor.	*(a) to (c).* A proposal to constitute a National Committee on Youth Services is under consideration.	No imformation received despite repeated reminders.	
		Half-an-hour Discussion Regarding Students'unrest in India			
25.	21-3-1969	Referring to the admission of students in various colleges, Shri A. Sreedharan stated : "The children of rich parents can get admission anywhere. I know of a medical college in Mysore State where the capitation fee is as high as Rs. 25,000 per admission. It is just like selling some merchandise in the black-market. This is happening all over the country.	In the course of reply the Minister for Education and Youth Services said : "Regarding capitation fee in Colleges, I am afraid— I speak subject to correction because I do not know about medical colleges they really do not come within my purview but I have an impression	Since Implemented.	

		There are private, prefessional medical colleges where huge amounts are to be paid for obtaining admission".	that strong action has been taken both in engineering colleges and in medical colleges in regard to capitation requirements. In any case, I shall look into it and I shall tell the Hon. Member what the position is."	
		Adoption of Three-Language Formula.		
26.	S. Q. No. 1025 dated the 11th April, 1969.		During the course of supplementaries, the Minister said, "Before I come before Parliament and give information regarding the implementation of three language formula position in the States I must get it properly checked and verified on the basis of communication from the State Governments."	No information recd. despite repeated reminders.
27	USQ. No. 6036 dated the 11th April, 1969 by Shri Gadilingana Gowd and others.	(a) for the names of those States who have refused and of those who have accepted the proposal of the Central Government regarding the absorption of the National Fitness Corps' Instructors ;	*(a) and (b)* Replies from Orissa, West Bengal, Manipur and Chandigarh are awaited.	-do-
		(b) the reasons for their rifusal or acceptance ;		
		(c) whether Government have taken further steps to consider the question of the future of the National Fitness Corps ; and	*(c) and (d)* The matter is under consideration.	
		(d) if so, the details thereof.		

1	2	3	4	5	6
		General Budget—Demands For Grants			
28	17-4-1969		During the course of discussion, the Minister said, "I am also preparing a paper on Youth Welfare which I will circulate to all Members of Parliament."		No information received despite repeated reminders.
29	S. Q. No. 1174 dated the 18th April, 1969—Supplementary Question by Shri Molahu Prasad.	Asking about the opinion of the Government regarding publication of the 33 Magazines monthly, fortnightly, weekly etc. in Hindi and regional languages with which most of our people are familiar instead of publishing in English.	During the course of supplementaries, the Minister said, "I can assure, the Magazins which are publishing in English will be criticised and it will be tried to publish maximum number of publications in Hindi as far as possible.		
30	S.Q. No. 1190 dated the 18th April, 1969 by Shri Onkar Lal Berwa and others.	(a) whether it is a fact that U.K. one of the principal beneficiaries of the Berne Convention on Copyright, has deceined to relax its copyright hold and accept the concessions formulated by common agreement for the benefit of the developing countries ; (b) if so, whether the U.K. Government has conveyed to the Indian Government that it did not intend admitting the application of the protocol regarding developing countries annexed to the Stockholm version of the Berne Convention ; and			-do-

		(c) if so, whether Government of India have decided to discontinue its membership of the Berne Convention.	(c) The matter is under consideration.	
		Text Books In Indian Languages		
31	SQ. No. 1193 dated the 18th April, 1969 by Shri Sradhakar Supakar and Shri Latafat Ali Khan.	Asking the for progress made so far in the preparation of standard text books in Indian languages on technical and scientific subjects.	Information is being collected from the State Government and will be laid on the Table of the House.	No information received despite repeated reminders.
32	USQ. No. 7600 dated the 25th April, 1969 by Shri Sharda Nand and Kumari Kamala Kumari.	(a) whether the sittings of the subject panels constituted by the Standing Committee of Commission for Scientific and Technical Terminology for the production of Hindi books were held ; (b) if so, the recommendations made in those sittings ; (c) whether a copy of the proceedings of each of the subject panels will be laid on the Table of the House ; and (d) the number of sittings of the Standing Committee held so far and the date on which its next sitting is scheduled to be held.	*(a) to (d).* The necessary information is being collected and compiled and will be laid on the Table of the House in due course.	do.

1	2	3	4	5	6
33	U.S.Q. No. 9000 dated the 9th May, 1969 by Shri Valmiki Choudhury and Shri R.K. Sinha	(a) whether a Conference of the Vice-Chancellors of the universities had been held in New Delhi recently ;			
		(b) if so, the problems of the Universities discussed the rein and the solutions found thereto ; and		(*b*) *and* (*c*). These are now under consideration.	No information received despite repeated reminders.
		(c) the decisions taken in the said Conference and the action being taken thereon.			
		Situation of Tibet in Book on International Law			
34	S.Q. No. 1745, dated the 16th May, 1969 by Shri Ranjit Singh and others.	(a) whether it is a fact that in the book on 'International Law, prescribed for Post-Graduate classes by the Jammu & Kashmir University, the situation in Tibet has been described in conformity with the Chinese views ;			
		(b) whether it is also a fact that the Indian borders have also been wrongly marked in the books published recently by the Education Department of the State ; and			do.
		(c) if so, the names of the persons responisble for this mistake and the action taken in this connection.		(c) The position in this regard is being ascertained from the State Government.	

35	S.Q. No. 1764 dated 16th May, 1969 by Shri Bhogendra Jha.	asking whether the University Grants Commission has taken a final decision on reorganising the present Kameshwar Singh Darbhang Sanskrit University (Bihar) into a modern University with the present Sanskrit University remaining its part.	The comments of the State Government on the report of the Committee appointed by the University Grants Commission are still awaited.	Since Implemented.
36	USQ. No. 9842 dated the 16th May, 1969 by Shri P. Antony Reddy and others.	(a) whether it is a fact that the National Council of Educational Research and Training has increased the house rent allowance of its employees from 25 per cent to 35 per cent ; (b) if so, the reasons therefor ; (c) whether it is also a fact that the low paid employees of the Council could not get this benefit ; (d) whether it is further a fact that the employees in lower cadres have represented their case ; and (e) the steps being taken to help the employees in lower cadres in getting the advantage of this scheme.	(e) The matter is under consideration of NCERT	No information recd. despite repeated reminders.

Land Acquired for School Buildings by Delhi Administration

37	USQ. No. 9890 dated the 16th May, 1969 by Shri B.K. Daschowdhury.	(a) whether a major portion of land acquired by the Delhi Administration in 1961 for the construction of school buildings lay unused till February, last year ; and (b) if so, the steps which Government propose to take to press upon the Delhi Administration to start work on the plots.	*(a) and (b).* The requisite information is awaited from the Delhi Administration and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha as soon as possible.	English Version received. Hindi Version awaited.

1	2	3	4	5	6
		Celebration of Quincentenary of Guru Nanak Sahib			
38.	USQ. No. 9969 dated the 16th May, 1969 by Shri D.C. Sharma and Shri A.S. Saigal.	(a) whether any Central assistance has been given for the celebration of Quincentenary of Guru Nanak Sahib ; (b) the programme chalked out in this regard ; and (c) whether any booklets are proposed to be brought out to spread his message.	*(a)* (*b*) and (*c*). The matter is under consideration.		No information received despite repeated reminders.
39	USQ No. 10023 dated 16th May, 1969 by Shri Kanwar Lal Gupta.	(a) whether it is a fact that the University Grants Commission has recommended that the Universities which are getting almost cent per cent aid for their development programme should admit students on All India basis; (b) if so whether the said recommendation was also considered in the Vice-Chancellors' Conference held recently in Delhi; and (c) the reaction of Government to the said recommendation.	*(a) to (b)* : The matter is now under consideration of the University Grants Commission and the recommendations of the Commission will be brought to the notice of Universities and the State Governments.	*Observations of the Committee* The Committee were not convinced with the reasons advanced by the Department of Parliamentary Affairs for dropping the assurance in question and directed that the assurance be kept alive for implementation. They directed that the Government should state whether they had finally taken a decision on the views expressed by the University Grants Commission that the Universities which were getting cent per cent assistance for their development programme should admit students on all-India basis, if so, what were its broad outlines.	No information received despite repeated reminders.

MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

Attempted entry of an Indian Boy into U.K. in the Guise of a Girl.

40	USQ. No. 308, dated the 19th February, 1969 by Shri Mayavan.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 4983 on the 18th November, 1968 and asking : (a) whether the matter regarding an Indian boy's attempt to enter U.K. in the disguise of a girl has since been looked into ; (b) if so, the result thereof ; (c) whether Government have received any official communication in this regard from the Government of U.K. ; and (d) if so, the broad details thereof.	*(a) to (d).* The matter is still under investigation and a report will be laid on the Table of the House	Since Implemented.

Trade representative of Mysore in London

41	USQ. No. 1174. dated the 26th February, 1969 by Shri Brij Bhushan Lal and others.	(a) whether any decision has been taken regarding the future of Office of Trade Representative of Mysore in London ; and (b) if so, the details thereof.	*(a) and (b).* No. The matter is still under consideration.	No information received despite repeated reminders

Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance—Kachative Issue.

42	27-2-1969	In the contest of reply given to one of the clarificatory Questions about India's sovereign rights over the Kachchative Islands, Shri Madhu Limaye asked for the details and circumstances under which representatives of the Ceylonese authorities and their one helicopter plane was allowed to land in the Island during the St. Anthony Festival last year.	On directions from Speaker that the Minister may state about helicopter, the Minister said, "what happened last year has already been given in all details. If you so desire, Sir ; I shall keep a copy of the same on the Table."	do.

1	2	3	4	5	6
		Foreign Official Dignitaries visit to India			
43	USQ No. 2694, dated the 12th March, 1969 by Shri Jyotirmoy Basu and others.	(a) the total number of foreign official, dignitaries who visited India during the last twenty-one months; and (b) the total amount spent on them.	(*a*) *and* (*b*). The information is being collected.		No information recd. despite repeated reminders.
		Officers belonging to Ministries posted in Indian Missions Abroad.			
44	USQ No. 2594, dated the 12th March, 1969 by Shri Molahu Prashad.	Referring to the reply given to Starred Question No. 840 on the 18th December, 1968 and asking : (a) whether the information regarding officers belonging to ministries posted in the Indian Missions abroad has since been collected; and (b) if so, the details thereof.	(*a*) *and* (*b*). While bulk of information has already been collected, the relevent information from two sources is still awaited. The information will be laid on the Table of the House shortly.		do.
45	USQ No. 5750 dated the 9th April, 1969 by Shri Bedabrata Barua and others.	(a) whether a number of Indian citizens have given up Indian citizenship and acquired foreign citizenship during the last three years; (b) which are the countries whose citizenship has been accepted by these Indians; and (d) whether any of them have applied for Indian citizenship again.	(a) to (c). The infomration is being collected and will be placed on the Table of the House as soon as possible.		Information just recd. will be laid in the next Session.

46	USQ No. 6543, dated the 16th April, 1969 by Shri Baburao Patel.	(a) the amount Indian contributes annually to the United Nations and to each of the various other foreign organizations of which Government of India is a member with their names; (b) the amounts contributed to the above since 1966, year-wise; (c) the currency in which these contributions are paid; and (d) the benefit derived by the country so far from this anual drain?	(a) to (d). The required information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	No information recd. despite repeated reminders.
47	USQ No. 7399 dated the 23rd April, 1969 by Shri Jyotirmoy Bosu.	Requesting the Minister to place on the Table a list of Indian citizens who visited U.S.A., between 1965 and 1968 on the invitation of the Friends of India Society of the U.S.A. and asking: (a) whether any one of the persons mentioned in the party established any new organisation on his return to India; (b) whether according to the report published in the *New York Times* the Firends of India Society is a cover organisation for CIA; and (c) if so, what is Government's information in this regard.	(a) to (c). The relevent information is being collected and will be placed on the Table of the House as soon as the facts are obtained.	Since Implemented.
		MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS		
48	USQ. No. 8730 dated the 7th May, 1969 by	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 7349 on 23rd Ap-	The minister stated: "The matter is still under consi-	

1	2	3	4	5	6
	Shri Beni Shanker Sharma and Shri D.C. Sharma.	ril, 1969 regarding relics of Tantia tope and asking for the place chosen to keep the Achkan and block of hair of Tantia Tope.	deration of Government."		
		MINISTRY OF FINANCE		**Observation of the Committee**	
49	S.Q. No. 149 dated the 24th February, 1969 by Shri Yajna Datt Sharma.	(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the photostat copy of the letter purported to be written by Shri Hari Das Mundhra to the Financial Controller of all his concerns in London asking him to give five pounds of tea to Shri Verma, appearing in the 'Blitz' of the 28th December, 1968; (b) if so, whether Government have tried to decipher the significance of five pounds of tea, which is a code word; and (c) if so, with what results.	(a) to (c). Yes. Government have seen in the 'Blitz' dated the 28th December, 1968, a photostat copy of a letter purported to have been written by Shri Haridas Mundhra to one Mr. Taylor, asking him to give" five Pounds of Tea" to Shri Verma. Enquries in this regard are being made by Enforcement Directorate.	The committee noted that enquireis and investigations in cases of the nature mentioned in the above question were time consuming but were of the view that that could not be the sufficient reason to drop the assurance in question. The Committee desired that the enquiries into the report of a letter purported to have been written by Shri Haridas Mundhra to one Mr. Taylor asking him to give "five pounds of Tea" to Shri Verma, should be expedited and the House informed of the final outcome of these enquiries.	Remarks of DPA as on 24-9-70. No. information received despite repeated reminders.
		Administrative Reforms Commission's report on Life Insurance Corporation			
50	USQ. No. 876, dated the 24th February, 1969 by Shri D.N. Patodia and others.	(a) whether it is a fact that the Administrative Reforms Commission recently recommended various steps and actions to improve the working and	(a) and (b). The Report is under consideration.	No information received. after repeated reminders.	Remarks of D.P.A. as on 24-9-70.

		efficiency in the Life Insurance Corporation; and (b) if so, the board recommendations made by the Administrative Reforms Commission and action taken thereon.		
		Impact of PL 480 Funds		
51	U.S.Q. No. 892, dated the 24th February, 1969 by Shri Chengalraya Naidu and others.	(a) whether it is fact that Government have appointed a small group of experts to undertake the study of the the impact of foreign aid particularly PL 480 transactions on the monetary system and industrial development of the country ; (b) if so, the names of the experts so appointed and their terms of reference ; (c) whether the study Groups has submiteed its report ; and (d) if so, the findings there of and Governments reaction thereto.	(J) The report is under consideration of the Government and Government 's final reply to recommendation No. 34. will be furnished to the Estimates Committee as soon as possible for being laid on the Table of the Lok Sabha.	-do-
		Resolution Regarding Customs (Amendments) Ordinance and Customs (Amendment) Bill		
52	20-3-1969.		On a suggestion by Shri S. R. Damani that the State Trading Corporation should be authorised to handle the exports of silver, the Minister said, "I would certainly put forth the suggestions made by hon. Member before the Deputy Prime Min-	Since Implemented.

1	2	3	4	5
			minister for his consideration and rethinking on the matter."	
		Creation of New Posts		
53	U.S.Q. No. 1656, dated the 3rd March, 1969 by Shri J.M. Lobo Prabhu.	(a) whether the ban on revision of salary structure apply to the creation of new posts ; and		Since implemented.
		(b) if so, the new posts carrying salary of more than Rs. 3,000, Ministry wise created in the Central Government and the Public Sector Undertakings since 1964.	(b) Information, Ministry-wise, regarding the new posts carrying salary of more than Rs. 3,000, created in the Central Government and the Public Sector Undertakings since 1964, is being collected and will be placed on the Table of the House in due course.	
		Revision of Pay Scales		
54	U.S.Q. No. 2269, dated the 10th March, 1969 by Shri Om Prakash Tyagi and Shri Ram Swarup Vidyarthi.	(a) the number of posts for the revision of scales of which proposals have been received by the Ministry of Finance from various Ministries during the last two years ;	(a) and (b). The requisite information for the years 1966-67 and 1967-68 is not readily available. This will be collected and laid on the Table of the House as soon as possible.	No information received despite repeated reminders.
		(b) the posts in respect of which pay scales have been revised ; and		

		(c) the number of posts the pay scales of which are under consideration in the Ministry for revision at present.	(c) This information is not readily available. Information regarding the number of posts, the pay scales of which are under consideration in the Ministry of Finance as on 1-2-1969, will also be collected and laid on the Table of the House alongwith (a) and (b).	
		Indians having Bank Accounts abroad		
55	U.S.Q. No. 3237, dated the 17th March, 1969 by Shri Kameshwar Singh.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 139, on the 11th November, 1968 and asking: (a) the total number of persons fined and the names of persons and firms fined for maintaining bank accounts abroad without prior permission of the Reserve Bank till the 31st December, 1968 ; (b) the amount of fines collected ; and (c) whether any other action has been taken against the defaulters ?	(a) to (c). Information regarding the number and names of parties on whom penalties were imposed by the Director of Enforcement during the three years ending the 31st December, 1968 for maintaining bank accounts abroad without prior permission of the Reserve Bank of India, the amounts of penalties realised and details as to whether any other action was taken by the Enforcement Directorate against the parties concerned, is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha.	Since implemented.
		Bank Accounts Maintained by Ex-Princes Abroad		
56	U.S.Q. No. 3243, dated 17th March, 1969 by Dr. Sushila Nayar and others.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 968 on the 18th November, 1968 and asking :	(a) to (e). Information is being compiled on an annual basis with reference to the outstand-	Since implemented.

1	2	3	4	5	6
		(a) whether the information regarding the total amount deposited in foreign banks as on the 31st March, 1968 by 38 Ex-Princes and 11 members of the princely families has since been collected ; (b) if not, the reasons for the delay ; and (c) the time by which the information will be collected by Government ?	ing balances as at the end of December, each year. Information regarding balances in the accounts of the persons mentioned in the Question as at the end of 31st December, 1968 are being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House as soon as these have been compiled.		
		Government Offices and Industrialists visits abroad			
57	U.S.Q. No. 4124, dated the 24th March, 1969 by Shri Jyotirmoy Basu.	(a) the number of Government officers including Officers working in the public sector undertakings and industrialists who visited foreign countries during the years 1967-68 and 1968-69 so far ; and (b) the total amount of foreign exchange allotted for the purpose.	(a) and (b). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House as soon as it is available.	Complete information since received. Proposed to be laid next session.	
		Capital invested by Indians in Foreign Companies			
58	U.S.Q. No. 4233, dated the 24th March, 1969 by Shri Beni Shanker Sharma.	Asking for the approximate capital invested by Indians in foreign companies and the approximate dividend received them annually.	Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	No information received despite repeated reminders.	Remarks of D.P.A as on 24-9-70

Recruitment in Public Sector Undertakings

59	S.Q. No. 784, dated the 31st March, 1969—Supplementary Question by Shri K. M. Kaushik.	Asking whether the recommendations of the A. R.C. with regard to the employment of surplus labour from on Public undertakings to another have been accepted and, if so, whether any direction will be issued to each undertakings so that periodical reports might be received by the Government to see whether these recommendations have been properly implemented.	The Minister said, "Now, they (all the Public Undertakings) have been asked to conduct studies ,in this connection, by certain industrial engineering units so that the exact position will be known and. as soon as the report about all Public Sector undertakings is available, we shall place it on the Table of the House.	English Version received. Hindi Version awaited.

Top twenty Income-Tax Assessees who were refused tax clearence certificates

60	U.S.Q. No. 4742, dated the 31st March, 1969 by Shri Baburao Patel.	(a) the names of twenty top assessees were refused tax clearance certificates to leave the country during the last year with names of countries where they wanted to go and the amount of tax arrears involved in each case ; (b) where it is a fact that many Indian Muslims run up arrears of tax and then quietly migrate to Pakistan ; and (c) if so, the number of such migrants during the last three years and the total amount of tax lost by Government.	(a) to (c). The requisite information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House as early as possible.	English Version received Hindi Version awaited.

1	2	3	4	5	6
		Income-Tax Arrears			
61	U.S.Q. No. 4780, dated the 31st March, 1969 by Shri Kanwar Lal Gupta.	(a) the names and addresses of those assessees againstwhom the arrears of income-tax and wealth-tax amounting to Rupees 10 lakhs and more as on the 31st March, 1968 are outstanding ; (b) the action taken by Government to recover the arrears ; and (c) the names of those assessees against whom these arrears are outstanding for the last three or more years.	(a) to (c). The requisite information is being collected and will be laid on the table of the House as early as possible.	No information received despite repeated reminders.	
					Remarks of the D. P. A. as on 29-9-70
62	U.S.Q. No. 5434, dated the 7th April, 1969 by Shri Hukam Chand Kachwai.	(a) whether it is a fact that Customs Officials seized gold and other smuggled goods worth about Rs. 7 lakhs at Bhurood about 50 miles from from Bombay during March, 1969 ; and (b) if so, the number of persons arrested in this connection and the action taken against them during the above period.	(b) Investigations are in progress.	The Committee were not satisfied with the reasons advanced by the Department of Parliamentary Affairs for dropping the assurances in question and directed that the Government might be asked to inform the House of the outcome of the investigations being made in regard to the seizure of smuggled gold at Bhurood near Bombay.	No information received despite repeated reminders.

Evasion of Wealth Tax by Estate Owners of Palghat District

63. USQ No. 5465 dated the 7th April, 1969 by Shri Mangalathumadam.	(a) whether Government have any information that a large number of Estate-owners in Kerala (Palghat District) have evaded payment of Wealth tax for nearly 3 years ; (b) whether the Kerala Government have reported this matter to the proper authorities and whether the specific case of Moppat Sthanam Estate was also reported; (c) whether it is a fact that the Estate Duty Officers have not properly expedited the realisation of the tax; and (d) if so, the action taken to realise the wealth tax arrears.	(a) to (d) The information is not readily available and is being collected. The same will be laid on the Table of the House as early as possible.	English version reced. Hindi version awaited	Remarks of D.A.P. as on 24-9-70

Foreign-owned Tea Companies

64. SQ No. 1113, dated the 16 h April, 1969—Supplementary Question by Shri R. Barua.	Stating that the foreign companies are not p oughing back their profits for the development of the tea industry as such and that they are spending more money for overhead expenses as buildings, Luxury houses and other things, the Member asked whether Government can do something so that the profit is to some extent ploughed back for the real improvement of tea and not spent on unnecessary expenditure like luxury buildings and paying high salaries to officers from abroad.	The Minister promised to look into the question of development of tea industry and overspending by the Tea companies by way of Building and high salaries.	No information reced. dispite repeated reminders.

1	2	3	4	5
65	SQ No. 1113, dated the 16th April, 1969—Supplementary Question by Shri R. K. Amin.	Stating that one of the ways adopted by foreign companies in India is to export the tea in the name of their own companies in foreign companies by the method of under invoicing which results in loss of foreign exchange and asking what action has been taken by the Government to stop this practice.	The Minister for foreign Trade and Supply said "Under invoicing and over invoicing are looked after by Ministry of Finance and I am quite sure that they will be well aware of this problem and would look into it".	-Do-
		Foreign Exchange given to Shri G. D. Birla and his Party for going to Ethiopia		
66	USQ No. 7044, dated the 21st April, 1969 by Shri H. N. Mukerjee.	(a) the amount of foreign exchange sanctioned in connection with the recent visit of Shri G. D. Birla and his party to Ethiopia ; and (b) if no such amount was sanctioned, who financed the visit.	(a) and (b). Release of foreign exchange had been obtained from the Reserve Bank of India for the visit to Ethiopia. Further details are being ascertained and will later be laid on the Table of the House.	No information reced. despite repeated reminders
67	USQ No. 8358, dated the 5th May, 1969 by Shri N. R. Deoghare.	(a) the unspent balance of loans given by the Central Government to the States Statewise, upto the year 1968-69 for the Centrally sponsored schemes ; (b) the names of States which have returned the unspent balances; and (c) the names of States which have not returned the unspent balances and the outstanding amount against each of them.	(a) to (c). Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	-Do-
68	USQ No. 8490, dated the 5th May, 1969 by Shri Jugal Mondal.	(a) the names of persons in Film Industry in the State of West Bengal against whom arrears of Wealth-	(a) and (b). The requisite information is not readily available and is being	English version reced. Hindi version availed.

		tax of Rs. 50 thousands or above are outstanding at present; and (b) the reasons for non-recovery o Wealth-tax arrears from them so far.	collected. The same will be laid on the Table of the House as early as possible.	

Morarka Committee's Report on L.I.C.

69	USQ No. 9279, dated the 12th May, 1969 by Shri Raghuvir Singh Shastri.	(a) whether Government have received the recommendations of the Morarka Committee appointed to look into the question of reducing the expenses of the Life Insurance Corporation; (b) if so, the main recommendations thereof; and (c) the reaction of Government thereto.	No information recd. despite repeated remunders. (c) The report is under consideration of Government.	

MINISTRY OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE AND CDC

Pahari Dhiraj House Building Society, Delhi

70	USQ No. 8960 dated the 8th May, 1969 by Shri Ram Gopal Shalwale.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 3011 on the 13th March, 1969 and (a) whether it is a fact that land in front of Britannia Biscuit Factory on the Ring Road, Delhi has been earmarked for allotment to the Pahari Dhiraj House Building Society, Delhi; (b) if so, the reasons for allotting some other inferior land to this Society instead of the aforesaid land;	(a) to (c). The necessary information is being collected and will be laid on the table of the House.	Since Implemented.

1	2	3	4	5
		(c) to whom the land in front of the Britannia Biscuit Factory has been allotted and the reasons for this allotment ;		
		(d) the time by which land would be allotted to the members of the Society and the reasons for the delay in this regard ; and		
		(e) whether the land in question is proposed to be developed by the C.P.W.D. and if not, the reasons therefor and if som by when.		

Unstarred Question No. 9703 answered in the Lok Sabha on 15th May, 1969 regarding preparation of maps and atlases of the Indian forests

71	USQ. No. 9703 dated the 15th May, 1969 by Shri N. R. Deoghare.	(a) whether it is a fact that there has been a delay in the collection of information regarding the preparation of maps and atlases for the Indian forests ;	(a) Yes.	
		(d) if so, the reasons therefor.	(b) the preparation of maps and Atlases for Indian forests has been help up for want of information from States. Information is still awaited from five States.	No information reced. despite repeated reminders.

MINISTRY OF FOREIGN TRADE AND SUPPLY

Cottage Industries in Kumaon (U.P.)

72	USQ No. 9, dated the 18th February, 1969 by Shri J.B.S. Bist	(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn towards the inadequacy of cottage industries in	(a) to (d). Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of	Since Implemented.

		Kumaon (Uttar Pradesh) ; (b) if so, whether Government propose to establish some more cottage industries in this backwatd hilly area in order to bring about its industrial development ; (c) if so, the types of cottage industries to be established during the Fourth Five Year Plan and the amount allocated therefor; and d) if not, the reasons therefor.	the House in due course.	
		Loss due to Reduction in Export Duties on Tea, Jute, Raw Cotton and Iron Ore		
73	USQ. No. 103, dated the 18th February, 1969 by Shri Chintamani Panigrahi.	(a) for the total loss suffered by Government as a result of the reduction in the export duties on tea, jute, raw cotton and iron ore so far; and (b) the extent to which export earnings were increased from tea, jute, raw cotton and iron ore as a result of reduction in export duties so far.	(a) and (b). The information is being collected and will be placed on the Table of the House.	Information received on 22-9-70. Will relaid in the next session.
		Tobacco Board		
74	SQ.No. 154, dated the 25th February, 1969 Supplementary Question by Shri N.G. Ranga.	Whether anything is being done or would be done in order to bring into operation the provisions of the Central Warehousing Act so that the accumulated stocks as and when they go beyond a particular limit would be taken over by them and credit would be advanced against that by the Reserve Bank or the State Bank of India.	The Minister said, "The last part of the suggestion I will examine. * * * * I can assure him that our efforts in this regard will be pursued."	No information received despite repeated reminders.

1	2	3	4	5
		Irregularities in the Accounts of Bihar Khadi Gramodyog Sangh, Darbhanga		
75	USQ. No. 177, dated the 18th February, 1969 by Shri Bhogendra Jha.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 3131 on the 3rd December, 1968 and asking: (*a*) whether the information regarding the irregularities in the Accounts of the Bihar Khadi Gramodyog Sangh has since been collected; and (*b*) if so, the details thereof and the action taken in the matter.	(*a*) and (*b*) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House in due course.	Request for dropping under saminations.
		Dohad-Khandwa Railway Line		
76	USQ. No. 1191, dated the 25th February, 1969 by Shri Bhagaban Das.	(*a*) whether the Government of Assam as in a memorandum submitted to Central Government urged to form a Tea Corporation for taking care of the uneconomic tea gardens; (*b*) if so, the contents of the memorandum and whether Government are contemplating to form a Tea Corporation; (*c*) if so; when and (*d*) whether the marketing of tea will also be undertaken by this Corporation.	(*a*) *to* (*d*) The Government of Assam has suggested the setting up of a Tea Corporation on the lines of National Textile Corporation for taking over of closed tea gardens. The suggestion is being looked into.	No information received despite repeated reminders.

Commission Agents appointed by European Communist Countries

77	SQ No. 421 and 437 dated the 12th March-1969—Suppelmentary Question by Shri S.K. Tapuriah.	Stating that the country, with free Trade enterprise, when deals with the country where there is totalitarian enterprise (i.e. on agency for a selling and purchasing purposes) tends to loses in selling goods and the totalitarian enterprising country takes the maximum benefits, and asking while dealing with such countries. Govt. of India would allow only the State Trading Corporation to deal with and would not allow any competition to creep in.	The Minister said, "I welcome the suggestion. We shall examine it.	-do-

Commission Agents Appointed by European Communist Countries and Soviet Assistant to Boost Indian Export

78	SQ. No 421 and 437 dated the 12th March, 1969.		In the course of supplementaries, the Minister promised to inform the house whether Soviet Union have appointed agents for commercial purposes in india.	No information recd. despite repeated reminders.
79	SQ. No 321 and 437 dated the 12th March, 1969 by Suppelmentary Question by Shri Chengalraya Naidu.	Whether it is fact as reported by one Shri Katragada Rajagopala Rao, ex-editor of Vishal-Andhra, a former Communist, that Russians import tobacco from India on personal selection basis and when tobacco reaches Russia, they inform the Indian Exporter that the quality is bad and settle terms on some percentage of the deal being given to the Communist Party of India.	Promised to look into the matter.	-do-
80	USQ. No. 2661, dated the 12th March, 1969 by Shri G.C. Dixit.	(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn towards the inadequacy of cottage industries in cer-	(a) to (f) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of	No information recd. despite repeated reminders.

1	2	3	4	5	6
		ta'n backward areas of Madhya Pradesh, such as Bastar, Mandla, Surguja, East Nimad and West Nimad; (b) if so, whether Government purpose to set up some more cottage industries in the aforesaid backward areas so that those areas could be developed industrially ; (c) if so, the number of cotgage industries proposed to be set up during the Fourth Plan period and the nature of each one of them and the amount of money allocated for this purpose ; and (d) if not, the reasons therefor.	the House in due course.		
		Borooah Committee on Tea Industry			
81	USQ No. 2703, dated the 12th March, 1969 by Shri Suraj Bhan, and others.	(a) whether the report of Barooah Committee on Tea Industry has been received ; and (b) if so, their recommendations and Government's reaction thereto.	(b) The Report is under examination. The report of the Committee and Government's decisions on the recommendations will be released as soon as possible.	-do-	
		Delhi as Dry Port			
82	USQ No. 2709, dated the 12th March, 1969 by Shri Kanwar Lal Gupta and others.	Referring to the reply given to starred question No. 182 on the 19th November, 1968 and asking (a) whether Government have taken any final decision on the question of declaring Delhi as dry port ;	(a) to (b) The Government is activitily considering the matter in all its aspects and the final decision would be taken as early as possible.	-do-	

		(b) if not, the resons for the delay and when a decision is likely to be taken in the matter ; (c) the benefits likely to be achieved by the people of Delhi as a result of declaration of Delhi as dry port; and (d) the broad outlines of the Scheme.		
			Export of Films to Ceylon	
83	SQ Nos. 574 and 592 dated the 19th March, 1969—Supplementary Question by Shri Shiv Charan Lal.	whether it is a fact that the films expotrted fetch more money than what we spend in importing and exhibiting foreign films in our country.	The Minister said, "I do not have complete figures of import & export. I can give these figures on receipt of the information.	No information recd. despite repeated reminde...
			Foreign Trade Undertaken by Public Sector	
84	USQ No. 4521 dated the 26th March, 1969 by Shri Arjun Singh Bhadoria.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 3943 on the 10th December, 1968 and asking (a) whether the information regarding foreign trade undetaken by the Public Sector has since been collected by Government; and (b) if so, the areas where the said trade is likely to be developed.	(b) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	No information recd.-despite repeated reminders.

1	2	3	4	5
		Import of Yarn by State Trading Corporation		
85	S.Q. No. 843 dated 2nd April, 1969—Supplementary by Shri S. K. Tapuriah.	Referring to the earlier statement of the then Minister of Commerce in December, 1967 that the sales-cum-study team which visited Canada had secured firm orders worth Rs. 5·7 crores and when these figures were challenged in March, 1968, the then Deputy Minister had said that firm orders were for Rs. 2·3 crores and unconfirmed orders amounted to Rs. 3·7 crores, whereas the actual exports had been only for about Rs. 70 lakhs; and asking about the position as to what has happened to the balance of the confirmed orders which as stated in the House earlier, the Team had secured; and (b) what action are the Government going to take against Officers who gave wrong information and against the Ministers who made wrong statements on the floor of the House.	The Minister stated : "I do not want to give wrong information. I do not have information about this at the moment. I shall look into it ."	-do-
		Specialised tea Course		
86	USQ No. 5100 dated 2nd April, 1969 by Shri Hem Raj.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 3892 on the 10th December, 1968 and asking.		No information recd. despite repeated reminders.

	(a) for the names of the States and the Union Territories to whom the seven seats reserved for outsiders in Assam Agricultural College, Jorhat for specialised tea course have been allotted; and (b) the number of students admitted.	(*a*) *and* (*b*) Three out of the seven seates reserved for outsiders from States and Union Territories excluding Assam in the specialised Tea Course in the Assam Agricultural College, Jorhat were filled. Out of the three admitted, only two have actually joined. One of them hails from West Bengal. The State of origin of the other student is being ascertained.	

Prices of Rubber

87	USQ No. 5124 Dated 2nd April, 1969 by Shri Kashi Nath Pandey.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 388 on the 12th November, 1968 and asking (a) whether Government has since considered the representation from the Indian Rubber Growers Association, Kottv am urging them to fix a minimum incentive price on the basis of a study of costs in representative units in the Rubber Industry; and (b) if so, the reaction of Government thereto.	(*a*) *and* (*b*) Government have made reference to the Tariff Commission and their report is awaited in the matter.

Export of Indian Films

88	S.Q. No. 961 dated the 9th April, 1969—Supplementary, by Shri	(Shri Mahida stated that while he was in Nairboi sometime back it was brought to his notice that Indian	The Minister stated : "I have no information regarding this. I will	No information reed-despite repeated reminders.

1	2	3	4	5
	Narendra Singh Mahida.	Films have been going to South Africa. India has no trade relations with South Africa. The Member enquired whether those films have sneaked into South Africa and, if so, what has happened to those earnings and whether the film dealers have shown it in their accounts.	find out how they sneak out to South Africa."	
89	SQ No. 962 dated the 9th April, 1969 by Shri Madhu Limaye.	(a) whether a copy of the memorandum written by a Member of Parliament to the Prime Minister in regard to the iversion of the export of Indian goods through Nepal has been received in his Ministry;		-do-
		(b) whether any enquiries have been made from the banks into this diversion ;	(b) to (e) A statement will be laid on the Table of the House in due course.	
		(c) whether any independent probe has been carried out into the loss of export earnings resulting from this diversion ;		
		(d) the results of this investigation, and		
		(e) the action taken on the basis of this investigation .		
90	USQ No. 5344 dated the 9th April, 1969 by Shri Valmiki Chou-	(a) whether the report of the Borooah Committee which went into the oblems of the Tea Industry in		No information received despite repeated reminders.

dhury.	India has been published; (b) if not, the reasons for the delay in publication; and (c) the main recommendations of of the Committee and Government's reaction thereto.	(*b*) *and* (*c*) . The report is under examination. The report of the Committee and Government's decisions on its recommendations will be released as soon as possible.		
	FOREIGN TRADE AND SUPPLY			
91 USQ No. 6576 dated the 16th April, 1969 by Shri G. Viswanathan and Shri S. Kandappan.	Asking for the amount of loans and grants so far given to the All-India Handloom Fabrics Marketing Society.	Loan Rs. 75,00,000 Grants:— Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.		Do.;
92 USQ No. 6586 dated the 16th April, 1969 by Shri Kanwar Lal Gupta.	(a) the names and addresses of businessmen of Bombay and Delhi who bought import licences from leather merchants of Madras and were involved in the fraudulent transactions, as stated in the Fifty-Sixth Report of the Public Accounts Committee; (b) the names of cases of over invoicing of imports and under-invoicing of exports which have come to the notice of Government during the last two years ; (c) the names and designations of the officers who are reported to be mixed up with these businessmen ; (d) whether Government propose to make an inquiry by the C.B.I. in these cases;	(*a*) *to* (f) . The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.		No reply received after repeated reminders.

1	2	3	4	5	6
		(e) the action taken by Government against these traders who are involved in the fraudulent transactions; and (f) the total loss of foreign exchange to the exchequer.			
			General Budget—Demands for Grants		
93	17-4-1969		During the course of discussion regarding higher credit limit for income tax, the Minister said, "Coming to K. K. Shah Committee, there was reference about the Textile Commissioner's Office Hon. Members have made some critical remarks about this organisation. I will certainly look into the suggestions that have been made in this regard. The recommendations contained in Part I of the report submitted by this K.K. Shah Committee in September, 1968 have been accepted and incorporated in the decisions. The second part which was submitted in	No reply received despite repeated reminder.	

			March is being examined."		
		Export Entitlement Scheme.			
94	SQ No. 1261 dated the 23rd April, 1969— Supplementary Question by Shri D. N. Tiwary.	Asking whether the Government will inform the House as to why the basis of export entitlement was changed for a few weeks and again restored to their orginal form.	The Minister said, "I shall certainly do so since the hon. Members have raised it, I shall find out ."	Since Implemented.	
		Export of Cotton Textile			
95	SQ No. 1264 dated the 23rd April, 1969.	Shri N. K. Somani suggested that in view of the abrupt increase of price of cotton which the Minister himself accepted, the Government of India should take suitable measures and steps to announce the import of cotton under PL 480 which will have a healthy effect on the vital raw meterial.	The Minister *inter alia* stated, "As soon as the Agreement is signed, we will announce it ."	No information recd. despite repeated reminders.	
		Exports to USSR and Rumania			
96	SQ No. 1266 dated the 23rd April, 1969 Supplementary Question by Shri Suraj Bhan.	When the price question will be finalised and by what time the delivery of wagon be effected.	The Minister said, "So far as the question of Railway Wagons is concerned it is about Russia as I stated earlier that discussion is going on and after the decision is reached, we will place before the House.	No information reed. despite repeated reminders.	
		Export of Cashew Kernels to U.S.S.R.			
97	SQ No. 1269 dated the 23rd April, 1969 Supplementary Question by Shri Samar Guha.	What steps Government will take or is taking to increase the production of cashew-nuts by offering credit facilities and also marketing facilities to the producers of cashew nuts.	The speaker directed that the Minister would look into it.	The Committee were not convinced by the reasons advanced by the Department of Parliamentary Affairs for dropppig the assurance in	Do.

1	2	3	4	5	6
				question and directed that the House should be informed of the action taken by the Government in pursuaance of the Directions by the Speaker, for increasing the production of Cashew-nuts by offering credit facilities and also marketing facilities to the producers of cashew-nuts.	
98	USQ. No. 7307 dated the 23rd April, 1969 by Kumari Kamala Kumari and others.	Referring to the reply given to USQ. No. 4875 on the 17th December, 1968 and (a) whether the recommendations made by the Abdullah Committee on Small Scale Rubber Cultivators have since been considered ; (b) if so, the decision taken by Government in this regard; and (c) if not, the time by which decision is likely to be taken in the matter.	(*a*) *to* (*c*). The recommendation made by the Committee are still under examination of the Government and a decision will be taken as early as possible.	No information received despite repeated reminders.	
99	USQ.No. 7353 dated the 23rd April, 1969 by Shri Molahu Prashad.	(a) the number of persons found involved in different cases under the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951, Imports and Exports (Control) Act, 1947 and rules made thereunder, during the years 1966-67, 1967-68, 1968-69 and 1969-70, year-wise ;	(*a*) *to* (*c*) . The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Do.	

		(b) their names, designations and addresses and full details of the action taken against them in each case; and (c) the measures being taken to make the aforesaid Acts obligatory.		
		Popularization of Indian Tea		
100	SQ No. 1413 dated the 30th April, 1969— Supplementary Question by Shri R.D. Bhandare.	Whether the Tea Board will be reconstituted to safeguard the interest of India in foreign countries so that Indian tea could be popularised and there would be more effective propaganda in foreign countreis.	During the course of Supplementaries, the Minister promised "Certainly, I shall ask them to see if propaganda is not effective and how it could be made more effective."	No information received despite repeated reminders.
		Tea Gardens in Assam		
101	USQ No. 8105 dated the 30th April, 1969 by Shri Baburao Patel.	(a) the number of tea plantations in Assam, Indian and Foreign owned, with total acres under cultivation and the quantity and value of tea produced annually since 1966-67; (b) the amount of Assam tea exported annually since 1966-67 with amount of foreign exchange earned so far; (c) whether it is a fact that the tea plantation industry in Assam is virtually on the point of collapse due to falling prices, loss of international markets, heavy taxation and neglect of replantation ; (d) whether it is also a fact that the Assam Government have submitted a memorandum to the Central govern-	(*d*) to (*e*). The Government of Assam has suggested the setting up of a	Do.

1	2	3	4	5
		ment to set up a Tea Corporation to take over the tea plantations and make them economically productive by fresh investments and if so, the salient features thereof; and (e) the decision taken by Government thereon and if not, the reasons there-for ?	Corporation on the lines of National Textile Corporation to take over closed tea gardens. This is being looked into.	
102	Unstarred Question No. 8160 dated 30th April, 1969 by Shri Raghuvir Singh, Shastri	Asking— (a) whether Government are aware that the export of woollen rugs can be increased many-fold if this industry is modernised; and (b) if so, the scheme chalked out by Government in this regard ?	(a) and (b). A study has been undertaken into the pros and cons of modernisation of the traditional woollen carpet industry both from short-term and long-term points of view and necessary economic financial and technical information is being collected.	No information received despite repeated reminders.
103	Unstarred Question No. 8222 dated 30th April, 1969 by Shri Jugal Mondal.	Asking for— (a) the quota of raw films allotted by Government to the following film companies in Madras during the last three years: (i) Himalaya Films, (ii) Chitrasagar (iii) Supriya Pictures (iv) Kaumani Films (v) Chitralaya (vi) A.V.M. Studio (vii) A.T.K. Production (viii) Modern Theatres Ltd., and (ix) Neela Productions, and	(a) and (b). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	English Version received Hindi Version awaited.

		(b) whether any complaints have been received by Government from the above film companies that they have got less quota of raw films as compared to that allocated to the Bombay film industry?		
104	Unstarred Question No. 8800 dated 7th May 1969 by Shri A. S. Kasture.	Asking.— (a) whether the recent orders of the Home Ministry regarding the method of grading confidential record of the employees belonging to Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes are implemented by the various Corporations under the Ministry of Foreign Trade and Supply ; (b) if so, the details of the promotions given to the each category of the Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes employees in all the Corporations under the Ministry ; (c) if not, the reasons for not implementing the said orders so far; (d) whether Government consider these reasons as adequate in view of the specific provision of reservation of posts for the Scheduled Castes/ Scheduled Tribes in the Constitution; and (e) whether Government propose to take action against those officers who failed to do so.	(a) to (e). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	No information received despite repeated reminders.
105	Unstarred Question No. 8821 dated 7th May,	Asking for.— (a) the quota of Raw Films allotted	(a) to (c). The informa-	Incomplete information received. Min. advised to furnish complete and correct information.

1	2	3	4	5
	1969 by Shri Arjun Singh Bhadoria.	by Government to the following Film Companies or Film Producers during the last three years and the quantity allotted to each : (i) K.V.S. Production, Madras (ii) Shri D.V. Bavadekar, Bombay (iii) Joy Films, Bombay (iv) Kewaljit Productions, Bombay (v) Shri Darshan, Bombay (vi) Shri Pannalal Maheshwary, Bombay (vii) Sarvodaya Pictures, Bombay (viii) Shri H.S. Rewail, Bombay and (ix) Shri B.L. Rawal, Bombay. (b) whether they have fully utilised the quota of Raw Film allotted to them; and (c) if not, the action taken against each.	tion is being collected and will be placed on the Table of the House.	
196	Unstarred Question No. 8822 dated 7th May, 1969 by Shri Arjun Singh Bhadoria.	Asking for.— (a) the quota of Raw Films allotted to each of the following during the last three years to the Bombay and Madras Film Producers : (i) Shri Ramniklal ji Dave	(a) and (b). The information is being collected and will be placed on the Table of the House.	No information received deapite repeated reminder.

(ii) Shri Mohmood Ali and N.C. Sippy

(iii) Supra Films

(iv) Lotus Productions

(v) Prasad Productions, Madras

(vi) Sivaji Films (P) Ltd.,

(ix) Screen Gems, Madras

(x) Shri B.K. Adarsh and

(xi) Shri S.J. Rajdeo, Bombay.

(b) the total amount paid by each to Government and the price at which the Raw Films have been sold to them.

107 Unstarred Question No. 8825 dated 7th May, 1969 by Shri Arjun Singh Bhadoria.

Asking for.—

(a) the quota of Raw Films allotted during the last three years by Government to each of the following Film Producers or Film Companies who have been given loans by the Film Finance Corporation :

(i) Gope Productions, Bombay

(ii) Shri Ramachandra Thakur, Bombay;

(iii) Emgee Films, Bombay

(iv) Shri R.R. Dave, Bombay

(v) Rajan Films, Bombay

(vi) Bimal Roy Pictures, Bombay

(a) to (c). The information is being collected and will be placed on the Table of the House.

1	2	3	4	5	6
		(vii) K.G. Pictures, Bombay and (viii) Film Craft (P) Ltd. Calcutta. (b) Whether Government have received any complaints from these Film Companies that they got less quota of raw films; and (c) if so, the action taken thereon.			
108	Starred Question No. 1687 dated 14th May, 1969—Supplementary by Shri D. N. Patodia.	Referring to the reported statement of the Home Minister of Ceylon that the Government of Ceylon would like bo ban the import of Indian films more particularly, South Indian films, and asking whether this attitude of the Government of Ceylon is the result of starting of commercial broadcasting over All India Radio.	Minister has stated "We have seen that press report, but we have no official information about it. We have asked our High Commissioner to report to us on this matter ".	No information received, despite repeated reminders.	
109	Unstarred Question No. 9580 dated 14th May, 1969 by Shri B.K. Das Chowdhury.	*Asking*— (a) Whether it is a fact that huge stocks of carpet wool have accumulated in the godowns of the Government owned Bikaner Woollen Mills. (b) If so, the reasons for the delay in fixing the selling price of the same ; and (c) the quantity of carpet wool disposed of and that lying in stock at present.	(a) to (c). The matter concerns the State Government from whom necessary information is awaited and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Do.	

110	Unstarred Question No. 9658 dated the 14th May, 1969 by Shri N. R. Deoghare.	Asking (a) whether it is a fact that according to the Resolution No. 9 (42)—TEX(C)/64, dated 2nd June, 1966 the then Ministry of Commerce asked the powerloom owners in Malegaon, Burhanpur and Jabalpur to change over to other varieties leaving the production of coloured sarees to handlooms within three years; (b) if so, whether the period of three years is due to expire on the 2nd June, 1969 whether these powerlooms have changed over to other varieties, (c) if so, the details thereof and (d) if not, the reasons therefor.	(b) to (d). Representations have been received from powerloom owners in Maharashtra and Madhya Pradesh that the strict enforcement of the reservation of coloured sarees exclusively to the handloom sector would cause hardship to powerloom weavers in these States. The Matter is being enquired into.	Information received and will be laid on the Table of the House.

(MINISTRY OF HEALTH, FAMILY PLANNING AND WORKS, HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT)

Permission to Non-qualified Medical Practitioners for Restricted Practices

111	USQ No. 817, dated the 24th Feburary, 1969 by Shri Hem Barua.	(a) whether it is a fact that Government have decided to allow non-qualified medical practitioners restricted practice; and (b) if so, the details thereof as also the reasons therefor.	(a) and (b). The matter is still under consideration of the Government of India.	Observations of the Committee. The Committee appreciated that the question of entistment of unqualified medical practitioners had to be decided in consultation with the State Governments and that it might take some time before a final decision was taken in the matter. The Committee however, directed that a quick decision should be taken by the Government in the matter and the House informed of the same.

Remark of DPA as on 24-9-70.

Medical Directorate of Manipur Government Hospital

112	USQ. No. 1658, dated the 3rd March 1969, by Shri N. Meghachandra.	(a) whether the Government of Manipur purchased its requirements for the Medical Directorate from pri-	(a) to (c) The information is being collected and will be laid on the	No information recd. despite repeated reminders.

1	2	3	4	5
		vate firms or from manufacturers or throrugh D.G.S. & H. in the matter of purchase of medicines and hospital equipments during 1967-68 and 1968-69. (b) if purchased from private firms, the list of the firms; and (c) whether they are in the approved list of manufacturers of the goods to be supplied by them to the Government of Manipur, Medical Directorate ?	Table of the House in due course.	
		Navaketan House Building Cooperative Society		
113	USQ. No. 1682 dated the 3rd March, 1969 by Shri A. Dipa.	(a) whether it is a fact that Government auditors raised serious irregularities while auditing the accounts of the Navaketan Cooperative House Building Society, 7, Jantar Mantar Road, New Delhi; and (b) the outcome of the enquiry being conducted against the aforesaid society under article 43 and whether Government propose to lay a copy of its report on the Table.	(b) the enquiry is still in progress.	Since Implemented.
		Allopathic and Ayurvedic Systems of Medicines		
114.	USQ. No. 2278 dated the 10th March, 1969 by Shri Kanwar Lal Gupta.	(a) for the amount spent for the research in Ayurveda system of medicine during the last three years; (b) how much amount has been spent on Allopathy during the above period; (c) the steps which Governments propose to take popularise Ayurveda system in the next three years; and	(d) the information is being collected and will be placed on the table of the Sabha.	Revised Statement called for.

		(d) the number of Ayurveda hospitals and allopathy hospitals run by Government in the Union Territories.		
		Primary Health Centers in Uttar Pradesh		
115	USQ. No. 2323 dated the 10th March, 1969 by Shri Vishwanath Pandey.	(a) for the number of Primary Health Centres functioning at present in Uttar Pradesh; (b) the number of Primary Health Centres opened during 1967-68; and (c) the amount allotted for the purpose.	(b) and (c). The Information is being collected and will be laid on the table of the Sabha in due course.	No Information received despite repeated reminders.
		Exodus of Qualified Doctors to Foreign Countries		
116	USQ. No. 3319 dated the 17th March, 1969 by Shri D.N. Patodia.	whether it is a fact that while the need for doctors in India for the rural areas is increasing every year the exodus of qualified doctors to foreign countries is also increasing.	The information is being collected and will be laid on the table of the Sabha.	No information received despite repeated reminders.
117	USQ. No. 3330 dated the 17th March, 1969 by Shri Nathu Ram Ahirwar.	(a) the amount spent in each State under the Family Planning Programme during the period 1st April, 1968 to 31st December, 1968; and (b) the amount spent on publicity, travelling allowance of employees and other items, separately.	(a) and (b). The required information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha as soon as possible.	Since Implemented.
		Visit of Delegations Abroad.		
118	S.Q. No. 671 dated the 24th March, 1969 by Dr. Sushila Nayar, Shri G.C. Dixit and others.	(a) for the number of delegations sent abroad during the last two years by the Ministry; (b) the name of countries visited by those delegations; (c) the amount spent by each delegation; and (d) the result achieved thereby?	(c) While giving other information it was stated that in one case details of the expenditure incurred was awaited from our Embassy abroad.	No information received despite repeated reminders.

1	2	3	4	5	6
		Ayurvedic Dispensaries			
119	USQ. No. 4155 dated the 24th March, 1969 by Shri Yashwant Singh Kushwah.	for the number of new Ayurvedic Dispensaries opened in each State during 1968-69.	The information is being collected and will be placed on the Table of the Sabha in due course.	Since Implemented.	
		Centrally sponsored Schemes under Medical Education and Training in Madhya Pradesh.			
120	USQ. No. 4821, dated the 31st March 1969 by Shri G.C. Dixit.	(a) the amount paid to the Madhya Pradesh Government during the last three years, separately for the Centrally Sponsored schemes under "Medical Education and Training;" and (b) the number in which the said amount was spent by the State Government.	(b) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha.	No Information received despite repeated reminders.	
121	USQ. No. 4843 dated the 31st March, 1969 by Shri P.R. Thakur.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 2783 dated 5th August, 1968 and asking: (a) whether the remaning information regarding medical Graduates has since been collected; (b) if so, the details thereof; and (c) if not, the reasons for the delay.	(a) to (c). While furnishing information for the State and Union Territories it was stated that the other State Governments and Union Territory Administrations has been reminded to expedite the information which will be laid on the Table of the Sabha.	Since Implemented.	
		Food Adulteration Cases			
122	USQ. No. 4353 dated the 31st March, 1969 by Shri Arjun Singh Bhadoria.	Referring to the reply given to Starred Question No. 29 on the 11th November, 1969 and asking: (a) whether the information regarding	(a) to (d). Complete information has not yet been received from all the State Union Terri	No Information received despite repeated reminders.	

		food adulteration cases has since been collected; (b) if so, the break up of penalties imposed as between less than one years imprisonment and above; (c) the states and Union Territories where adulteration is not prevalent; and (d) the main items of food in which adulteration is mostly indulged?	tory Governments. it will be laid on the Table of the Sabhs as soon as it becomes available.		
123	USQ No. 5399 dated the 7th April, 1969 by Shri M. Santasham and Shri K.M. Madhukar.	(a) whether the report, appearing in Newspapess stating that Pharmacists of more than 10 years standing will be licensed to practice as Medical Practitioners and registered in a separate register, is true; (b) if so, whether Government have been advised in that manner by the Medical Council of India; (c) the reactions of the State Governments and Medical Association in this regard; and (d) the number of persons who will be benefited as a result thereof?	(a) to (d). The question of permitting unqualified medical prctationers carry on practice and the conditions attaching to it is still under consideration and views of the State Governments are being ascertained.	The Committee were of the view that the reply of the Minister that "the question of permitting unqualified medical practitioners to carry on practice and the conditions attaching to it is still under consideration and views of the State Governments are being ascertained" did constitute and assurance and they directed that it should be kept pending until it was implemented by the Government.	No information received despite repeated remindars.
124	USQ No. 5494 dated the 17th April 1969 by Shri Hem Raj.	(a) the number of doctor who were allocated to Himachal Pradesh on the reorganisation of Punjab. (b) whether any representation has been received from these doctors for their integration in the Central Government Health Scheme; and (c) if so, when it was made and for how long it is pending and when a decision thereon will be taken?	(b) and (c)—The matter is now under active consideration and islikely to be finalised shortly.	The Committee were of the opinion that the Minister's reply that "the matter (allocation of Doctors to Himachal Pradesh) is now under active consideration and is likely to be finalised shortly", was a definite assurance and it should be expeditiously implemented.	No information received despite repeated reminders.

1	2	3	4	5	6
125	8-4-1969	Calling attention to Matter of Urgent Public Importance—Strike by Doctors and nurses in Delhi Hospitals.	On a point raised by Shri A.S. Saigal, "whether it is not reported by the Home Ministry that Dr. Shankaran came here from America with a forged certificate, the Minister promised to make enquiries.	Information just received, will be laid in the next Session.	
126	U.S.Q. No. 6243, dated the 14th April, 1969 by Shri K. Lakkappa and Shri Yashpal Singh.	(a) the number of foreign experts who came to India in connection with the Family Planning Programme since its inception to assist Government ; (b) the countries to which they belonged and the dates on which they visited India ; and (c) amount spent by Government on each of them ?	(a) to (c). The required information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha.	No information received despite repeated reminders.	
127	U.S.Q. No. 6316, dated the 14th April, 1969 by Shri M. Meghachandra.	(a) whether it is a fact that the C.B.I. have decided to investigate into the affairs of the Medical Directorate, Manipur ; (c) whether it is also a fact that the Director, Medical and Public Health Manipur is not included in the list of the accused in the F.I.R	(a) to (d). The Accountant General, Assam has also carried out Special audit at the request of the Government of Manipur. The report is awaited.	Do.	

		(c) if so, the reasons therefore ; and (d) whether Government propose to take interim action against the present Director in view of the *prima facie* proof of the grave irregularities in the purchase of equipments and other hospital requirements in the General Hospital, Manipur.		
128	USQ No. 6342 dated the 14th April, 1969 by Shri Shashi Bhusan.	(a) the amount spent for the propagation of family planning devices during the three years preceding 1967-68, year-wise; (b) the value of contraceptives distributed among the public; (c) the amount by which it exceeded the estimated expenditure on this account; and (d) the reaction of Government to the expenditure incurred so far in this connection and the percentage of success achieved in this respect.	(a) to (d). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha.	No information received, despite repeated reminders.
		Facilities in Government Hospitals in New Delhi		
129	USQ. No. 6957 dated 21st April, 1969 by Shri Molahu Prashad.	(a) whether it is a fact that Government had appointed an Expert Enquiry Committee of seven prominent doctors to enquire into the death of late Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia and the conditions prevailing the Government Hospitals in New Delhi as reported in the Times of		Do

1	2	3	4	5
		India of the 24th January, 1968 and whether the said committee has submitted its report; (b) whether it is also a fact thet the said Committee has invited the attention of Government to this fact that the facilities of sterilisation, maintenance of operation theatre and the precautions taken by the Hospital authorities before operating upon Dr. Lohia in Willingdon Hospital were inadequate; and (c) if so, the action taken or proposed to be taken by Government in this regard.	(c) The Committee has made over 200 recommendations for effecting improvement in the existing facilities available in various hospitals of Delhi. The recommendations have been examined and Government's decision on them will be announced shortly.	
		Wells for drinking water		
130	USQ No. 6993 dated 21st April, 1969 by Shrimati Ila Palchoudhuri. ?	(a) foc the State-wise break-up of the total number of Tube-wells for drinking water installed and expenditure incurred thereon; and (b) the total number of Kacha and Pucca wells provided and Expenditure incurred thereon.	(a) and (b). The required information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha.	No information received despite repeated reminders.

131	21-4-1969	On a point raised by Shri George Fernandes about the reasons for delay in laying a copy of the Report of the Indian Delegation to the Twenty-first World Health Assembly held at Geneva in May, 1968, the Speaker directed the Minister to let the House know about it.	Since Implemented.
132	USQ No. 7872, dated the 28th April, 1969 by Shri Rameshwar Shastri.	(a) whether it is a fact that there is a provision under the head "Public Health and Engineering Department" for giving special financial assistance to the States for supply of drinking water; (b) if so, the amount of assistance provided to the Government of Bihar for this purpose during the last three years; (c) the number of wells and tube-wells constructed by the Government of Bihar with the above assistance; (d) whether it is also a fact that the tubewells, which were constructed under the above assistance in the Maner, Phulwari Sharif, Hathitola of Patna District of Bihar, have been ready for many	(c) to (f). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha.	No information received despite repeated reminders.

1	2	3	4	5
		years but no arrangements have so far been made for supply of water; (e) if so, the reasons therefore; and (f) the action proposed to be taken by Government to run those tube-wells.		
133	USQ No. 7874, dated the 28th April, 1969 by Shri Suraj Bhan.	(a) whether it is a fact that Government propose to widen the road leading inside Babarpur Road, Rohtas Nagar, Shahdara Delhi; (b) the extent to which the road, which is 45 feet wide, is proposed to be extended; (c) whether the road will be widened from both the sides and if not, the reasons therefor; (d) whether any compensation will be given in lieu of plots thus covered in the shape of other land; and (e) if not, the reasons, therefor.	(a) to (c). Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha in due course.	Transferred to Transport and Shipping. Since implemented.
134	USQ No. 8494, dated 5th May 1969 by Shri Sheopujan Shastri.	(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to a news item published in the Navbharat Times, of the 30th March, 1968 under the heading 'Nashile Padarthon Ka Prayog Rokne Ke Liye Sammelan'—		No information received despite repeated reminders.

	(b) whether the All India Council of Physical Education, New Delhi, had submitted to Government in March, 1968 a proposal to organise an All India Conference on Alcohol, Tobacco and Narcotics; and		
	(c) if so, the decision taken in respect of giving grant for this purpose and whether Government propose to encourage such programmes.	(c) the matter is under correspondence.	
135 USQ No. 8511 dated 5th May, 1969 by Shri Onkar Lal Bohra.	(a) the expenditure incurred during the last three years on the import of allopathic medicines and other medical instruments to meet the requirements of the country ;	(*a*) *to* (*d*). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha in due course.	No information received despite repeated reminders.
	(b) the extent to which the requirements of the country are being met indigenously and the extent and nature of foreign assistance being received in this regard;		
	(c) the nature of assistance being provided by the Central and State Governments in regard to the manufacture of indigenous medicines *i.e.* Ayurvedic, Unani, and Homoeopathic; and		
	(d) the expenditure incurred during the last three years on indigenous systems of medicines and also on allopathic system of medicine.		

1	2	3	4	5
136	USQ No 9185 dated the 12th May, 1969 by Shri Awadesh Chandra Singh.	(a) for the amount of grant given to the Tibbia College annually; (b) whether the Tibbia College Board is under Government ; (c) if so, the nature of control exercised by Government thereon; (d) wehether the students and the teachers of the Tibbia College have levelled charges of irregularities against the Board of the College; and (e) if so, the details thereof and reaction of Government thereto.	(d) and (e). These matters are under consideration.	Revised statement just received will be laid in the next Session.
137	USQ No. 9257 dated the 12th May, 1969 by Shri Rabi Ray.	(a) whether it is a fact that residents of Atulgrove/Baird Road, New Delhi, and service associations have represented for conversion of D.C. into A.C.; (b) if so, whether it is also a fact that the New Delhi Municipal Committee has warned the residents to seek early conversion; (c) whether the New Delhi Municipal Committee has notified to charge 50 Paise per unit from the 1st April, 1969 instead of 17 paise at present; and (d) the steps taken by Government to meet the sufferings of the residents.;	(d) The proposal for conversion of D.C. into A.C. in Government quarters	Since implemented.

			which are not likely to be demolished within 2 years or so is under consideration.	

MINISTRY OF FOOD, AGRICULTURE, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION

138	USQ No. 373 dated the 20th February 1969 by Shri A. Sreedharan and Shri K. Lakkappa.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question 1602 on the 21st November, 1968 regarding management of Co-operative Societies and asking whether suggestions from the State Government have since been received.	The matter is still under examination of the State Government.	
		Development of Cashewnut Industry During Fourth Plan		No information received despite repeated reminder.
139	S.Q. No. 306 dated the 6th March, 1969 by Shri A. Sreedharan, and others.	(a) whether any programme for the development of cashewnut industry under the Fourth Five Year Plan has been chalked out;		
		(b) if so, the details thereof and the production and export targets fixed thereunder; and		
		(c) the financial outlays for each different scheme under the programme and the estimated Central and State Governments contributions thereto.	(c) the total outlay for the programme for the development of cashewnut industry in the Central sector is Rs. 75 lakhs. Scheme-wise details are still being worked out.	
			As regards outlays for the State Governments' programmes, the details are being collected and will be placed on the Table of the Sabha.	

1	2	3	4	5
		Sericulture in Uttar Pradesh		
140	SQ. No. 453 dated the 13th March, 1969 by Shrimati Savitri-Shyam.	(a) for the proposals for the development of sericulture in Uttar Pradesh during the Fourth Plan; and (b) the total expenditure estimated on the Scheme.	(a) and (b). Information is being collected and will be placed on the Table of the Sabha as soon as received.	Transferred to Min. of Foreign Trade and Supply Since Implemented.
		Credit Requirements for Agricultural Purposes for Next Five Years.		
141	SQ. No. 603 dated the 20th March, 1969— Supplementary Question by Shri Raghuvir Singh Shastri.	whether the Government will think about the coordination of the Commercial Banks and the Cooperative Societies just to remove the drawback of these banks of giving less amount of money on credit as compared to the deposit and savings received by them.	During the course of supplementaries the Minister said, these are suggestions for action.	No information recd. despite repeated reminders.
		Replies to Letters sent by M. Ps.		
142	USQ.No. 3842 dated 20th March, 1969 by Shri Nihal Singh.	(a) for the number of letters sent by Members of Parliament to the Deputy Minister and Secretary during the last six months and the number of letters to which replies were sent and not sent, respectively; (b) the reasons for not sending replies to Members of Parliament.	(a) and (b). Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha.	Complete information Since received Proposed to be laid next Session.
143	SQ No. 884 dated 3rd April, 1969 by Shri Maharaj Singh Bharati.	(a) whether it is a fact that 50 per cent of the total number of buffaloes in the world are in India and there is great demand for the brown buffalo in foreign countries ;		No information received despite repeated reminders.

		(d) if so, the scheme formulated for the improvement of the breed during the Fourth Plan ;		
		(c) whether final decision has been taken in regard to setting up of buffalo breeding centres in Tamil Nadu or Andhra Pradesh; and (d) if so, the details thereof.	(c) and (d). A decision about the setting up of a Central buffalo breedding farm either in Tamil Nadu or Andhra Pradesh will be taken on receipt of the report of the Site Selection Team, which is expected shortly.	
144	US No. 5163 dated the 3rd April, 1969, by Shri C.K. Bhattacharya.	(a) whether irrigation facilities to the lands of a number of agriculturists of Darjeeling have been affected by the extension of Bagdogra Airport; (b) wheteer the agriculturists have asked for alternative irrigation facilities. (c) whether the matter has been taken up with the Government of West Bengal; and (d) whether alternative irrigation facilities have been provided.	(a) to (d). About 200 acres of land in Darjeeling district were reported to have been effected by the extension of Bagdogra Airport. The matter was taken up with the Goverment of West Bengal with a view to providing alternative irrigation facilities. The State Governmeent pleaded its inability to investigate the matter as the lands stated to have been affected were accessible only through the security area. The Ministry of Defence has therefore, been requested to furnish a report in the matter and the same is awaited.	Since Implemented

1	2	3	4	5
		Alleged Misuse of Jeeps of Development Blocks		
145	USQ.No. 5195 dated the 3rd April, 1969 by Shri Om Prakash Tyagi and Shri Molahu Prashad.	(a) for the number of Development Blocks in the country and the number of jeeps available with them; (b) the total value of the jeeps and the expenditure incurred on their maintenance annually ; (c) the duties of Block Development Officers and the steps proposed to be taken with a view to ensure that these officers and their subordinates do not misuse the government jeeps and do not utilize them for carrying their family members; (d) whether Government are aware of the number of jeeps of Development Blocks brought to Delhi on the 26th January, 1969 and if not, the reasons therefor; and (e) whether Government propose to withdraw the said jeeps.	(a) *to* (e). Information has been called for from the State Government and will be laid on the Table of the House, when received.	No information received despite repeated reminders.
146	USQ No. 5301 dated the 3rd April, 1969 by Shrimati Jyotsna Chanda.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 1400 on the 15th November, 1966 regarding shortage in imported wheat consignments loaded at Calcutta Port.		No information received despite repeated reminders,

		(a) whether it is a fact that the excess amount charged from the mills, on the basis of the provisional weight, has not so far been refunded to the mill concerned;		
		(b) if so, the reasons for the same; and	(*b*) *and* (*c*). The question of making refunds for period after those mentioned (a) is under consideration.	
		(c) the action Government propose to take to expedite payment to avoid hardship to the mills due to long delays.		
		Agricultural Gaduates		
147	USQ. No. 5312 dated the 3rd April, 1969 by Shri P.R. Thakur.	Referring to the reply given to Untarred Question No. 5102 dated 22nd August, 1968 regarding Agricultural Graduates.		Since Implemented.
		(a) whether the remaning information has since been collected.;	(*a*) *to* (*c*). Information was collected but certain discrepancies have been observed and they are being reconciled.	
		(b) if so, the details thereof; and		
		(c) if not, the reason for the delay.		
148	USQ. No. 6709 dated 17th April, 1969 by Shri Suraj Bhan.	(a) whether it is a fact that the workers in the Motor Workshop of the Delhi Milk Scheme had suspended for two hours on the 21st March, 1969;		No information received despite repeated reminders.
		(b) if so, the reasons for this incident; and		

1	2	3	4	5
		(c) the action Government propose to take to avoid such incidents in future.	(c) the matter is under consideration of the Government.	
149	USQ.No.6730 dated 17th April, 1963 by Shri Ram Singh Ayarwal.	(a) for the nature of the scheme of PL 480 Forest Research Institutes and Forest Colleges, the names of places where the probationer personnel of the Indian Forest Service are imparted training, the number of persons who have so far been imparted training and the number of persons proposed to be trained during this year; and (b) the details of subjects taught in these Institutes and Colleges.	*(a) and (b).* The information is being collected and the same will be laid on the Table of the House.	No information received despite repeated reminders.
		Supply of inferior quality seeds to Madhya Pradesh by National seeds Corporation.		
150	SQ. No. 1453 dated the 1st May, 1969 by Shri G.C. Dixit.	(a) whether it is a fact that the National Seeds Corporation has supplied inferior seeds of Jawar to Madhya Pradesh whereas it has supplied superior quality to other States; (b) if so, the reasons therefor; and (c) the persons who are responsible for the supply of bad quality of seeds which resulted in loss to farmers.	*(a) to (c).* The statement laid on the Table of the Sabha interalia stated that two complaints were received from Madhya Pradesh Government. One complaint was taken back by the Corporation. The other complaint received from Madhya Pradesh Government relates to hybrid jowar seeds	No information received despite repeated reminders.

produced in the State during Kharif' 67. The seed was processed and bagged and the Corporations' seals and tags were affixed. In the case of some lots, which had already been purchased by the State Government from the growers, germination was found to be below standards on receipt of the laboratory test reports, the Corporation, accordingly, advised the State Government, but as the seed had already been paid for, the State Government has claimed compensation from the Corporation. The matter is under examination.

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

51 SQ.No. 1573 dated the 8th May, 1969 by Shri Sitaram Kesri, Shri Raghuvir Singh and others.

(a) whether it is a fact that All India Rural Credit Review Committee has recommended a countrywide network of pilot projects in the form of small farmers' development agencies;

No information received despite repeated reminders.

1	2	3	4	5
		(b) if so, whether Government have considered the recommendations made by the Review Committee and (c) the reaction of Government thereto.	(*b*) *and* (*c*) The scheme for setting up of the Small Farmers' Development Agencies in some selected districts on pilot basis is under consideration.	No information received despite repeated reminders.

MINUTES

(*Vide* para 5 of Report)

III. Fifty-ninth Sitting

The Committee met on Saturday ,the 24th October, 1970 from 15.00 hours to 16.30 hours.

PRESENT

Shri K. Anbazhagan—*Chairman*

MEMBERS

2. Shri Onkarlal Bohra
3. Shri Samar Guha
4. Dr. Mahadeva Prasad
5. Shri Inder J. Malhotra
6. Shri Deorao S. Patil
7. Shri A. T. Sarma
8. Shri Ram Awtar Sharma
9. Shri Mudrika Sinha
10. Shri Ramachandra Ulaka.

SECRETARIAT

Shri M. C. Chawla—*Deputy Secretary.*

2. The Committee took up consideration of Memoranda Nos. 98, 99 and 100. The observations/recommendations of the Committee on these Memoranda are as under:—

Memorandum No. 98

3. At the outset, the Committee took up for consideration 125 outstanding assurances pertaining to Seventh Session of Fourth Lok Sabha (Annexure I) which had been pending implementation for about 1½ years. While reviewing the assurances in the light of the latest comments offered by the Department of Parliamentary Affairs against each pending assurance, the Committee noted that in a majority of cases, the Department had remarked that "Information awaited. Ministry being pursued, demi-officially." The Committee noted that despite reminders by the Department of Parliamentary

3423 LS—10

Affairs, the information was not forthcoming from the Ministries/ Departments concerned. The Commitee expressed the hope that the concerned Ministries/Departments would make earnest endeavours to implement the outstanding assurances, which had been pending implementation for a long time, expeditiously.

4. The Committee then reiterated their earlier recommendation as contained in para 7 of their 9th Report, wherein the Committee had stressed that "instead of waiting for the collection of entire information, whatever information was readily available should be laid on the Table of the House at the earliest possible opportunity. The rest of the information as and when it becomes available should be laid on the Table of the House periodically".

5. The Committee desired that in respect of the following pending assurances, whatever information was available with Government should be laid on the Table of the House at the earliest possible opportunity:—

Sl Nos. 3, 5, 10, 11, 14 to 17, 19, 22, 23, 25 to 30, 34, 38 to 44, 47, 50 to 58, 61, 62, 64, to 70, 72 to 90, 92 to 95, 97 to 99, 101 to 109, 111 to 120, 122, 123 and 125 (Annexure I).

6. The Committee while expressing their dissatisfaction over the delay in the implementation of the assurances desired that the latest position of the cases referred to therein should be furnished to the House early:—

SI Nos. 2, 4, 6 and 9, 12, 18, 20, 21, 24, 31 to 33, 35 to 37, 45, 46, 48, 49, 59, 60, 63, 71, 91, 96, 100, 110, 121, 124, (Annexure I).

Memorandum No. 99—Delay in the implementation of assurances given on the Floor of the House.

7. The Committee then took up for consideration the complaint made by Shri N. K. Somani, M. P. in his letter dated the 22nd September 1970 addressed to Speaker, Lok Sabha regarding the delay in the implementation of assurance given in reply to USQ. No. 621 on the 26th February, 1970 (Annexure II) by the Minister of Food, Agriculture, Community Development and Cooperation regarding the performance of RS 09 tractors in Andhra Pradesh imported from East Germany and Rumania.

8. In reply to parts (c) and (d) of that question, the Minister had stated that a Technical Team was sent to Andhra Pradesh and it had submitted its interim report which was currently under discussion with the Technical Delegation of G. D. R. which had just then arrived in the country and that the report, when finalised would be placed on the Table of the House. This reply was treated as an

assurance and was implemented on 28-8-1970 when the Inspection Report of RS 09 tractors in Andhra Pradesh was laid on the Table of the House (*vide* item 55 of Supplementary Statement No. V).

9. It had been pointed out by the Member that although the Inspection Report in question was finalised as early as on the 7th January, 1970, it was laid on the Table only on the 28th August, 1970 resulting in delay of about 8 months.

10. The Ministry had contended while forwarding their comments that "There was no delay on the part of this Ministry in fulfilling the assurance. There would have been no point in merely forwarding copies of minutes of meetings held from time to time to Lok Sabha Secretariat until a firm commitment of the action to be taken by G. D. R. suppliers as well as a report on implementation as far as possible, had become available".

11. The consideration of this item was deferred by the Committee. In the meantime, the Committee desired that a copy of the Inspection Report of RS09 tractors in Andhra Pradesh laid on the Table on 28-8-1970 should be circulated to the Members for study so as to enable them to have a clearer picture of the case.

12. The Committee then took up for consideration the second case of delay in the implementation of assurance arising out of the reply given to USQ. No. 1110 on 25th February, 1960 (Annexure III) as pointed out by Shri Somani, M. P. in his aforesaid letter, regarding complaints of misuse and sale of imported raw materials and components by the holders of Actual Users Licences. The Member wanted to know the number and particulars of such cases apprehended in 1968 and the preventive steps taken to stop such malpractices. The Minister had given an assurance on 25th February, 1969 that the information would be laid on the Table of the House. This assurance took 18 months before it was implemented *vide* item No. 12 of Supplementary Statement No. XIX laid on the Table of the House on 28-8-1970.

13. The matter was referred to the Ministry of Foreign Trade for their comments by 5th October, 1970. The Committee were distressed to note that the comments of the Ministry had not been received till the date of sitting of the Committee despite the reminder issued to that Ministry and desired that the Ministry might be asked to forward their comments for consideration of the Committee without any further delay.

Memorandum No. 100—Role of Department of Parliamentary Affairs to ensure prompt implementation of Assurances.

14. The Committee then took up consideration of Memorandum No. 100 on the above subject. At their sitting held on the 29th September, 1970, the Committee had taken a very serious view of the persistent delay on the part of the various Ministries/Departments in the implementation of the assurances, which had been pending for nearly two years and directed that a detailed note should be addressed to the Department of Parliamentary Affairs incorporating the various observations|recommendations made by the Committee during the course of their deliberations.

15. Accordingly, a U. O. note containing the observations of the Committee, was sent to the Department of Parliamentary Affairs on 3rd October, 1970 after approval by the Committee (Annexure IV).

16. The Department of Parliamentary Affairs had sent a reply to U.O. note *vide* their D.O. letter no 2(7)/69-Imp. dated 19-10-1970, (Annexure V) which was placed before the Committee for consideration.

17, From the reply of Department of Parliamentary Affairs, the Committee noted with satisfaction the various measures adopted by that Department in expediting the implementation of assurances, as a result of which they had been able to collect information in respect of a number of pending assurances, which they proposed to lay on the Table in the ensuing Session.

At the same time, keeping in view the past performance of the Ministries/Departments in regard to the implementation of assurances, the Committee desired to watch for sometime the results achieved by the drive launched by the Department of Parliamentary Affairs at the Committee's instance.

18. The Committee decided to meet next on the 3rd December, 1970.

The Committee then adjourned.

ANNEXURE I

(*vide* para 3 of Minutes dated the 24-10-70)

Pending assurances pertaining to the Seventh Session, 1969 of Fourth Lok Sbaha

Sl. No.	Date and Reference	Subject	Promise made	Remarks on 21-10-70
1	2	3	4	5
		MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS		
1	SQ No. 97, dated the 21st February, 1969 by Shri S.K. Tapuriah and others	(*a*) the number of reports so far submitted by the Administrative Reforms Commission and its various Study Teams and Working Groups and the number of subjects under investigation by the Commission; (*b*) the detaile of the recommendations of the Administration Reforms Commission which have been accepted by Government; (*c*) the details of the recommendations which are under consideration in the final stages of acceptance; (d) by what time the work of the Commission is likely to be completed; and (*e*) whether a summary of the decisions taken will be laid on the Table of the House.	(*b*) (*c*) *and* (*e*) Out of the ten reports submitted by the Administrative Reforms Commission,, decisions have so far been taken on the following report :— 1. Problems of redress of citizen's grievance . 2. Machinery for planning (interim report). 3. Machinery for planning (final Report). 4. Public sector undertakings. It was stated that the remaining six-reports were under conderation and Govts. decisions would be made known to Parliament in due course..	Since implemented

1	2	3	4	5	6
				Observation of the Committee	Remarks of the DPA on 21-10-70
		Outlines of statutory joint consultative machinery			
2	SQ No. 102, dated the 21st February, 1969 by Shri Brij Bhushan Lal and others	(*a*) Whether a decision in regard to the outlines of statutory Joint Consultative Machinery for the Central Government employee has been taken ; and (*b*) if so, the details thereof and by what time the concerned legislative proposal would be brought before Parliament.	(*a*) A decision has been taken in regard to the broad outlines of the proposed statutory machinery. The details are being worked out. (*b*) It is expected that the proposed legislation will be introduced during the current session.		Since Implemented
3	USQ No. 573, dated the 21st February, 1969 by Shri Shashi Bhushan	(*a*) whether the decentralisation of the Central Secretariat Service has resulted in imbalances in promotion/ confirmation in various Ministries and attached Offices; (b) if so, what remedial steps are being taken ; and (*c*) what are the dates of seniority of last person promoted/ confirmed in each grade, Ministry-wise.	(*c*) Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House. ;		Information awaited. Ministry being persued demi-officially.
4	USQ No. 601, dated the 21st February, 1969 by Shri Sitaram Kesari and Shri Hardayal Devgun	(*a*) whether it is a fact that the Chief Minister of Nagaland has demanded the integration of parts of Manipur, Assam and NEFA with Nagaland; (*b*) if so, whether Government have received any written communication from the Chief Minister in this	(*a*) *to* (*c*) The question of appointing a Boundary Commission in regard to Nagaland's claim for certain areas in Assam is under consideration and the matter is under correspondence with the State Government ;	The Committee noted the fact that only on receipt of the memorandum called for from the Nagaland State Government on the question of appointing a Boundary Commission in regard to	Do.

	regard ; and (*c*) the reaction of Government thereto.		Nagaland's claim for certain areas in Assam a decision could be taken by the Government in the matter. They directed that the assurance might be kept pending until a decision was taken by the Government in the matter and the House informed of it. [*CGA Min. dt.* 10-9-69, *para* 5 (*vii*)]	
5 USQ No. 634, dated the 21st February, 1969 by Shri Molahu Prashad and others.	(*a*) whether it is a fact that according to an order of the Ministry of Home Affairs, all the publicatoins of the Government of India should be published in Hindi and English both; (*b*) if so, the names of the publications of the Ministry of Home Affairs which are being brought out in Hindi also and whether the Ministry of Home Affairs propose to set an example for other Mnistries to follow, by bringing out in Hindi such publications as are being brought out in English at present; (*c*) the names of the publications brought out by various Ministries and the names of those, among them, which are being brought out in Hindi; and (d) the time by which the remaining publications are likely to be brought out in Hindi.	(*c*) and (*d*) This information rgarding Administrative and other reports published during 1968 and official papers laid before a House by the various Ministries/Departments is being collected and will be laid	Information awaited. Ministry being pursued demi-officially.	

1	2	3	4	5	6
			on the Table of the Sabha in due course.		
6	USQ. No. 659, dated the 21st February, 1969; by Shri A. Sreedharan and others.	(*a*) whether the Delhi Administration propose to increase power and water charges and property tax, sales tax and other local taxes ; and (*b*) if so, what were the specific proposals and what is the Government's reaction thereto.	(*b*) The proposals are under consideration with the Government.	The Committee were of the view that the reply given by the Minister to the above question constituted an assurance and that the Government should inform the House of the final outcome of their consideration of the proposals of the Delhi Administration to increase power and water charges, property tax, sales tax and other local taxes. [*CGA. Min. dt.* 10-9-69, *para* 5 (*viii*)]	Do.
7	USQ. No. 706, dated the 21st February, 1969 by Shri R.R. Singh Deo and others.	(*a*) whether it is a fact that the National integration Council had recommended setting up of a machinery to resolve the linguistic border dispute among the States ; and (*b*) if so, the reaction of Government in the matter.	(*a*) Yes, Sir. (*b*) The matter is under consideration.	The Committee noted that Government were anxious to finalise the question of setting up a Machinery to resolve linguistic border disputes among the States and directed that the assurance in question might be kept pending and its implementation watched. [*CGA. Min. dt.* 10-9-69, *para* 5 (*ix*)]	Information awaited Ministry being pursued demi- officially

8 USQ. No. 715, dated the 21st February, 1969 by Shri Raghuvir Singh Shastri and Others.	(*a*) whether it is a fact that the Administrative Reforms Commission has recommended the abolition of the Delhi Municipal Corporation and the transfer of its functions to the Metropolitan Council; (*b*) the other recommendations made by the Commission in regard to the political set-up of Delhi; and (*c*) the reaction of Government thereto.	(*c*) The Report is under examination	While the Committee appreciated the fact that the issues involved in the Report of the A. R. C. on the Union Territories had far reaching implications requiring careful examination and that it would take some more time before decisions were taken thereon, the Committee directed that the assurance should be kept pending until it was implemented by the Government. [*CGA. Min. dt.* 10-9-69, *para* 5(x)]	Do.

Resolution *re*. Functioning of Central Services

9 27-2-1969.	In the course of his reply the Minister stated that after the strike on 19th September, 1968, for persons arrested during the strike, in case they were not arrested for violence, intimidation or investigation instructions were issued by Government that cases launched against them should be withdrawn.	As to the effect of these instructions, the Minister promised to furnish the number of cases of reinstatement, cases withdrawn and such other figures arising out of the instructions so issued.		Information awaited. Ministry being pursued demi-officially.
10 USQ. No. 1345, dated the 27th February, 1969	(*a*) whether it is a fact that the Hindi Assistants were deprived of the			Do.

1	2	3	4	5	6
	by Shri Om Prakash Tyagi and others.	opportunities which were open to English Assistants by not forming any separate cadre for the former and they have been given the same pay scale as that of English Assistants, in spite of more responsibilities and qualifications prescribed for them and getting more work from them; (*b*) whether it is due to anti-Hindi attitude of officers and anti-Hindi atmosphere in the Ministry of Home Affairs that no further examination was held for recruitment of Hindi Assistants after 1959 whereas *ad hoc* appointments are made in the posts of Hindi Assistants ; and (*c*) the number of Hindi Assistants who have been appointed as Hindi Officers in the various Ministries of the Government of India and in other offices.	(*c*) The appointment of Hindi Assistants to the post of Hindi Officers are made by the various Ministries, themselves, being ex-cadre posts. The required information is, therefore, being collected from the Ministries concerned and will be laid on the table of the House.		
11	USQ. No. 2128, dated the 7th March, 1969 by Shri Molahu Prashad.]	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 5324 on the 20th December, 1968 and asking	(*a*) *to* (*c*). Information has since been received from the Governments of West Bengal	Information awaited. Ministry being pursued demi-Officially.	

		(a) whether the information regarding the Consultative Committees, Boards and other organisations in Bihar, West Bengal and U. P. has since been collected ; (b) if so, the details thereof ; and (c) if not, the reasons for the delay.	and Uttar Pradesh. Since, however, the question relates to a large number of departments at the State and lower levels, the information will be laid on the Table of the House as soon as possible after scrutiny. The information called for from the Government of Bihar is still awaited.	
12	SQ. No. 482, dated the 14th March, 1969, Supplementary Question by Shri B. K. Daschovdhury.	When the decision about the erection of the statue of Netaji on the ramparts of the Red Fort would be taken.	The matter is under consideration.	Information awaited. Ministry being pursued deml-officially.
13	USQ No. 3026, dated the 14th March, 1969 by Shri M.L. Sondhi.	(a) whether it is a fact that some refugees, retired military personnel of the Azad Hind Fauj are earning their livelihood by carrying business in repairing and servicing of automobiles as tenants/occupants of garrages and spaces of the premises of M/s. Sobha Singh and Sons, (Private) Ltd., Sujan Singh Park, New Delhi with the permission of the former Chief Commissioner of Delhi, for twenty-two years; (b) if so, whether they are being harassed by the New Delhi Municipal Committee and the Police now and, if so, the reasons therefor ; (c) whether any representation has been received by Government ; and		Since Implemented.

1	2	3	4	5
		(*d*) if so, the action taken thereon.	(*d*) The matter is being examined.	
14	USQ No. 3088, dated 14th March, 1969 by Shri Hukam Chand Kachwai and others.	(*a*) for the number of Pakistani infiltrators apprehended in the country since 1st January, 1965 State-wise (*b*) the number out of them prosecuted and those convicted by the courts ; and (*c*) the number of persons sent back to Pakistan and those who are under detention in India.	(*a*) *to* (*c*) The information in respect of Bihar, Jammu and Kashmir, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, West Bengal and Pondicherry will be laid on the Table of the House on receipt from the Governments/ Administration concerned.	Information awaited. Ministry being pursueed dem-Officially.
15	USQ. No. 3966, dated the 21st March, 1969 by Shri Raghuvir Singh Shastri and Shri Valmiki Choudhary.	(*a*) the number of new offices created under different Ministries and Departments from 1966 to 1968; (*b*) the number of those out of them which have been named in Hindi or other Indian languages; (*c*) the reasons for not naming those offices in Indian languages; which have been named only in English ; and (*d*) the reasons for not doing so when there were orders from the Government of India to this effect and the steps now proposed to be taken in this regard.	(*a*) *to* (*d*) A statement in respect of the Ministries/Departments from which information has been received was laid on the Table of the Sabha. In regard to the remaining Ministries/Departments information will be laid when received.	Information just received will be laid during next Session.
16	USQ. No. 4612, dated the 28th March, 1969 by Shri Jyotirmoy Basu.	Asking for the figures regarding recruitment made from each minority community in the Central Reserve Police, the Police force in the Sta-	The requisite information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House as soon as possible.	

		States under the President's Rule and in the Union Territories during the last three years.		
17	USQ. No. 4613, dated the 28th March, 1969, by Shri Jyotirmoy Basu.	(*a*) how many cases of corruption amongst the Officers of the Central Reserve Police and of the Police Force in the States under the President's Rule and in the Union Territories have been detected during the last three years; and (*b*) how many Court strictures were passed against the Police, during this period, State/Territory-wise and year-wise.	(*a*) *and* (*b*) The Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House in due course.	Information awaited. Min. being pursued demi-officially.
18	USQ. No. 4621, dated the 28th March, 1969 by Shri Raghuvir Singh Shastri and others.	(*a*) the names of statistical reports published by different Ministries, Departments and offices; (*b*) the names of reports out of them as are printed in Hindi as well or in diglot from (Hindi-English): and (*c*) the reasons for not making arrangements for publishing Hindi versions along with English version thereof and the date by which such arrangements are likely to be made.	(*a*) *to* (*c*) Information in regard to the remaining Ministries/Departments will be supplied in due course.	Do.
19	USQ. No. 4730, dated the 28th March, 1969 by Shri Suraj Bhan.	Asking for the number of Central Government Employees of all categories who were recruited in relaxation of age and/or educational standard, excluding the Scheduled Caste/Scheduled Tribe people, during the last three years.	The requisite information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House as soon as possible.	Information awaited. Ministry being pursued demi-officially.

1	2	3	4	5	6
		Holding of interviews at Gauhati for recruitment to Central Services			
20	SQ. No. 1023, dated the 11th April, 1969—Supplementary Question by Shri Jyotirmoy Basu.	Asking whether the Government will consider the suggestion (with regard to recruitment for all cadres of employment by the UPSC should be done through the State Public Service Commission and the University Students counselling Bureaus so that those areas which are not fully represented in Central Services, those isolated pockets should have full opportunity in the Central Services) and take suitable steps.	The Minister said, "Certainly we shall consider all good suggestions."		Information awaited Min. bing pursued demi-officially.
21	SQ. No. 1036, dated the 11th April, 1969 by Shri K. Lakkappa.	(*a*) whether Government have since examined the question of appointing a Police Commissioner for Delhi with the powers of an Inspector-General; (*b*) if not, the reasons for the delay; and (*c*) the time by which a final decision is likely to be taken in this regard.	(*a*) *to* (*c*) The matter is under consideration and decision is likely to be taken shortly.	The Committee did not agree to drop the assurance in question and desired that Government should expeditiously come to a final decision on the question of appointment of Police Commissioner for Delhi. [*CGA. Min. dt.* 3-11-69, *para* 7(*xiv*)]	Information awaited. Min. being pursued demi-officially.
22	USQ. No. 6038, dated the 11th April, 1969, by Shri Gadilingana Gowd.	(*a*) the number of Ministers, Ministers of State and Deputy Ministers who visited foreign countries during the months of October, November and December, 1968; (*b*) the object of their visits to those countries;	(*a*) *to* (*d*) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	[nformation awaited. Min. being pursued demi-officially.	

		(c) the total amount of foreign exchange spent on their foreign tours; and (d) the details of discussions held and the outcome thereof.			
23	USQ. No. 6085, dated the 11th April, 1969 by Shri S. K. Tapuriah.	(a) the total number of National awards given to so far since their institution; and (b) how many out of them have been given to present and former Civil Servants and employees, past and present of Government or Semi-Government Organisations and institutions.	(b) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information just recevied. will be laid during next Session.	
24	SQ. No. 1199, dated the 18th April, 1969 by Shri S. K. Tapuriah.	(a) whether his attention has been drawn to the reports that during the Prime Minister's recent election tours, local authorities in various States had made certain arrangements like setting up stockades, fencings etc. and posting policemen on round-the-clock duty; (b) whether these arrangements were paid for by the concerned State Governments; and (c) if so, the reasons therefor.	(b) *and* (c) Arrangements for the protection of the Prime Minister and for maintenance of order in any public assembly are made by the State Governments. Information regarding the expenditure on provision of rostrums, lighting, loudspeakers etc. in connection with Prime Minister's Election meetings is being ascertained from the State Governments concerned.	Information awaited. Min. being pursued demi-officially.	
25	USQ. No. 6866, dated the 18th April, 1969 by Shri Vasudevan Nair.	(a) how many candidates belonging to Kerala State had been selected for jobs by the Union Public Service Commission and the various Ministries of the Union Government during 1968; and	(a) *and* (b) The information is being collected and the same will be placed on the Table of the House as soon as possible.	Information awaited. Min. being pursued demi-officially.	

1	2	3	4	5
		(*b*) whether they were all subjected to a separate verification of character and antecedents by the Home Ministry apart from the one done by the Kerala State Government.		
26	USQ. No. 7713 dated the 25th April, 1969 by Shri Kanwar Lal Gupta	(*a*) the names of the former Ministers and Members of Parliament, who have been given diplomatic assignment, chairmanship of public undertakings and other top-level posts in any Government Committees or Departments since 31st March, 1967; (*b*) their terms of appointment and how much money has been spent on them so far since 31st March, 1967; and (*c*) the amenities such as housing facilities allowances, car etc. allowed to each of them;	(*a*) *to* (*c*) The required information is not readily available. It is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information just received will be laid during the next session.
		Public funds utilised for security and other facilities to Central Ministers in connection with Poll Campaigns		
27	SQ No. 1594, dated the 9th May, 1969—Supplementary Question by Shri Ramavatar Shastri.	(*a*) asking for the total expenditure incurred on all Congress leaders in connection with their Security arrangement Poll Campaigns in Bihar and whether that amount was received by Pradesh Congress Committee; and (*b*) if not, the reasons therefor.	(*a*) *and* (*b*). The information has been asked for from the State Government. As soon as it is received, it will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information awaited. Min. being pursued demi-officially.
28	USQ. No. 8977, dated the 9th May, 1969, by Shri	(*a*) the number of names of Chinese and Pakistani spies arrested on	(*a*) *and* (*b*). Information in respect of persons arrested	Do.

	Baburao Patel and others.	charge of espionage since 1965 State-wise and sex-wise; and (*b*) the nature of action taken against them with particulars of twenty top convictions with names of spies concerned.	on suspicion of having committed an offence of espionage under the Official Secrets Act or other analogous acts is being collected and will be laid on the table of the House.		Do.
29	USQ. No. 9052, dated the 9th May, 1969 by Shri Jyotirmoy Basu.	(*a*) the number of Central Government Officers re-employed for the first time during the last three years; and (*b*) the number of retired Central Government officers whose re-employment had been extended during the last three years.	(*a*) *and* (*b*). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House as soon as possible.		Do.
30	SNQ. No. 28, dated the 16th May, 1969 by Shri Shashi Bhushan.	(*a*) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the large number of changes made in the Voters' List for the bye-election to the Delhi Municipal Corporation held recently in Basti Julahan; (*b*) whether it is a fact that names of thousands of voters were added in and dropped from the Voters' List after filling up the nomination papers; and (*c*) if so, the reaction of the Government thereto.	(*a*) Yes. Sir. (*b*) 1324 names were removed and 148 added. (*c*) Though the additions and deletions are in accordance with law, they may nevertheless create difficulties and inconveniences. Therefore the question of amending the concerned statute is under consideration.	The Committee were of the view that the reply in question did constitute an assurance and directed that Government should expedite their consideration of the question of amending the relevant statute in order to avoid difficulties and inconveniences arising out of alterations in the voters' lists. [*CGA. Min. dt.* 3-11-69 *para* 7 (*xvii*)]	Information just received and will be laid during their next session.
31	SNQ. No. 28, dated the 16th May, 1969—Supplementary by Shri Shashi Bhushan.	Asking whether the voters dropped from the list of Voters (by the Delhi Municipal Corporation on the eve of the bye-election from Basti Julahan constituency) were not Harijans, minority communities and poor Jhuggi-dwellers who were shifted	The Minister said: "I have stated in the main reply that there are certain flaws in the statute and therefore we are considering to amend it.	Information awaited.	Min. being pursued demi-officially.

1	2	3	4	5
		from Basti Julahan to a distance of 30/40 miles by the Delhi Administration and which effected the elections in that area; if so whether Government would be ready to amend the constitution so that there is no such change in the list at least one month before the election date.	We will decide the matter as early as possible and take necessary action."	Information awaited Min. being pursued demi-officially.
		Statement re. report of Intelligence Bureau on use of Foreign Money in last General Elections and for other purposes.		
32	14-5-1969		During the course of making statement the Minister said, "Some Hon'ble Members have already introduced Bills on this subject and Government will carefully examine the provisions of such Bills for the purpose of drafting their own legislation. Government will consult the leaders of the opposition on the principles of such legislation."	Do.
33	SQ. No. 1769, dated the 16th May, 1969 by Shri P.L. Barupal and Shri Ramavatar Shastri.	(*a*) whether it is a fact that the Administrative Reforms Commission has recommended to extend the age limit from 24 years to 30 years for the I.A.S. and Allied Services examination; and		Do.
		(*b*) if so, the reaction of Government thereto.	(*b*) The matter is under consideration of the Government.	

34	USQ. No. 9977 dated the 16th May, 1969 by Shri Hem Barua and others.	(*a*) whether the Government have computed the financial losses suffered by the Central and the State Governments due to (i) Telengana agitation and (ii) Bengal Bandh on the 10th April, 1969; and (*b*) if so, the brief outlines of the losses suffered.	(a) *and* (*b*). the facts are being ascertained.	Informtaon just recd.	Will be laid during the next session.
35	USQ. No. 9998 dated the 16th May, 1969 by Shri Yashpal Singh.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 5518 on 23rd August, 1968 regarding sale of plots by officers in Delhi the Member wanted to know (a) the action taken against each officer who has made profit of more than Rs. 30.000 each on the sale of Government allotted plots instead of constructing their houses ; and (b) whether Government have seen the news item published in the National Herald, Delhi dated the 17th April, 1969 under the heading "What does C.C. stand for" regarding sale of plots and (c) what other steps are being taken to take back the profit money from the officials concerned and deposit it in the Government Treasury?	(*a*), (*b*) *and* (*c*). The news-item has come to Government's notice. The matter is being enquired into.	Information awaited.	Min. being pursued demi-officially.
36	USQ. No. 10013 dated the 16th May, 1969 by Shri P. R. Thakur and Shri Suraj Bhan.	(*a*) whether it is a fact that there is a large number of Assistants in the Central Secretariat Services who have put in more than 20-25 years of service in the same grade and have reached the maximum of the existing scale of pay viz., Rs. 210 to 530 p.m. (*b*) if so, their total number and whether any action has been initiated to find out avenues for their promotion to higher grades in view of the fact that	(*a*), (*b*) *and* (*c*). The recommendations of the Co-ordination Committee which was set up by Government to look into the grievances of the members of these services and suggest remedial measures are under consideration.		Do.

1	2	3	4	5
		some of them are yet to put in more than 10 to 15 years of service; and (c) if there is no possibility of finding any avenues for their promotion in the near future, what is the incentive left to them to carry out their duties diligently and efficiently.		
		Ministry of Industrial Development and Company Affairs		
37	SQ No. 1, dated 18th February, 1969 -Supplementary by Shri Chintamani Panigrahi.	Central Advisory Council for Industries.	During the course of supplementaries the Minister promised to lay the latest report of the Industrial Licensing Review Committee.	Information awaited Ministry being pursued deml-Officially.
38	USQ No. 22, dated 18th February 1969 by Shri Sitaram Kesri.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 5932 on the 27th August, 1968 and asking : (a) Whether it is a fact that the Hind Galvanising and Engineering Co.(P) Ltd., have filed a writ petition in Calcutta High Court and obtained on *ex-parte* injunction restraining the Joint Plant Committee not to adjust the quantity of 18 Gauge Steel Sheets which they had received in excess of their assessed capacity in 1966-67 ; (b) whether applications have been filed by the Director General, Technical Development and Joint Plant Committee in the Calcutta	(*b*) *to* (*d*). The position is being ascertained and information will be placed on the Table of the	Do.

		High Court for vacation of the injuction. (c) if so, the main grounds taken in the applications ; and (d) If not, the reasons for the delay.	House.	
		Utilisation of Installed Capacity in Industries		
39	USQ No. 33, dated the 18th February, 1969 by Shri Himatsingka and others.	Asking— (a) what was the extent of idle capacity during the first 9 months of the current financial year ending December, 1968 in respect of different Industries; (b) which was the most hard-hit industry in this respect; and (c) what steps were taken to maximise the use of available installed capacity in each industry and what further efforts are being made to achieve cent per cent utilisation of available capacity during the ensuing year.	(*a*) *to* (*c*). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information awaited. Ministry being pursued deml-Officially.
		Idle capacity in Machine Building Industries		
40	USQ No. 105, dated the 18th Feb., 1969 by Shri Chintamani Panigrahi and others.	(a) whether the cotton textiles, cement and sugar industries are going to be benefited from scheme of tax relief with a view to encourage the maximum utilisation of Indigenous machinery; (b) what is the idle capacity in these machines building industries in the country; and	(*a*) *to* (*c*). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Do.

1	2	3	4	5
		(c) what is the amount of money that is being spent annually for importing such machinery and spare parts for these Industries.		
		Bombay-Burma Trading Corporation Ltd., Bombay.		
41	USQ No. 187 dated the 18th February, 1969 by Shri Sharda Nand and Sh. Bansh Narain Singh	Referring to the reply given to USQ No. 148 on the 11th November, 1968 and asking : (a) for the names of commodities for manufacturing which the Bombay Burma Trading Corporation Ltd., Bombay had applied for licence; (b) the date on which licence was issued to the Company and the date on which it started functioning; (c) whether this concern manufactured any goods other than those for which licence was granted to it; and (d) if so, the details thereof.	(*a*) *to* (*d*). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information in English, recd. Hindi Version awaited.
		M/s Tata Engineering and Locomotive Company Ltd., Bombay.		
42	USQ No. 191, dated the 18th February, 1969 by Shri Bansh Narain	Asking— (a) for the date which the Tata Engineering and Locomotive Com-	(*a*) *to* (*c*). The information is being collected and	Information since recd. will be laid by the next Session.

	Singh & Shri Sharda Nand.	pany Ltd., Bombay applied for a licence and when it started functioning; (b) the conditions on which this concern was to function and the items which are being produced by it; and (c) the extent of production by this concern since its inception.	will be laid on the Table of the House.
		M/s Mackenzies Ltd., Bombay	
43	USQ No. 192, dated the 18th February, 1969 by Shri Bansh Narain Singh and Shri Sharda Nand.	(a) the date on which M/s Mackenzies Limited, Bombay applied for licence and the date on which it started functioning; (b) the terms and conditions for starting this company and the nature of goods manufactured by it; and (c) the quantum of goods manufactured by this company since it started functioning.	(a) *to* (c) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.
		Loans for Industrial Development in U. P.	
44	USQ No. 197, dated the 18th February, 1969 by Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey.	Asking— (a) for the amount of loans granted to Uttar Pradesh for the Industrial Development during the last ten years; (b) the names of the Companies to whom minimum and maximum amount of loans were granted and also the amount thereof; (c) whether it is a fact that many units did not establish industrial	(a) *to* (e). The information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House.

Information awaited. Ministry being pursued demi-Officially.

Do.

1	2	3	4	5	6
		units despite getting loan and if so, the names thereof ; (d) whether Government propose to take action against them; and (e) if so, the nature thereof.			
		Committee on Economic Ministries			
46	USQ No. 987 dt. 25th February, 1969 by Shri Hi atsingka and others.	Asking— (a) whether in the light of the talks with Mr. S. A. Skachkov, Chairman, State Committee of the USSR Council if Ministers for Foreign Economic Relations, in December last year, Government have decided to set up a high power Committee of economic Ministries for the specific purpose of loading the public sector projects with orders and working them to full capacity; (b) if so, the precise composition and functions of this Committee; and (c) what other measures have been or are being taken to co-ordinate the working of the various economic Ministries in the light of the said talks.	(*a*) *to* (*c*). The question of setting up a Committee for co-ordinating basic problems and issues relating to public sector projects, some of which came up during discussions with the Soviet delegation led by Mr. Skachkov has been separately under consideration of the Government for some time. A decision as to its constitution together with the details of its composition, functions etc. is expected to be taken shortly.	Observation of the Committee— The Committee noted the circumstances explained by the Government requiring sufficiently more time to implement the assurance regarding the question of setting up of a Committee for co-ordinating basic problems and issues relating to Public Sector projects. The Committee directed that the assurance might be kept pending and its implementation watched. [Min. C.G.A. dt. 10-9-69 para 6 (iii)] Information awaited. Ministry being pursued demi-Officially.	Remarks of D.P.A. as on 21-10-70.

46 USQ No. 1022, dated the 25th February, 1969 by Kumari Kamla Kumari and others.	Asking— (a) whether the shareholders of the Indian Iron and Steel Company Ltd., have complained to Government against misappropriation by the Management to the tune of crores of rupees; (b) whether it is also a fact that the shareholders are going to file a law suit against the company in view of Government's indifference to their complaint; (c) if so, whether any step has been taken to look into the affairs of this company; and (d) if not, the reasons therefor.	(c) *and* (*d*). The matter is under examination.	Remarks of D. P. A. as on 21-10-70. -Do-

Khus Oil Distillation Industry in Bharatpur District.

47 USQ No. 1033 dated 25th February, 1969 by Sh. P.M. Sayeed & Sh. Manibhai J. Patel.	Asking— (a) whether Government are aware that there is a vast scope of development and modernisation of Khus Oil Distillation Industry in Bharatpur District; (b) whether Government are also aware that best raw material is available in Bharatpur for the purpose of this industry; (c) whether the Forest Research Institute, Dehra Dun has ascertained the potentiality of the raw material available there; (d) if so, the details thereof ;	(*a*) *to* (*f*). Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of of the House.	Information awaited. Ministry being pursued demi-Officially.

1	2	3	4	5
		(e) whether Government are also aware that this industry could be a very big foreign exchange earner; and (f) if so, the steps Government propose to take to develop and modernise this industry soon.		
		Cooper Allen and North West Tannery Branches of British India Corporation, Kanpur		
48	USQ No. 1053 dt. 25th February, 1969 by Shri A. Sreedharan and others.	Asking — (a) whether Government have since decided to take over the Cooper Allen and the North-West Tannery Branches of the British India Corporation Ltd. at Kanpur; (b) if so, on what terms these units are being taken over; and (c) what will be the constitution and composition of the Board of Directors to be set up for the working of these units and how the management and control over these units will be shared between Government and the private partners.	(c) A new wholly owned Government Company under the name and style of Tannery and Footwear Corporation of India Ltd., has been formed to manage the two units. The constitution and composition of the Board is under Consideration.	Do.
		M/s Dodsal (P) Ltd)		
49	USQ No. 1067 dated the 20th February, 1969	Asking— (a) for the action taken against Dodsal	(a) and (b). The advice of	Information awaited. Ministry being pursued demi-Officially.

	by Shri Baburao Patel & Shri K. Lakappa.	Private Ltd., for contravening Section 293-A of the Companies Act by paying in excess the sum of Rs. 23105 to the Congress Party in 1967 for the Elections; and (b) the reasons why definite legal action is being delayed in spite of the illegal act having been committed as far back as in February, 1967 and the assurance given in reply to Starred Question No. 570 on the 20th August, 1968.	the Ministry of Law has been obtained and is under study.	
		Union Carbide India Ltd.		
50	USQ No. 1090 dated 25th February, 1969 by Shri Sharda Nand and Sh. Bansh Narain Singh.	Asking— (a) for the date on which the Union Carbide India Ltd., Calcutta applied for licence and since when it started functioning ; (b) the terms and conditions prescribed for running this Company and the nature of articles manufactured by it; and (c) the quantum of articles manufactured by it since its inception.	*(a) to (c).* The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information awaited. Ministry being pursued demi-Officially.
		Central Distributors Ltd., Bombay		
51	USQ No. 1093 dated 25th February, 1969 by Shri Sharda Nand & Sh. Bansh Narain Singh.	Asking— (a) for the date on which the Central Distributors Ltd., Bombay applied for licence and the date on which it started functioning; (b) the terms and conditions laid down for running the said company and the nature of goods manufactured by the Company; and	*(a) to (c).* The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information since received will be laid by the next Session.

1	2	3	4	5
		(c) the quantum of goods manufactured by the said company since it started functioning.		
		Agro-Industries in West Bengal		
52	USQ. No. 1104 dated 25th February, 1968 by Shri Badrudduja.	Asking— (a) for the names of agro-industries set up in each district of West Bengal up to date since 1951-52; (b) total value of goods produced in each district of the State up to date year-wise since 1951-52 ; and (c) total additional employment potentialities and actual employment in these agro-industries in each district upto date since 1951-52.	*(a) to (c).* The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information since received will be laid by the next session.
		Investment by Private Sector in State Enterprises		
53	USQ No. 1137 dated 25th February, 1969 by Shri Lobo Prabhu.	Asking— (a) for the profit or loss of State Enterprises under the Ministry of Industrial Development, Internal Trade and Company Affairs, after payment of taxes ; (b) in view of the minimum of 11 per cent fixed as return on investment of electricity by the Venkatraman Committee, the reasons why Government do not issue shares to the public	*(a) to (d).* Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of of the House.	Information awaited. Ministry being pursued demi-Officially.

on part of the investment in the public sector, as a means of enlisting intelligent self-interest of the investors;

(c) the objection to offering a minimum return equal to that on Government securities to such investors, as the same interest is payable on loans otherwise required; and

(d) the reasons why Government have not tried to offer to foreign collaborators a share in the investment equal to their foreign capital, which would ensure that they are more interested in profits from the enterprise than from the sale of spare parts.

Utilisation of Installed Capacity in Industries

54 USQ. No. 1693 dated 5th March, 1969 by Sh. Baburao Patel.

Asking—

(a) for the names of ten top industries in which licensed capacity was not installed with licensed and unused capacity industry-wise and the year of licence in each case with reasons therefor;

(b) the names of ten top industries in which installed capacity was not fully utilized with installed and unutilized capacity industry-wise and the year of installation in each case with reasons therefor;

(c) the precise steps taken in each type of cases and the results thereof; and

(a) *to* (*d*). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House in due course.

Do.

1	2	3	4	5
		(d) the amount of total foreign exchange tied up in the above type of industries on the whole.		
55	USQ. No. 1832, dated 5th March, 1969 by Sh. A. Dipa.	Asking.— (a) whether it is a fact that many irregularities were committed deliberately in regard to dyeing and printing work and disbursement of wages for the same between July, 1968 and November, 1968 in the Dyeing and Printing Department of the Khadi Gramodyog Bhavan, New Delhi and that this matter has been reported in writing to the Manager of the Bhavan ; (b) if so, the reasons for which no action has so far been taken against the concerned officers ; (c) whether it is also a fact that the Khadi and Village Industries Commission is also aware of those irregularities and if so, the action taken by it in this regard; and (d) the steps proposed to be taken by Govt. to investigate into the matter and to punish the defaulters.	(a) *to* (d). Information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information awaited. Ministry being pursued demi-Officially.
		Khadi Commission, Bombay		
56	USQ No. 1844 dated 5th h, 1969 by Shri Barupal.	Asking— (a) whether it is a fact that the A.T. Section of the Khadi Commission,	(a) *to* (c). Necessary information is being collec-	Do.

		Bombay, also falls under the trading units; (b) if so, whether all the facilities provided to the said A.T. Section are also provided to all other trading units of the Khadi Commission; and (c) if not, the number of trading units in the Khadi Commission, their names and the places where they are functioning and the details regarding facilities provided to each of the said trading units.	ted and it will be laid on the Table of the House in due course.	Do.

Donations to Political Parties by Companies.

57	SQ. No. 391 dated 11th March, 1969 by Sh. Kameshwar Singh	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 269 on the 12th November, 1968 and asking: (a) whether before Government's instructions were issued to the Directors representing Government on Boards of private sector companies, it was pointed out that it was improper for companies in which Government have shares or have advanced loans, to give donations to political parties; (b) the names of Directors and companies to whom instructions were issued and date thereof; and (c) the number of meetings from which Directors have abstained and whether any decision to give donations was taken in any such meeting.	(*b*) *and* (*c*). Information is being collected and a statement will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information awaited. Ministry being pursued demi-Officially.

1	2	3	4	5
		Industrial Development in Andhra Pradesh		
58	USQ. No. 2501 dated 11th March, 1969 by Shri Gadilingana Goud.	Asking.— (a) for the progress made in the industrial field in Andhra Pradesh during the period from the Ist January, 1968 to the 31st December, 1968; (b) the detailed position in regard to the development of industries, expansion of established industries and renovation of obsolete industries during the above period; and (c) the total amount utilized for the purpose.	(*a*) *to* (*c*). The information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information awaited. Min. being pursued demi-officially.
		Killick Group of Companies		
59	USQ No. 2534 dated 11th March, 1969 by Shri George Fernandes.	Asking— (a) whether Government have received any communication from any quarters regarding the take over of the Killick Group of Companies by the Kapadia Brothers; (b) if so, the nature of the representation received; (c) whether Government have made enquiries into the points made in the representation; (d) if so, with what results; and (e) if not, the reasons therefore.	(a) to (e)—Government have received a communication from a Member of Parliament in the matter. The matters raised therein are being enquired into.	Do.

Purchase of Local Products by Andhra Pradesh

60 USQ No. 2554 dated 11th March, 1969 by Shri S.R. Damani?

Asking (a) whether it is a fact that recently the Government of Andhra Pradesh have issued orders to all their Departments to buy only local products in preference to those from other States.

(b) if so, to which specific items the above orders will apply; and

(c) the reaction of Government to to such tendencies developing in the States.

(a) and (b)—The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

(c) The question of preference to local products which is being shown by certain State Governments is under examination.

Gokhale Institutes Report on Idle Capacity

61 USQ No. 2580 dated 11th March, 1969 by Shri Lobo Prabhu.

Asking (a) whether the Ministry of Industrial Development, Internal Trade and Company Affairs accepts the estimate of the Gokhale Institute on Idle Industrial Capacity;

(b) since the overheads arising, raise the cost of production and reduce demand, the reason why Government do not forego taxes or at least one of the three taxes, Excise Corporation or Income Tax, on the Idle capacity engaged;

(c) alternatively, the reasons for not extending the system of tax certificate and increasing their rates; and

(d) whether Government would obtain technical opinion on diverting capacity, which cannot be engaged, and make cheap loans available for the same and if not, the reasons therefor.

(a) to (d)—The matter is being looked into and the answer will be laid on the Table of the House.

Information awaited. Min. being pursued demi officially.

1	2	3	4	5
		Century Rayon Bombay		
62	USQ No. 2624 dated 11th March, 1969 by Sh. Deven Sen.	Asking (a) for the total capital of Century Rayon, Bombay. (b) the quantum of goods exported to each country by them. (c) the basis and the extent to which the exports have gone up since 1967; and (d) the number of its share-holders and whether any foreign organisation is also a share-holder.	(*b*) *to* (*d*) The information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information awaited. Ministry being pursued demi officially.
		Half-An-Hour Discussion Re: General Motor Corporation of U.S.		
63	12-3-1969	[Shri Sradhalar Supakat expressed his doubts over the situation that India though manufacturing 22,000 passenger car per year is still not in a position to meet the internal demand and there is a long waiting list and if the export of car-components is started, the supply position would further deteriorate with prices rising up.]	The Minister said, "The Hon. Member has expressed his doubts. They may be true. We shall examine them and then inform.	Do.
64	USQ No. 3436 dated the 18-3-69 by Shri Narendra Singh Mahida.	Asking (a) for the amount of loan and the names of the firms and companies to whom loans were given for industrial development in Gujarat during the period 1963 to 1968; (b) if so, the names thereof; and (c) action taken by Government in the matter.	(a) to (d)—The information if being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Do.
65	U.S.Q. No. 3457, dated 18th March 1969 by Shri Sharda Nand and Shri Bansh Narain	Asking :— (a) for the names of the articles for whose manufacture Moon Corporation Limited, Hargaon had applied for licence ;	(*a*) *to*(*b*). The information will be laid on the Table of the House.	Do.

		(*b*) the date on which licence was granted and the date on which the work was started; (*c*) whether the company manufactured articles other than those for which licence had been granted; and (*d*) if so, the details thereof.		
66	U.S.Q. No. 3458 dated 18th March, 1969 by Sh. Sharda Nand and Shri Bansh Narain Singh.	Asking :— (*a*) for the date on which the Tata Oil Mills Limited, Bombay applied for a licence and when it started functioning; (*b*) the terms and conditions in regard to the setting up of the company and the nature of articles being produced by it; and (*c*) its total production since its inception.	(*a*) to (*c*) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the of the House.	Do.
67	U.S.Q. No. 3522, dated 18th March, 1969, by Shri K. Suryanarayana.	Asking :— (*a*) for the names of the present non-official Directors appointed on all the Central Industrial Projects and their qualifications; and (*b*) the dates of their appointment, tenure and other allowances and sitting fees per day for attending each meeting of the Board of Directors or its Sub-Committees.	(*a*) *and* (*b*).—The information is being collected and it will be laid on the table of the House.	Do. Information awaited. Ministry being pursued demi-officially.

1	2	3	4	5
68	U.S.Q. No. 3561 dated 18th March, 1969 by Shri P.C. Adichan.	Asking :— (*a*) for the details of the applications for licences for industries to be set up in Kerala indicating the names of the enterpreneurs, the nature of proposed industry, proposed location, capacity to be installed, cost and foreign exchange cotent ; and (*b*) the details of industries in Kerala for which licences and letters of intent have been issued and are in the process of being set up, indicating also the progress made in their establishment and their likely dates of commissioning.	(*a*) *and* (*b*).—The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information awaited. Ministry being pursued demi-officially.
69	U.S.Q. No. 3564 dated 18th March, 1969 by Shri A. Dipa.	Asking :— (*a*) whether it is a fact that some people in the Khadi Gramodyog Bhawan, New Delhi are earning through illegitimate means by supplyling more goods to other concerns and showing less on the records with a view to serve their self-interest ; (*b*) if so, the number of such incidents detected or brought to the notice of the authorities so far; (*c*) the details thereof and the action taken by the Department against the persons involved ; and	(*a*) *to* (*d*).—Information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House.	Do.

		(*d*) the steps proposed to be taken by the Khadi Commission and Government against persons indulging in such practices.		
70	U.S.Q. No. 3566 dated 18th March, 1969 by Shri A. Dipa.	Asking :— (*a*) whether it is a fact that the practices of making payment to workers on contract basis in the Ready-made Department of Khadi Gramodyog Bhawan, New Delhi without taking delivery of the finished products, paying wages in excess of the work done and not taking correct average of cloth entrusted to them, were deliberately resorted to for personal gains and that the Manager of the Bhawan is also aware of such practices; and (*b*) if so, the action taken or proposed to be taken by the said Manager, Khadi Commission or Government in this regard.	(*a*) *to* (*b*).—Information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information awaited. Ministry being pursued demi-officially.

Measures to safeguard the interests of Share-holders of Companies

71	S.Q. No. 703, dated 25th March, 1969, by Shri Hardayal Devgun.	Asking :— (*a*) whether Government are aware that with the amendments in the Indian Company Law the Small shareholders of the Indian Iron and Steel Company have been deprived of their legitimate rights as shareholders ;		

1	2	3	4	5
		(*b*) whether Government are also aware that the management, by adopting various means, have been keeping under their own control shares of the company which are normally exhibited as being held for the benefit of the shareholders resulting in an additional income to the management ; and (*c*) if so, the steps taken/proposed to be taken by Government to safeguard the interests of shareholders of the company.	(*b*) *and* (*c*).—Some shareholders of the company have made complaints against the management of the company which are known as "Dalhousie Holdings". These complaints are under active examination.	Information awaited. Ministry being pursued demi-officially.
		Jhunjhunwala Jarvis Ltd, Bombay		
72	U.S.Q. No. 4272, dated 25th March, 1969 by Sh. Sharda Nand, Shri Bansh Narain Singh.	Asking :— (*a*) for the date on which Jhunjhunwala Jarvis Limited, Bombay applied for a licence and when it started functioning ; (*b*) the conditions in regard to the setting up of the company and the nature of articles being produced by its ; and (*c*) its total production since its inception.	(*a*) *to* (*c*).—The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Do.
		Closure of Industrial Units in Madhya Pradesh		
73	U.S.Q. No. 4336 dated 25th March, 1969 by Shri G.C. Dixit.	Asking :— (a) for the number of industrial units	(*a*) *to* (*e*).—The information is being collected and will	Do.

		which had to be closed down in Madhya Pradesh due to recession ; (*b*) the number of such units as have since resumed production ; (*c*) the details in regard to such units as are still lying closed ; (*d*) whether such units as have resumed production are working to their full capacities or as before recession; and (*e*) the steps taken to restart closed units soon.	be laid on the Table of the House.
		Import of Nitrate of Soda	
74	USQ No. 4341 dated 25th March, 1969 by Shri S. Kundu.	Refering to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 3686 on the 12th August, 1968 and asking: (a) for the quanntity and value of imported nitrate of soda incentive of its use for manurial purposes allotted to nitric acid, glass and other industries during the last three years; (b) out of this, the quantity of imported nitrate of soda released to small scale industries manufacturing nitric acid; and (c) whether Government propose to protect the interest of amall scale chemical industries by continuing the supply of imported sodium nitrate indicative of its use for manurial purposes free of duty.	(a) to (c). Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

1	2	3	4	5
		M/S Hind Galvanising & Engineering Co. (P) Ltd.		
75	USQ No. 4371 dated 25th March, 1969 by Shri Sitaram Kesri.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 22 on the 18th February, 1969 and asking :— (a) whether Government have since ascertained the position and placed the requisite information on the Table of the House; (b) whether the Director-General, Technical Development and Joint Plant Committee supported the action of Ms. Hind Galvanising & Engineering Co. (P) Ltd. who obtained exparate injunction restraining Joint Plant Committee not to adjust the quantity of 18 gauge drum sheets which they had received in excess of their assessed capacity in 1966-67. (c) if so, the reasons thereof; and (d) if not, the reasons why an application for vacation of injunction was not filed by both of them in Calcutta High Court immediately after M/s. Hind Galvanising & Engineering Co. (P) Ltd. got the injunction in August, 1968.	*(a) to (d)*—As complete information is not yet available the correct legal position together with full particulars, which are being ascertained, will be laid on the Table of the House in due course.	Information awaited. Min. being pursued demi-officially.
		Foreign Collaboration Agreements		
76	USQ No. 4977 dated 1st April, 1969 by Shri Jugal Mondal.	(a) the number of foreign collaboration agreements that have been allowed so far for the manufacture of soap, cosmetics, tooth	*(a) and (b)*—Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Do.

		brush, tooth paste, biscuits (commodity-wise); and (b) the number of agreements that have expired and the number of those which have been renewed after expiry alongwith their terms.		
		Foreign Advisers and Experts Attached to Various Ministries		
77	USQ. No. 4987 dated 1st April, 1969 by Sh. Jugal Mondal.	Referring to the reply given to Starred Question No. 634 on the 10th December, 1968 and asking where the required information regarding Foreign Advisers and Experts attached to various Ministries has been collected from a number of other Ministries;	(a) Information is still awaited from Ministries with whom the matter is being pursued. The required information will be laid on the Table of the House when it is received.	Do.
		Licences issued to foreigners for Expansion of Factories		
78	USQ. No.4988 dated 1st April, 1969 by Sh. Shashi Bhushan.	Referring to the reply given to the Unstarred Question No. 3689 on the 12th March, 1968 and asking: (a) whether the requisite information in regard to the licences issued for the expansion of factories established by foreigners during 1967-68 has since been collected; (b) If so, the details thereof; and (c) the number of licences issued for such establishments for the year 1968-69 and the details thereof.	(c) The information is being collected and will be laid on the table of the House.	Do.
		Loans to Companies in U.P.		
79	USQ. No. 4999 dated 1st April, 1969 by Shri	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 1202 on the	(a) *and* (b). Information is still awaited from the Go-	Do.

1	2	3	4	5
	Kashi Nath Pandey.	19th November, 1968 and asking: (*a*) whether the required information regarding the loans to the Companies in U.P. has since been collected; and (*b*) if so, the details thereof.	vernment of Uttar Pradesh with whom the matter is being pursued. The required information will be laid on the Table of the House when it is received from them.	
		Alleged Misuse of the Money of Khadi Gramodyog Bhawan, New Delhi		
80	USQ. No. 5023 dated 1st April, 1969 by Shri A. Dipa.	(*a*) whether it is a fact that the Manager of the Khadi Gramodyog Bhawan New Delhi utilises the money of the Bhawan for the purpose of booking railway and air tickets for certain persons; (*b*) if so, the details of such instances in the last two years and the names of the persons concerned; (*c*) the dates on which the amounts so spent by the Bhawan were recovered from the persons concerned during the last two years together with the names of those persons; (*d*) the names of the persons from whom recoveries on this account are yet to be made, the amount due from each of them and the date from which it is due; and (*e*) the action proposed to be taken by Government against the official concerned and to check such misuse of the money of the Bhawan.	(*a*) *to* (*d*). Information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House in due course.	Information awaited Min. being pursued demi-officially.

City compensatory allowance to employees of Khadi Gramodyog Bhawan, New Delhi

81	Unstarred Question No. 5024 dated the 1st April, 1969 by Shri A. Dipa.	(*a*) Whether it is a fact that City Compensatory Allowance is paid to the employees of the Khadi Gramodyog Bhawan, New Delhi; and		
		(*b*) if so, the full details of the City Compensatory Allowance disbursed so far from the very beginning, grade-wise.	(*b*) Information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House in due course.	Do.
82	Starred Question No. 936 dated the 8th April, by Shri Onkar Singh and others.	(*a*) for the details of loss suffered by the various public undertakings, separately for each undertaking, during the last three years due to subversive activities;	(*a*) *to* (*c*). Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Do.
		(*b*) the names of the persons against whom action was taken in this connection; and		
		(*c*) the steps taken by Government to check such activities in future;		

Haldia Industrial Complex

83	Starred Question No. 949 dated the 8th April, 1969 by Shri M. Sudarsanam.	(*a*) for the progress made in regard to the Haldia Industrial Complex; and	(*a*) *and* (*b*). The information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House.	Do.
		(*b*) whether there is any proposal to have a Free Trade Zone for Haldia.		

Advice of Central Mechanical Research Institute Durgapur to Small Scale Manufacturers

84	Unstarred Question No. 5562 dated 8th April, 1969 by Shri R. K. Amin.	(*a*) whether arrangements have been made to obtain advice from the Central Mechanical Research Institute at Durgapur for small and Medium scale manufacturers in Gujarat State; and	(*a*) *and* (*b*). The information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House in due course.	Do.

1	2	3	4	5
		(*b*) if not, the steps Government propose to take to provide such advice to small scale manufacturers.		
		Assets of Tatas, Birlas, Mafatlals and Others.		
85	Unstarred Question No. 5584 dated 8th April, 1969 by Shri Bhogendra Jha.	(*a*) for the total assets of the tatas, Birlas, Mafatlals and other twenty top industrial and business concerns in 1947; in 1957 and in 1967 respectively;		**Information since recd. will be laid during next Session.**
		(*b*) the manner and method of the growth of their assets;		
		(*c*) whether this growth has been at the cost of medium and small industrial and business concerns : and		
		(*d*) the number of old concerns acquired by these top twenty business houses during the last three years.	(*d*) Information regarding Public limited companies will be collected and it will be laid on the able of the House.	
		Industrial Development in Bihar & Kerala		
86	Unstarred Question No. 5636 dated 8th April, 1969 by Shri Kashi Nath Pandey, Shri Arjun Singh Bhadoria.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 383 on the 12th November, 1968 and asking:		**Information awaited. Min. being persued demi-officially.**
		(*a*) whether the information regarding the Industrial Development in Bihar and Kerala has since been collected and	(*a*) The Government of Kerala has since replied where as the reply from the Government of Bihar is	

		(d) if so, the details thereof.	still awaited. (d) A statement of the replies received would be placed on the Table of the House.	

Capital outlay for Engineering Industry

87	Unstarred Question No. 5653 dated 8th April, 1969 by Shri Ram Avtar Sharma.	(a) whether there is any proposal under Government's consideration to set up outlays on transport and hydro-electric projects to help the Engineering Industry; and; (b) if so, the details thereof.	(a) *and* (b). The information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House in due course.	Do.

Foreign Collaboration

88	Unstarred Question No. 5685 dated 8th April, 1969 by Shri Arjun Singh Bhadoria.	(a) for the names of the items on which more than one collaboration has been entered into during the last three years; and (b) the number of such agreements for the same item.	(a) *and* (b). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information awaited. Min. being pursued.

Cases of theft in Khadi Gramodyog Bhawan, New Delhi

89	Unstared Question No. 5678 dated 8th April, 1969 by Shri A. Dipa.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 3565 on the 18th March, 1969 and asking (a) for the full details of the thefts and leakages etc. that took place in Khadi Gramodyog Bhawan, New Delhi. (b) the details of the police findings in respect of the cases reported to the police;	(a) *to* (e). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information awaited. Min. being pursued demi-officially.

1	2	3	4	5
		(c) the details of the two cases of leakage under investigation by the Department and the time by which investigation is likely to be completed; (d) the name of the official responsible for the losses being sustained by the Bhawan as a result of such incidents of thefts and leakages; and (e) whether Government propose to bring about any change in the management of the Bhawan.		
90	Unstarred Question No. 5712 dated 8th April, 1969 by Shri Arjun Singh Bhadoria.	(a) for the names and address of private enterprises including banking and insurance companies functioning in India in which foreign capital has been invested; (b) the total assets of those Companies according to the latest report available; and (c) the number out of them which have more than 50 per cent of foreign capital.	(d) to (e). The information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information since recd. will be laid during next session.
		Demonstration by Staff of Traffic Accounts Office, Northern Railway Delhi.		
91	Unstarred Question No. 5721 dated 8th April, 1969 by Shri P. L. Barupal.	(a) whether the employees of the Khadi and Village Industries Commission and its commercial establishments are given gratuity benefits;		Do.

		(*b*) if not, the reasons therefor; and (*c*) the reaction of Government to the proposal of giving them the gratuity benefit.	(*b*) *and* (*c*). The proposals submitted by the Commission are under the consideration of the Government.	
92	Starred Question No. 1106 dated 15th April, 1969 by Shri Jyotirmoy Basu.	(*a*) whether garment industry is currently facing a crisis; (*b*) if so, the nature of this crisis in each State; (*c*) the schemes of Government to help this industry; and (*d*) the schemes of Government to help the unemployed or under-employed tailors in West Bengal, particularly in the Maheshtola P.S. under 24 Parganas district of West Bengal.	(*a*) *to* (*d*). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information awaited. Min. being pursued demi-officially.
93	USQ No. 6420 dated the 15th April, 1969 by Shri G. C. Dixit.	(*a*) whether Madhya Pradesh Government have sent any schemes for giving incentive to the cottage industries in the State during 1969-70; and (*b*) if so the details there of and the steps proposed to be taken by Government in regard thereto.	(*a*) *and* (*c*). The information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House in due course.	Do.
94	Unstarred Question No 6431 dated 15-4-69 by Shri Deven Sen.	Referring to the reply given to Starred Question No. 245 on the 3rd March, 1969 and asking : (*a*) for the number of Indian Companies with their names, having foreign majority capital participation and which have Chairmen or Managing Directors from the group of foreign share-holders; and (*b*) The number of Indian Companies with their names, having foreign minority Capital participation, which have Chairmen or Managing Directors from the group of foreign Shareholders.	(*a*) *and* (*b*). The information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House.	Do.

1	2	3	4	5
95	Unstarred Question No. 7186 dated 22-4-69 by Shri Bhogendra Jha.	(*a*) for the number of occasions and the number of cases when the Birla Group of Industries have been found to have violated the Companies Act, evaded the income-tax, customs duties, excise duties, undertaken share market manipulations, held foreign exchange without Reserve Bank permits, resorted to jugglery with Bank advances etc. during the last three years; and (*b*) the action taken or proposed to be taken in the matter.	(*a*) *and* (*b*). The information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information awaited. Min. being pursued demi Officially.
96	Unstarred Question No. 7212 dated 22-4-69 by Shri Nitiraj Singh Chaudhary.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 301 on the 19th Feb., 1969 and asking : (*a*) whether the reports of the working groups to identify backward areas and to suggest means for increasing the inflow of capital resources into them have since been received; (*b*) if so, their broad outlines; and (*c*) if not, how much time more these working groups are likely to take to submit their reports.	(*b*) *and* (*c*). The reports of the working Groups are under examination at present.	Do.
97	Unstarred Question No. 7214 dated 22-4-69 by Dr. Ranen Sen.	(*a*) whether the engineering industry in West Bengal is in crisis due to scarcity of flat products like plates and sheets;	(*a*) *to* (*c*). The information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House.	Do.

		(*b*) if so, the reasons for the present scarcity; and		Do.
		(*c*) the steps taken to ensure adequate supply of plates and sheets to the industry.		
98	Unstarred Question No. 7259 dated 22-4-69 by Shri Shiva Chandra Jha.	(*a*) whether it is a fact that some of the industries included in Group 'A' of the Industrial Policy Resolution of 1956 have been opened to the private enterprise;	(*a*) *to* (*c*). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House in due course.	Do.
		(*b*) if so, the names of these industries and the reasons therefor; and		
		(*c*) whether it is also a fact that in Group 'B' of the Industrial Policy Resolution of 1956, there has been an increase of the private enterprise; if so, the reasons therefor.		
99	Unstarred Question No. 7987 dated 29th April, 1969 by Shri Jyotirmoy Basu.	(*a*) for the names of concerns under the control of Tata and Birla groups in which the Central and State Governments are equity shareholders ;	(*a*) *to* (*c*). The information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House.	Do.
		(*b*) the value of proportion of equity share-holding by the Central and State Governments in each concerns; and		
		(*c*) the names of Tata and Birla concerns in which the Central and State Governments have representatives in the board of directors.		

1	2	3	4	5
100	USQ . No. 7993 dated the 29th April, 1969 by Shri Bhogendra Jha.	Referring to the reply given to the Unstarred Question No. 68 on the 18th February, 1969 regarding Ashoka Mehta Committee's Report on Khadi and Village Industries Commission and asking. (a) whether the replies from the remaining States have since been received; (b) if so, details thereof; and (c) if not, the steps being taken to get the same expedited.	(c) The remaining State Governments are being reminded to expedite their replies.	Information awaited. Min. being pursued demi- Officially.
101	USQ. No. 8064 dated 29th April, 1969 by Shri Vishwa Nath Pandey.	(a) the number of Ambar Charkhas supplied to Uttar Pradesh in the year 1968-69; (b) the number, out of them which were actually put to use during the said period; and (c) the quantity of yarn made available for this purpose during the aforesaid period.	(a) *to* (c). The information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House in due course.	Do.
102	USQ. No. 8069 dated the 29th April, 1969 by Shri Arjun Singh Bhadoria.	(a) when the National Casting Company Calcutta, Naskarpara Jute Mills Co., Ltd., Calcutta, Indian Rubber Regenerating Co., Ltd., Bombay had applied for licences and	(a) *to* (c). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Do.

		the time when these started functioning; (b) the terms and conditions which were laid down for running these companies and the articles being produced by them; and (c) the total production of the said concerns since they were started.		
103	USQ. No. 8070 dated the 29th April, 1969 by Shri Arjun Singh Bhadoria.	(a) the date on which the Asiatic Soap Co. Ltd., Calcutta, Atlas and Union Jute Press Co. Ltd., Calcutta and Jaipur Udyog Ltd., had applied for licences and the time since when these companies started functioning; (b) the terms and conditions which were laid down for their running and the articles being produced by them; and (c) the total production of these companies since they started functioning.	(a) *to* (c). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information since received. will be laid during next Session.
104	USQ . No. 8071 dated the 29th April, 1969 by Shri Arjun Singh Bhadoria.	(a) the names of the articles for whose Manufacture Kamani Industrial Corporation Ltd., Bombay, Bengal Jute Mill Company Ltd., Calcutta and Asiatic Glass Factory, Company Ltd., Calcutta, had applied for licences; (b) the date on which licences were granted and the dates on which the work was started :	(a) *to* (d). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information awaited. Ministry being pursued.

1	2	3	4	5
		(c) whether the said companies manufactured articles other than those for which licences had been granted; and (d) if so, the details thereof .		
105	USQ. No. 8072 dated the 29th April, 1969 by Shri Arjun Singh Bhadoria.	(a) the date on which the Asiatic - Oxygen and Acetylene Company Ltd., Calcutta and Bardahi Lubricants Corporation Ltd., Calcutta, applied for licences and when the above companies started functioning; (b) the terms and conditions in regard to the setting up of the companies and the nature of articles being produced by these companies; and (c) the total production since their inception.	(*a*) *to* (*c*). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information awaited. Ministry being pursued.
106	USQ. No. 8075 dated the 29th April, 1969 by Shri Jugal Mondal.	(a) the amount of loans granted to West Bengal Government for industrial development during the last three years; (b) the names of the companies to whom minimum and maximum amount of loans were granted as also the amount thereof; (c) whether it is a fact that many units did not establish industrial units despite getting loans and if so, the names thereof; and	(a) *to* (d). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Do.

		(d) whether Government propose to take action against them and if so, the nature thereof.		
107	USQ. No. 8076 dated the 29th April, 1969 by Shri Jugal Mondal.	(a) whether Government of West Bengal has submitted any scheme to the Central Government regarding the development of medium scale industries in that State in 1968-69; (b) if so, the nature and the details thereof; (c) the extent to which the production capacity of each industry would be increased as a result of the implementation of the scheme; (d) whether Central Government have approved that scheme and if so, with what modifications; and (e) the extent to which employment opportunities are likely to increase thereby.	(a) *to* (e). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information since received will be laid during next Session.
108	USQ. No. 8078 dated the 29th April, 1969 by Shri Kashi Nath Pandey.	(a) the names of the articles for whose manufacture Sooraj Mull Nagar Mull, Calcutta; Bombay Gas Co., Bombay and C & E Morton (India) Ltd., Calcutta had applied for licences; (b) the dates on which the licences were granted and the dates on which the work was started; (c) whether the above mentioned firms manufactured articles other than those for which licences had been granted; and	(a) *to* (d). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information awaited Min. being pursued demi-Officially.

1	2	3	4	5
		(d) if so, the details thereof.		
109	USQ. No. 8079 dated the 29th April, 1969 by Shri Kashi Nath Pandey.	(a) when the Kamani Engineering Corporation Ltd; Bombay, and Jai Shree Textiles Ltd., Calcutta had applied for licences and the time when these started functioning; (b) the terms and conditions which were laid down for running these companies and the articles being produced by them; and (c) the total production of the said firms since their inception.	(a) to (c). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information awaited. Min. being pursued demi-Officially.
110	USQ. No. 8101 dated the 29th April, 1969 by Shri D. Basumatari.	(a) whether Hindustan Pilkington Glass Works Ltd., Calcutta had applied for an import licence to import machinery for safety glass during the year 1968-69; (b) if so, whether the licence was granted; (c) whether Government have received complaints that this company has imported machinery under the false pretext and the machinery is lying in their godowns in Calcutta ; and (d) whether Government propose to refer the matter to the Central Bureau of Investigation.	(b) The application is under consideration of Government. (c) and (d). Government have received a complaint that M/s. Hindustan Pilkington Glass Works Ltd., Calcutta have imported machinery for the manufacture of toughened glass. This complaint is being looked into.	Do.

111	USQ. No. 8102 dated the 29th April, 1969 by Shri Himatsingka.	(a) the date on which M/s. Hindustan Pilkington Glass Works, Ltd., Calcutta applied for a licence;		Do.
		(b) the conditions on which this concern was to function and the items which are being produced by it; and		
		(c) the extent of production by this concern since its inception and how it is distributed among the Glass manufacturers.	(c) The figures of production since inception in respect of all the items are not readily available. They would be collected and laid on the Table of the House.;	
112	SQ. No. 1503 dated the 6th May, 1969 by Shri K. Lakkappa and others.	(a) the number and names of firms and companies to whom loans were given for industrial development in Mysore State during the last three years;	(a) to (c).; The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House in due course.	Do.
		(b) whether it is a fact that some firms to whom the loans were sanctioned were found bogus and they did not set up any industrial establishment; and		
		(c) if so, the names of such firms and the nature of action taken by Government in each case.		
113	SQ. No. 1524 dated the 6th May, 1969 by Shri Deven Sen.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 2624 on the 11th March, 1969 the Member wanted to know:		Do.
		(a) the total capital M/s. Century Spinning and Manufacturing Co., Ltd. Bombay;		

1	2	3	4	5
		(b) the quantum of goods exported to each country by them; and (c) the basis and the extent to which the exports have gone up since 1967.	(b) and (c) The information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House.	
114	USQ. No. 8544 dated the 6th May, 1969 by Shri K. Lakkappa and Shri Yashpal Singh.	Referring to the reply given to Starred Question No. 489 on the 3rd December, 1968 regarding M/s. Killick Industries Ltd., Bombay and asking : (a) whether the requisite information has since been collected by Government; and (b) if so, the details thereof.	(a) and (d). The Minister stated : "No. Sir. The inquiries are still proceeding. The information will be laid on the Table of the House as soon as available."	Information awaited. Min. being pursued demi-Officially.
115	USQ. No. 8580 dated the 6th May, 1969 by Shri Jyotirmoy Basu.	(a) the paid-up share capital of the Metropolitan Credit Corporation limited, Calcutta; and (b) the name of the Chairman.	(b) While giving the names of the Directors of the Board it was stated that the name of the Chairman of the company, if any, was not known and that would be ascertained and if any would be laid on the Table of the House.	Do.

116 USQ No. 8645 dated the 6th May, 1969 by Shri Shiva Chandra Jha.	(a) Whether it is a fact that no industry in India has been completely modernized; (b) if so, the reason thereof and the steps taken by Government in the matter; (c) if not, which industries are fully modernized, half or partially modernized and no modernized at all; and (d) the estimated cost for fully modernizing the not fully modernized industries.	(a) to (d). The Information is being callected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information awaited. Ministry being pursued demi-officially.
117 USQ No. 8655 dated the 6th May, 1969, by Shri Arjun Singh Bhadoria.	(a) the names of commodities for the manufacture of which the Hind Gas and Industries Ltd., Calcutta; Usha Development Company Ltd., Calcutta; Binani Metal Works, Ltd., Calcutta and F. W. Heilgers and Co., (P) Ltd., Calcutta had applied for licences; (b) the dates on which the licences were granted to them and when they commenced production; (c) whether the aforesaid Companies manufactured goods other than those in respect of which the licinces were given; and (d) if so, the details thereof.	(a) to (d). The Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Do.

1	2	3	4	5
118	USQ No. 8657 dated the 6th May, 1969 by Shri Shinkare.	(a) whether it is a fact that a factory manufacturing red oxide and oaker in Goa has been closed due to lack of facilities for importing raw materials and spares for the plant; (b) whether Government are aware that there are very few factories in India and only one in Goa, manufacturing red oxide and oaker which have been markets in and outside India; and (c) if so, Whether Government propose to give necessary facilities to the owner to run the factory on proper lines or to take over the plans so that the country could save as well as card some foreign exchange.	(a). to (c). Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Information awaited. Ministry being pursued demi-Officially.
119	USQ No. 8661 dated 6th May, 1969 by Shri P. L. Barupal.	(a) whether the Manager of the Khadi Gramodyog Bhawan, New Delhi, has since deposited the arrears of Provident Fund which includes the share of the employees also, as per the promise made in this regard; (b) if so, the full details thereof; (c) whether it is a fact that the Zonal Provident Fund Commissioner of Delhi has directed the Manager of the Bhawan to immediately reimburse to the employees the amount	(a) to (c). The Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House in due course.	Do.

		illegally deducted from their salaries for three months on this account; (d) if so, whether the said amount has since been reimbursed to the employees concerned in pursuance of the orders of the Provident Fund Commissioner; and (e) if not, the action taken by Government for the disregard shown to the orders of the Provident Fund Commissioner.		
120	USQ No. 8664 dated 6th May, 1969 by Shri A.S. Kasture.	(*a*) whether the orders dated the 4th July, 1968 of the Ministry regarding the method of grading confidential record of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes are implemented by the Ministry of I. D. I. T. and C. A. (*b*) if so, category-wise promotions given to the scheduled caste and and scheduled tribes employees of each Public Sector Undertaking Body under the Ministry ; and (*c*) if not, the reasons for not implementing the said orders so far and whether Government proposed to take action against those officers who failed to implement the same.	(b) and (c). Information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Do.
		Incentives for Import substitution		
121	SQ No. 1653 dated 13th May, 1969 Supplementary Question by Shri S.K. Tapuriah.	Asking whether the Government made a scientific analysis of those components, items or products which are still being imported, made a list	Hon. Minister Stated; "That is a suggestion I will consider".	Do.

1	2	3	4	5
		of them tried to classify them as to what could be made in small scale industry or otherwise and given them to the small scale industry branch of the Ministry concerned and have a technical cell to deal only with those items which are imported so as to find out methods of for manufacturing them, classify them and given special incentives, itemwise, so that we can introduce these items of manufacture indigenously and develop them in a shorter period.		
122	USQ No. 9367 dated 13th May 1969 by Shri Ram Avtar Sharma	(*a*) whether Government have received reports of Wanchoo and Pande Committees on backward areas ; (*b*) if so, the details thereof ; and (*c*) the time by which Government propose to take a decision in regard to their recommendations for the industrialisation of the backward areas like Madhya Pradesh.	(*b*) and (*c*). The reports of Working Groups are under examination at present by the Committee of the National Development Council. These will be placed on the Table of the House after the examination is completed.	Information awaited Ministry being pursued demi-Officially.
123	USQ No. 9450 dated 13th May ,1969 by Shri Beni Shanker Sharma	(*a*) whether Khadi goods were exported in the years 1967-68 and 1968-69; (*b*) if so, to which countries ; (*c*) the estimated value thereof ; and	(*a*) *to* (*d*). Information is being collected and it will be laid on the Table of the House in due course.	Do.

		(*d*) the steps taken to increase their exports.		
124	USQ No.9517 dated 13th May, 1969 by Shri R. Barua.	Referring to the reply given to Unstarred Question No. 6136 on the 27th August, 1968 and asking: (*a*) whether any proposal has since been received by Government from Messrs. Hindustan Pilkington Glass Works Ltd., Calcutta; (*b*) whether any communication dated the 13th March, 1969 has been received from Glass Manufacturer on the above proposal, and if so, what are its contents ; and (*c*) the action taken thereon.	(*b*) *and* (*c*). This complaint is being looked into.	Do.
125	USQ No. 9531 dated 13th May 1969 by Shri Arjun Singh Bhadoria.	(*a*) when the Jayshree Textiles Ltd., Calcutta ; Bharat Produce Co Ltd., Calcutta ; and Hind Gas and Industries Ltd., Calcutta had applied for licences; (*b*) when these companies started functioning; (*c*) the terms and conditions which were laid down for running the above companies; and (*d*) the total production of these companies since they started functioning.	(*a*) to (*d*). The information is being called and will be laid on the Table of the House.	Do.

ANNEXURE II

(*Vide* para 7 of Minutes, dated 24th October, 1970)

LOK SABHA

To be Answered on the 26th February, 1970

IMPORT OF RS-09 TRACTORS FROM EAST GERMANY AND RUMANIA

621. SHRI N. K. SOMANI:

Will the Minister of FOOD AND AGRICULTURE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have imported Model RS-09 tractors from East Germany and also from Rumania and if so, how many of each and value;

(b) whether these tractors have completely failed particularly in Andhra Pradesh and whether Agro-Industries, Hyderabad have threatened legal action against Government for compensation;

(c) whether a technical delegation visited Andhra Pradesh to inspect the RS-09 German Tractors; and

(d) if so, whether Government propose to lay a copy of the Report on the Table?

ANSWER

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FOOD, AGRICULTURE, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION (SHRI ANNASAHEB P. SHINDE): (a) During 1968-69 and 1969-70, 2000 numbers of RS-09 tractors valued at Rs. 212.00 lakhs from G.D.R. were imported. No. RS-09 tractors were imported from Rumania.

(b) No, Sir. Sizeable number of these tractors have been sold to farmers in the States of Andhra Pradesh, Punjab, and Gujarat. Field reports about their performance received from Punjab and Gujarat are quite satisfactory. However, there have been some complaints from Andhra Pradesh about the performance of these tractors.

(c) and (d). A technical Team was sent to Andhra Pradesh recently. The Team has submitted an interim report and this is currently under discussion with the Technical Delegation of G.D.R. which has just arrived in the country. The report when finalised will be placed on the Table of the Sabha.

ANNEXURE III

(*Vide* para 12 of Minutes, dated 24th October, 1970)

LOK SABHA

To be answered on the 25th February, 1969

COMPLAINTS AGAINST SALE OF IMPORTED RAW MATERIALS AND COMPONENTS

1110. SHRI N. K. SOMANI:

Will the Minister of FOREIGN TRADE AND SUPPLY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that serious complaints have been received regarding misuse and sale of imported raw materials and components by the holders of Actual User Licences;

(b) if so, the number and particulars of such cases apprehended during the year 1968; and

(c) the preventive steps being taken by Government to stop these mal-practices?

ANSWER

THE DEPUTY MINISTER OF FOREIGN TRADE AND SUPPLY (CHOWDHARY RAM SEWAK): (a) to (c). The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

ANNEXURE IV

(*Vide* para 15 of Minutes, dated 24th, October, 1970)

Draft note to the Department of Parliamentary Affairs as approved by the Committee

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT

(QUESTION BRANCH)

SUBJECT: *Role of Department of Parliamentary Affairs to ensure prompt implementation of Assurances.*

Will the Department of Parliamentary Affairs please refer to their U.O. notes No. 2(7)|69-Implementation dated the 24th and 29th September, 1970, offering their comments about the latest position of the pending Assurances pertaining to the 6th and 7th Sessions of Fourth Lok Sabha?

2. The Committee at their sittings held on 29th and 30th September, 1970, reviewed the pending assurances pertaining to the 6th and 7th Sessions in the light of the comments given by Department of Parliamentary Affairs against each assurance.

3. The Committee took a very serious view of the persistent delay on the part of various Ministries|Departments in the implementation of the assurances, which had been pending for nearly two years.

4. The Committee also considered the comments given by Department of Parliamentary Affairs against each assurance and were distressed to note the remarks of Department of Parliamentary Affairs in a majority of cases stating that "No information received despite repeated reminders".

5. The Committee were also surprised at the sheer helplessness of the Department of Parliamentary Affairs whose primary function is to act as a coordinating agency for getting the assuarances implemented in time.

6. The Committee could not help feeling that this sort of indifferent attitude on the part of Ministries/Departments on the one hand and the lack of initiative and helplessness shown by Department of

Parliamentary Affairs on the other hand would frustrate the very basis of the functions of that Department, in so far as its role as a coordinating Agency is concerned.

7. In order to achieve some positive results, the Committee considers that instead of addressing the Ministries|Departments concerned in a routine manner for prompt implementation of assurances, the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs might better address direct the Ministers concerned fixing target dates for the supply of the promised information.

8. The Committee also noted from the comments of Department of Parliamentary Affairs against certain assurances that although the English version of the required information was ready, it had been withheld for want of Hindi version. The Committe could not appreciate the logic for withholding information from the House for want of translated version whch in the opinion of the Committee would unnecessarily delay the implementation of the Assurances.

9. The Committee reiterated their earlier recommendation as contained in para 7 of their 9th Report, wherein the Committee had stressed that "instead of waiting for collection of entire information, whatever information was readily available, should be laid on the Table of the House at the earliest possible opportunity. The rest of the information as and when it becomes available should be laid on the Table of the House periodically."

10. The Department of Parliamentary Affairs may please note the observations|recommendations of the Committee, made during the course of their deliberations at their sittings held on 29th and 30th September, 1970 for necessary action and place them before the Minister of Parliamentary Affairs for his information.

The progress of action taken may please be intimated to this Secretariat for the information of the Committee.

11. This issues with the approval of the Committee on Government Assurances.

Sd/- M. C. CHAWLA,
Deputy Secretary.

Department of Parliamentary Affairs (Shri H. N. Trivedi—Secretary)
L.S.S.U.O. No. 12|4|70-Q(CGA) dated 3rd October, 1970.

ANNEXURE V

(*Vide* para 16 of Minutes, dated 24th October, 1970)

Copy of the D.O. letter No. 2(7)|69-Imp., dated the 19th October, 1970 from the Department of Parliamentary Affairs.

Dear Shri Chawla,

Please refer to Lok Sabha Sectt. U.O. No. 12|4|70-Q (CGA), dated 3rd October, 1970, conveying the observations of the Committee on Government Assurances as a result of review of the pending assurances pertaining to the Sixth and Seventh Sessions of the Fourth Lok Sabha.

2. Keeping in view the observations of the Committee, we have initiated a special drive to get the old pending assurances implemented more expeditiously. I might, however, mention here, that we have laid Implementation Reports in the Lok Sabha in respect of as many as 603 assurances on the 31st July, 1970 and 246 assurances on 28th August, 1970. I agree that the performance in this regard can still be improved and for this purpose we are pursuing the outstanding cases with the concerned Ministries|Departments vigorously. We are conveying the observations of the Committee (in their 9th Report and in paras 3, 6 and 9 of the L.S.S.U.O. dated 3rd October, 1970 referred to above) on the performance of the Ministries|Departments for their information. We on our part are advising them to put their best efforts in getting the assurances implemented within the prescribed period. We also propose to take up old cases of pending assurances at the Minister's level as desired by the Committee. Para 7 of your U.O. note dated 3rd October, 1970 refers.

3. You may be already aware of the requirements of the Official Languages Act, *inter alia* enjoining that administrative and other reports and official papers should be laid before a House or the Houses of Parliament both in Hindi and the English language. In this connection, I forward a copy of the Ministry of Home Affairs' D.O. letter No. 2|20|60-OL dated 28th July, 1969. In view of what has been stated in this D.O. it may not be advisable to lay information in the House without the translated Hindi version. We are, however, doing our best to get the Hindi versions of the information from the Ministries|Departments without further delay.

4. As regards para 9 of the U.O. note under reference, we have already advised the Ministries|Departments to furnish whatever in-

formation was readily available without waiting for collection of the entire information.

5. As a result of a vigorous review of the outstanding assurances, we have been able to collect information from the Ministries|Departments in respect of a large number of pending assurances. We will be laying those Implementation Reports in the ensuing session of Parliament.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

Sd/- (D. S. BAKSHI)

Shri M. C. Chawla,
Deputy Secretary (Q),
Lok Saha Sectt.,
New Delhi.

Enclosure to Annexure V

K. P. Misra,
Deputy Secretary.

D.O. No. 2|26|69-OL
Government of India,
Ministry of Home Affairs,
New Delhi, July 28, 1969.

..

Dear Shri Bakshi,

Please refer to your demi-official letter No. 4(6)-Impn. dated the 16th July, 1969 regarding submission of implementation reports in respect of assurances arising out of Questions|Interpellations in Hindi and English languages.

2. The position in this regard is that the Official Languages (Amendment) Act, 1967, provides for the obligatory use of both Hindi and English in respect of certain specified documents which include official papers laid before a House or the Houses of Parliament. As such, it is desirable that implementation reports fulfilling the assurances laid on the Table of Lok Sabha|Rajya Sabha are submitted bilingually irrespective of the fact whether the original question is in Hindi or English.

3. The above being the position, I shall be grateful if you could kindly issue suitable instructions to the various Ministries|Departments to send to the Department of Parliamentary Affairs all material

regarding fulfilment of assurances in both the languages (Hindi and English) so that the reports could be submitted bilingually.

Yours sincerely,
Sd/- K. P. MISRA.

Shri D. S. Bakshi,
Deputy Secretary,
Deptt. of Parliamentary Affairs,
90, Parliament House,
New Delhi.

MINUTES

(*Vide* para 5 of Report)

IV Sixtieth Sitting

The Committee met on Thursday, the 3rd December, 1970 from 15.00 hours to 16.10 hours.

PRESENT

Shri A. T. Sarma (*in Chair*)

MEMBERS

2. Shri Onkar Lal Bohra
3. Shri Samar Guha
4. Shri Deorao S. Patil
5. Shri Satya Narain Singh
6. Shri Ramachandra Ulaka.

SECRETARIAT

Shri M. C. Chawla—*Deputy Secretary.*

2. In the absence of the Chairman, the Committee chose Shri A. T. Sarma to act as the Chairman for the sitting under Rule 258(3) of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Lok Sabha.

3. The Committee then took up consideration of Memoranda Nos. 101 to 103.

MEMORANDUM No. 101.—*Delay in the implementation of assurances given on the Floor of Lok Sabha.*

4. The Committee considered the complaint made by Shri N. K. Somani, M.P. in his letter dated the 2nd September, 1970 addressed to the Speaker, Lok Sabha in regard to the delay in the implementation of the following two assurances given on the floor of Lok Sabha:—

(i) Assurance given in reply to USQ. No. 621 on the 26th February, 1970 by the Minister of Food and Agriculture and Community Development and Cooperation regarding

the performance of RS-09 tractors in Andhra Pradesh imported from East Germany and Rumania (Full details given in Memorandum No. 99 already circulated).

(ii) Assurance given in reply to USQ. No. 1110 on the 25th February, 1969 by the then Deputy Minister of Foreign Trade and Supply regarding complaints of misuse and sale of imported raw materials and components by the holders of Actual Users Licences (Full details given in Memorandum No. 99 already circulated).

5. The consideration of point (i) above was deferred by the Committee during their last sitting. In the meantime, the Committee had desired to study the Inspection Report of RS-09 tractors in Andhra Pradesh, copies of which were obtained from the Ministry and circulated to the Members of the Committee.

6. The Committee considered these points in the light of the comments offered by the Ministries. The Committee were satisfied with the efforts made by the Ministries concerned for the implementation of the assurances and felt that under the circumstances the delay was unavoidable. The Committee decided to drop the matter.

MEMORANDUM No. 102.—*'Action Taken Reports' on the observations/recommendations contained in the 7th Report of the Committee on Government Assurances regarding rehabilitation of displaced persons under the 'Gadgil Assurances'.*

7. The Committee then took up consideration of Memorandum No. 102 on the above subject. The Committee perused the latest position regarding the rehabilitation of displaced persons under the 'Gadgil Assurances' as stated by the Ministry of Health and Family Planning and Works, Housing and Urban Development *vide* their O.M. No. LII-15(21)/68-Vol.-II, dated the 31st October, 1970 (Annexure I) as also in the reply given to USQ. No. 2784 on the 30th November, 1970 (Annexure II).

8. The Committee particularly noted from the reply given by the Minister of Health and Family Planning and Works, Housing and Urban Development to USQ. No. 2784 that out of 5,000 persons, who had applied for relief under the 'Gadgil Assurances', only 804 persons had been found eligible and the cases of 1058 persons were under scrutiny by the Delhi Development Authority. The Committee expressed their concern over the slow progress in determining the eligibility of the displaced persons for relief under the 'Gadgil Assurances'. The Committee also could not appreciate the

basis for rejecting the claims of the remaining about 3,000 displaced persons who have not been found eligible by the authorities.

9. After some consideration, the Committee decided that representatives of the Ministry of Health and Family Planning and Works, Housing and Urban Development, Delhi Development Authority, Delhi Administration and Municipal Corporation of Delhi should be examined to thrash out the whole matter which had been hanging fire since long.

MEMORANDUM No. 103.—*Implementation of pending assurances pertaining to the Third Lok Sabha.*

10. The Commitee reviewed the latest position regarding the implementation of the pending assurances pertaining to the Third Lok Sabha and noted that four assurances out of seven had since been implemented. The Committee desired that Government should take vigorous steps to liquidate the remaining three assurances also.

11. The Committee then decided to meet again in the 3rd week of December, 1970 for consideration of their Draft Tenth Report—the exact date to be fixed in consultation with the Chairman.

The Committee then adjourned.

ANNEXURE I

(*Vide* para 7 of Minutes)

IMMEDIATE

PARLIAMENT MATTER

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY PLANNING AND WORKS, HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT (DEPTT. OF WORKS, HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT).

No. LII-15(21)|68-Vol. II *New Delhi, dated the 31st Oct., 1970.*

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: *Action taken on the recommendation contained in the 7th Report of the Committee on Government Assurances regarding rehabilitation of displaced persons covered under the Gadgil Assurances.*

The undersigned is directed to refer to the Lok Sabha Secretariat's O.M. No. 12|16|70-Q(CGA), dated the 4th August, 1970, on the subject noted above, and to intimate the action taken by the Government on the recommendations of the Committee on Government Assurances as under:—

Squatters on land under the control of the Land and Development Officer:

There are twelve eligible squatters and the position about their rehabilitation has been indicated in this Ministry's O.M. of even number, dated the 24th April, 1970. The question of regularisation of occupation of one squatter on Panchkuin Road, New Delhi, is being considered in consultation with the Ministry of Finance and a decision is likely to be taken shortly. Necessary instructions have also been issued to the Central Public Works, Department to develop some land in New Rajinder Nagar, New Delhi, and carve out eleven plots for the rehabilitation of the remaining eleven squatters, as soon as the approval of the

Municipal Corporation of Delhi to the layout plan is received. The Corporation has been requested to approve the layout without delay.

Squatters on land under the control of the Delhi Development Authority.

In response to the announcement made by the Delhi Development Authority, about 5,000 persons submitted applications, claiming, themselves to be covered by the 'Gadgil Assurances'. A Committee of senior officers was specifically set up to determine the eligibility of the applicants. After careful scrutiny about 1,225 persons appear to be eligible. However, out of them, the eligibility of 662 persons has been finally determined and their list is being published. The eligibility of the remaining persons is being verified from the records in the office of the Delhi Development Authority. The Authority is being requested to start alloting alternative plots to the persons who are eligible.

Khokhawallas squatting on road-berms in areas under the Municipal Corporation of Delhi.

Their eligibility is now being determined by the Delhi Development Authority. About 130 persons have so far been found eligible to receive relief. The cases of the other persons are under scrutiny. The Delhi Development Authority is being asked to finalise the cases and rehabilitate the eligible persons by providing them alternative accommodation.

2. The Lok Sabha Secretariat is requested kindly to bring the above facts to the notice of the Committee on Government Assurances.

Sd|- S. CHAUDHURI,
Director (LP).

To

The Lok Sabha Secretariat,
(Shri M. C. Chawla, Deputy Secretary),
Parliament House, New Delhi—1.

ANNEXURE II

(*Vide* para 7 of Minutes)

LOK SABHA

UNSTARRED QUESTION No. 2784

To be answered on the 30th November, 1970

PROVISION OF ACCOMMODATION UNDER GADGIL ASSURANCES

No. 2784. SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA:

Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY PLANNING AND WORKS HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the number of cases in Delhi which came under Gadgil Assurance but not provided alternative accommodation;

(b) the result of survey made by the Delhi Development Authority in this connection;

(c) what steps have been taken by Government to provide the alternative accommodation;

(d) when all the eligibles will be provided alternative accommodation and what will be the total expenditure on it; and

(e) the number of such cases in Subzi Mandi, Sadar Bazar and Paharganj areas and the schemes for their rehabilitation?

ANSWER

MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY PLANNING AND WORKS, HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI PARIMAL GHOSH): (a) 804 persons have been found eligible. The cases of 1058 persons are under scrutiny.

(b) The Delhi Development Authority received applications from about 5,000 persons. 662 persons have been found eligible for alternative accommodation under the 'Gadgil Assurances'. The cases of another 1058 persons (including applicants to the Municipal Corporation of Delhi) are under scrutiny by the Authority. The remaining persons have been found ineligible.

(c) and (d): Schemes to rehabilitate the eligible persons are being formulated. No idea about the total expenditure can be given at this stage.

(e) According to the Municipal Corporaton, there were 88 cases of khokhawalas in Sadar-Paharganj Zone and 31 cases in Subzi Mandi area.

MINUTES

(*Vide* para 5 of Report)

V. Sixty-first Sitting

The Committee met on Monday, the 14th December, 1970 from 15.00 hours to 15.40 hours.

PRESENT

Shri K. Anbazhagan—*Chairman.*

MEMBERS

2. Shri Onkarlal Bohra

3. Dr. Mahadeva Prasad

4. Shri Deorao S. Patil

5. Shri Ram Awtar Sharma

6. Shri Satya Narain Singh.

SECRETARIAT

Shri M. C. Chawla—*Deputy Secretary.*

2. The Committee took up consideration of their draft Tenth Report. After some discussion, the Committee adopted the Report and decided to present it to the House on Tuesday, the 15th December, 1970.

3. The Committee authorised the Chairman, and in his absence, Dr. Mahadeva Prasad to present their Report to the House on the 15th December, 1970.

4. The Committee then considered their future programme of work. The Chairman apprised the Members of the letter received by him from the Chairman, Committee on Government Assurances, Tamil Nadu inviting the Committee to meet the Tamil Nadu Committee on Government Assurances at Kanyakumari about the middle of January, 1971. The Chairman further stated that he had asked the Chairman, Committee on Government Assurances, Tamil Nadu to send a formal invitation for the visit and also intimate specifically whether the Tamil Nadu Government would play host to the Committee at Kanyakumari.

5. The Committee decided that in case an invitation was received it might be accepted for the 17th and 18th January, 1971 after obtaining the Speaker's approval.

6. In case this meeting did not materialise, the Committee decided to meet at 14.00 hours on the 24th January, 1971.

The Committee then adjourned.

APPENDIX I

(*Vide* para 12 of Report)

Statement showing the position of assurances as on 28-8-1970

(i) *Assurances pertaining to the Third Lok Sabha*

Session	No. of assurances referred to Committee of Fourth Lok Sabha	No. of assurances implemented	No. of assurances outstanding
1	2	3	4
7th Session, 1964	4	4	..
8th Session, 1964	1	1	..
9th Session, 1964	1	1	..
10th Session, 1964	1	1	..
11th Session, 1965	4	4	..
12th Session, 1965	2	1	1
13th Session, 1965	4	3	1
14th Session, 1966	25	23	2
15th Session, 1966	20	19	1
16th Session, 1966	30	29	1
TOTAL	92	86	6

Assurances pertaining to the Fourth Lok Sabha

Session	No. of assurances called out	No. of assurances implemented/ dropped	No. of assurances outstanding
1	2	3	4
1st Session, 1967	125	121	4
2nd Session, 1967	936	930	6
3rd Session, 1967	581	569	12
4th Session, 1968	1485	1352	133
5th Session, 1968	1136	1004	132
6th Session, 1968	693	633	60
7th Session, 1969	1829	1486	343
8th Session, 1969	677	513	164
9th Session, 1969	654	494	160
10th Session, 1970	1354	537	817
11th Session, 1970	628	..	628
TOTAL	10,098	7639	2459

APPENDIX II

(*Vide* para 15 of Report)

(Copy of letter from Dr. Ram Subhag Singh and other M.Ps.)

New Delhi-1., 27*th August*, 1970.

Dear Mr. Speaker,

Last year about this time a Private Members' Resolution on "Unemployment" and "Unemployment Subsistence Grant" was discussed in the House. It was universally accepted that the situation is explosive and vigorous steps must be taken to tackle the problem fully. This debate, however, was carried over to the Winter Session when the Government gave an assurance that within a shortest possible time (not exceeding six months in any case) a high-powered Committee will be constituted to go into the matter. Later on, once again, another resolution on the same subject was debated and the Government repeated the same assurance.

We regret to say that although a good many months have passed, Government have not fulfilled their assurance, in that they have not constituted the committee whilst the problem of unemployment and its impact on the society has grown up by the leaps and bounds. Our President, Dr. V. V. Giri, has uttered a word of caution on this problem and has urged for a speedy solution. The Resolution was not put to vote because the Government gave this categoric assurance.

We, under the circumstances, request your goodself to direct the Government to fulfill their assurance and announce the formation of a Committee before the end of this Session.

Yours sincerely,

Sd|- RAM SUBHAG SINGH, M.P.
GANESH GHOSH, M.P.
YOGENDRA SHARMA, M.P.
S. KANDAPPAN, M.P.
B. BARUA, M.P.
HEM BARUA, M.P.

Y. P. MONDAL, M.P.
A. B. VAJPAYEE, M.P.
S. S. DESHMUKH, M.P.
CHANDRIKA PRASAD, M.P.

Shri G. S. Dhillon,
Speaker, Lok Sabha.

Copy to:—

1. The Prime Minister of India, New Delhi.
2. The Minister of Labour and Employment, Government of India, New Delhi.
3. The Minister of Parliamentary Affairs, Government of India.
4. Chairman, Committee on Government Assurances.

APPENDIX III

(*Vide* para 17 of Report)

(Copy of letter from Shri N. K. Somani, M.P.)

19, Janpath, New Delhi,
Telephone: 619048
September 22, 1970.

Hon'ble Dr. G. S. Dhillon,
The Speaker,
Lok Sabha,
New Delhi.

Dear Dr. Dhillon,

I have just received two sets of papers in fulfilment of promises made by two Ministers on the Floor of the House in respect of questions asked by me. While the details about these papers appear below, I would like to bring to your personal attention the casual and indifferent manner with which the various Ministries have started functioning in respect of their parliamentary responsibilities and this is something that you ought to view very seriously.

In response to my unstarred question No. 1110, answered on February 25, 1969, I had asked for information in respect of various parties that have been apprehended during the year 1968 in respect of certain commercial contraventions of the Import Control Order. This information has been provided to me *vide* a forwarding note of September 6, 1970 after a lapse of over 1-1|2 years. You will appreciate that all these alleged offenders have been taken to court by the Government of India and the Departments should be able to provide this list within a reasonable time.

The second set of papers is in respect of a technical report for RS-09 tractors supplied in Andhra Pradesh for which there has been a great furore already. On February 26, 1970, the Ministry promised that a copy of this report would be laid on the Table as soon as available while the papers sent to me now state that the said Report was submitted to the Government on 20th January, 1970, that is, several weeks before this question came up for answering. Even if the Report was not considered by the Government, a copy

could have been made available to the House and by no stretch of imagination should it take further 8-9 months to fulfil this promise. Already thousands of farmers all around the country are suffering as a result of these useless and junk tractors and it clearly shows intention of the Government to shield its past performance in this respect. I shall be most grateful if you will kindly take up the matter with the concerned Ministries.

Yours sincerely,
Sd|- N. K. SOMANI,

Shreeniwas House, Waudby Road, Bombay 1, Tel. 268241.

APPENDIX IV

(*Vide* para 38 of Repart

Recommendations of the Chanda Committee regarding areas to be allotted to the squatters in Delhi|New Delhi as reproduced in para 47 of Second Report of the Committee.

S. No.	Name of the Area	Gist of the Recommendation
1.	Poorvi Marg	Alternative plots may be given to 5 eligible displaced persons in New Rajinder Nagar.
2.	Pusa Road Corner Plot	This plot may be re-developed and sub-divided into 7 plots of 200 sq. yds. and allotted to 7 occupants of the plot.
3.	Area between Faiz Road and M.M. Road in various blocks in Jhandewala Estate called Nehru Parbat, Ashok Nagar, Guru Nanak Pura, Tilak Nagar, Ticoni Pahari.	Alternative plots may be allotted to approximately 1,000 eligible displaced persons near Ranjit Nagar—Khampur,
4.	Main Faiz Road	Alternative plots may be given to about 50 eligible squatters in Ranjit Nagar, Khampur, Area.
5.	Subshash Nagar (Area bounded by Faiz Road, Hardhyan Singh, Road, Ilahi Bakash Road and Piyare Lal Road).	This area proposed to be developed by D.D.A. should be re-developed with plots of 80 sq. yds. and allotted to but of 130 eligible refugees and balance of eligible displaced persons, if any, should be accommodated in Ranjit Nagar—Khampur area.
6.	Ahata Kidara	As proposed by D.D.A this area should be planned and re-developed with plots of 100 sq. yds. and allotted to displaced persons.
7.	Corner plot on Panchkuin Road (Star of India College)	The whole triangular plot of land may be allotted to the displaced person concerned subject to fulfilment of certain conditions.
8.	India National School and College near Gurdwara Bangla Sahib.	Alternative plot of 0·3 acre may be allotted to Shri Sawan Singh, Principal of the College, subject to fulfilment of certain conditions.

S. No.	Name of the Area	Gist of the Recommendation
9.	Motor Garage near Hanuman Teple—Irwin Road.	Plot of land in Jhandewala—E. Block may be allotted to the displaced persons concerned—subject to fulfilment of certain conditions.
10.	Other Areas	While handling re-settlement of squatters, Government should deal with the squatters eligible for benefit under the Gadgil Assurances, sympathetically and extend all benefits assured in the said assurances to them.

Recommendation of the Committee on Government Assurances *vide* para 48 of their Second Report (Fourth Lok Sabha) presented to the Lok Sabha on the 26th April, 1968.

"The Committee recommend that as far as possible the recommendations as made in the Report of the Chanda Committee which was appointed by Government themselves for the purpose should be implemented without any further delay and this problem which has become sore by efflux of time is settled once for all and the state of suspense in which thousands of displaced persons and their families are living for the last more than two decades is resolved".

GMGIPND—LS II—3423 (Aii) LS—15-4-71-150

© 1970 By Lok Sabha Secretariat

Published under Rule 382 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Lok Sabha (Fifth Edition) and printed by the General Manager, Government of India Press, Minto Road, New Delhi.

Q. B. (II) No. 38

COMMITTEE
ON
GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES

(FOURTH LOK SABHA)

EVIDENCE
(1968-69)

(Laid on the Table of Lok Sabha on the 7th August, 1968)

28.372 LOK
K8

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT
NEW DELHI
July, 1968/Asadha, 1890 (Saka)
Price : Rs. 0·35

CORRIGENDA

to the

Evidence (1968-69) given before the Committee on Government Assurances (Fourth Lok Sabha).

Cover Page, line 2 from bottom, for 'July, 1968/ Asadha, 1890(Saka)' read 'August, 1968/Sravana, 1890(Saka)'

Page 2, col. 2, line 5 from bottom, for 'बर' read 'बार'

Page 3, col. 1, line 1 from bottom, for '1668' read '1968'

Page 5, col. 2, line 10, for 'आम' read 'आप'

Page 7, col. 1,-

(a) line 11, for 'Shri Muthuswami' read 'Shri Muthusami'

(b) line 15,-

(i) for 'Shri Muthuswami' read 'Shri Muthusami'

(ii) for '1500 acres' read '15 acres'

Page 11, col. 2, line 15 from bottom, for 'thew' read 'they'

Page 13, col. 2, line 8 from bottom, for 'फा' read 'दफा'

Page 14, col 1, line 8, for 'Shri C. Muthuswami' read 'Shri C. Muthusami'

Page 16, col. 1 line 16, for 'shal' read 'shall'

Page 20, col. 1 line 18, from bottom, for 'कुसुनासिंघ' read 'कुंवर सुनासिंघ'

New Delhi,
The 7th August, 1968.

CONTENTS

Sl. No.	Witnesses examined	Date of hearing	Page
	Composition of the Committee		(ii)
I	Representatives of the Refugees Old Motor Parts Dealers Association (Regd.), Jhandewalan Road, Motia Khan, New Delhi	1st July, 1968	1
II	Representatives of—	2nd July, 1968	15
	(i) Ministry of Works, Housing and Supply		
	(ii) Delhi Development Authority		
	(iii) Delhi Administration		

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES

COMPOSITION OF THE COMMITTEE
(1968-69)

Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee—*Chairman*

MEMBERS

2. Shri Maharaj Singh Bharati
3. Shri Abdul Ghani Dar
4. Shri Narendra Ramchandraji Deoghare
5. Shri Samar Guha
6. Kumari Kamla Kumari
7. Hazi Lutfal Haque
8. Shrimati Mohinder Kaur
9. Shri C. Muthusami
10. Shri Paokai Haokip
11. Shri S. R. Rane
12. Shri A. S. Saigal
13. Maulana Ishaq Sambhali
14. Shrimati Savitri Shyam
15. Shri Ramchandra Ulaka.

SECRETARIAT

Shri M. C. Chawla—*Deputy Secretary.*

PARLIAMENT LIBRARY
(Library & Reference Service)
Central Govt. Publications
Acc. No. R 29863(4)
Date 8.8.68
328.372R
K8

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES

MINUTES OF EVIDENCE GIVEN BEFORE THE COMMITTEE

Monday, the 1st July, 1968 at 15.00 hours

PRESENT

Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee—*Chairman*

MEMBERS

2. Shri Maharaj Singh Bharati
3. Shri Abdul Ghani Dar
4. Shri Narendra Ramchandraji Deoghare
5. Kumari Kamla Kumari
6. Hazi Lutfal Haque
7. Shri C. Muthusami
8. Shri S. R. Rane
9. Shri A. S. Saigal
10. Shrimati Savitri Shyam
11. Shri Ramchandra Ulaka.

SECRETARIAT

Shri M. C. Chawla—*Deputy Secretary.*

WITNESSES EXAMINED

(1) S. Harbhajan Singh Sodhi, *President,*
The Refugees Old Motor Parts Dealers Association (Regd.), Jhandewalan Road, Motia Khan, New Delhi.
(2) Shri Prem Singh,
Vice-President.
(3) Shri Narendra Singh Sharma,
Office Secretary.
(4) Shri Manmohan Singh,
Secretary.
(5) Shri Ajit Singh,
Member.
(6) Shri Kartar Singh,
Member.
(7) Shri Narain Singh,
Member.
(8) Shri K. N. Dubey,
as special representative.

Reg: Representations received from the Refugees Old Motor Parts Dealers Association (Regd.), Jhandewalan Road, Motia Khan, New Delhi seeking relief under the "Gadgil Assurances" given in Lok Sabha on the 29th September, 1951.

(The witnesses were called in and they took their seats).

Mr. Chairman: Mr. Sodhi, you are to note that the evidence given by you would be treated as public and is liable to be published unless you specifically desire that all or any part of the evidence given by you is to be treated as confidential. But such evidence is liable to be made available to the Members of Parliament जो कुछ आप कहेंगे, जब तक आप यह नहीं बतायेंगे कि वह चीज छापी न जाय, तब तक हर चीज छापी जायगी। हो सकता है कि सारी कार्यवाही छापी जाय, इस लिये जो भी कार्यवाही में हिस्सा लेंगे, उन को पहले शपथ लेनी होगी।

Shri Harbhajan Singh Sodhi: I, Harbhajan Singh Sodhi, swear in the name of God that the evidence which I shall give in this case shall be true, that I will conceal nothing and that no part of my evidence shall be false.

(Oath taken by other witnesses)

Mr. Chairman: We have received your Memorandum. Would you like to add anything? The Committee members may ask you questions.

श्री के० एन० दुबे : श्रीमन, जो प्रतिवेदन हमारी एसोसिएशन ने सदस्यों की तकलीफात को सामने रखते हुए आप लोगों की सेवा में रखा है, वह अपनी जगह पर काफी है । लेकिन कुछ डिटेल्ज ऐसी हैं जो अगर सामने लाई जा सकें तो शायद एक सही कान्क्लूजन पर पहुंचने में आसानी हो सकती है । मैं बड़े अदब से निवेदन करना चाहूंगा कि यदि यह कमेटी प्रजातन्त्र के नाम पर, उसके मूल्यों के नाम पर अगर थोड़ा सा समय निकाल सके, आन-स्पाट एन्क्वायरी करे, जिसे प्रोब कहते हैं, तो पिछले 20 सालों के अन्दर हम लोगों के साथ जो भी ज्यादतियां हुई हैं, जानकर या बेजाने, क्यों या कैसे, तो उन सब का सही मूल्यांकन हो सकेगा ।

दूसरे, मैं बहुत ईमानदारी के साथ निवेदन करना चाहता हूं कि पाकिस्तान बनने के बाद किस तरह से तकनीकी बातों का सहारा लेकर हम को बरबाद करने की कोशिश की गई है, उन तरीकों का जिक्र हम अपने मैमोरेण्डम में नहीं कर सके हैं । उदाहरण के तौर पर गैडगिल एशोरेन्सेज की बात कही गई है...

सभापति महोदय : आप यहीं से शुरू कीजिये । हम यह जानना चाहते हैं कि वह आप पर कैसे लागू होते हैं ?

श्री के० एन० दुबे : उसके लागू होने का सीधा सा प्रमाण यही है कि जब हम देश के दुर्भाग्यपूर्ण विभाजन के बाद यहां आये, तो यहां पर बसे, हमने उस जगह को बसाया । उसके बाद जब हम को उजाड़ने की कोशिश की जाने लगी तो बहुत से मुआमलात में जिनमें अदालतों के जजमेण्ट्स भी शामिल हैं, जिनकी कापियां हम अपने साथ लाये हैं, उन अदालतों ने हमारे फेवर में फैसले देते हुए यह जाहिर किया कि हम उससे कवर्ड हैं । यह ठीक है कि गाडगिल एशोरेन्स का जिक्र उनमें नहीं किया गया है लेकिन यह कहा गया है कि हम उससे अलग नहीं किये जा सकते हैं ।

उसके बाद एस्टेट आफिस, दिल्ली डेवलपमेंट अथारिटी या दिल्ली एडमिनिस्ट्रेशन में मुखतलिफ लोग जो बरसरे इक्तदार आये और रेन्ट के नाम पर जो टैक्स वसूल किये जाने लगे...

श्रीमती सावित्री इमार : यह सब तो हम जानते हैं, आप कुछ डैमेजेज का जिक्र कीजिये ।

श्री के० एन० दुबे : इस सिलसिले में जैसा माननीय सदस्या ने निर्देश किया, इसमे आगे न जाकर एक ही बात कहना चाहता हूं जो कि शायद इस कमेटी के लिये, बहुत महत्वपूर्ण होगी ।

मैं इस कमेटी के समक्ष बहुत अदब के साथ यह निवेदन करना चाहता हूं कि मुझे यह मालूम हुआ है कि दिल्ली एडमिनिस्ट्रेशन के एक अधिकारी द्वारा कमेटी के सामने यह बात रक्खी गई है कि 21 मार्च, 1968 के पहले हमने कोई प्रतिवेदन नहीं किया । मैं कहना चाहता हूं कि उन्होंने हमारे बारे मे यह बिल्कुल ग़लत बात कमेटी के सामने रक्खी है । पिछले 15 साल से लगातार हमारे पास सबूत है कि हमने गवर्नमेंट को कई बार लिखा है एप्रीच किया है । हर्वे जवाब मिला है, और हमें अपने डिफेंस के लिए बुलाया भी गया है । हम को नोटिस मिला है, उस समय के कंसर्नड मिनिस्ट्री का और इसलिए इस आनरेबल कमेटी के सामने किसी का भी इस किस्म का जवाब देना कि हमने 21, मार्च 1968 के पहले इस किस्म का कोई प्रतिवेदन नहीं किया ग़लत बयानी है और वह ब्रीच आफ़ प्रीविलेज है । जगमोहन जी ने हम को यह कहा कि आपने 21 मार्च, 1968 के पहले कोई पत्र नहीं लिखा...

मि० चेयरमैन : आप अपने फैक्ट्स बतलाइये ।

श्री के० एन० दुबे : इस चीज का ताल्लुक इसलिए है कि श्री जगमोहन ने कहा कि 21 मार्च से पहले आपका कोई लैटर नहीं आया लिहाजा हम कार्यवाही नहीं करते तो दरअसल श्रीमन्, ऐसी बात नहीं है ।

मि० चेयरमैन : लिखित आप के पास इसका कोई प्रमाण है ? आपने 21 मार्च, 1668 के पहले यह कभी दावा किया ?

श्री के० एन० दुबे : बहुत बार किया । सन् 1959 से लेकर कई बार पत्र हमने लिखे हैं और हमें जवाब में दिल्ली डेवलपमेंट अथारिटी की चिट्ठियां मिली हैं...

मि० चेयरमैन: दो चीजें समझ लीजिये । आपका यह दावा करना कि हम जहां बैठ गये हैं वहीं हमें बैठे रहने दिया जाय । और चूंकि हमने बतौर रेफ्यूजीज के मुसीबत उठाई है इसलिए हम को परेशानी म न डाला जाय । यह एक चीज है दूसरी चीज यह कहना है कि हम गाडगिल एग्योरेंस में कवर होते हैं इस लिए वह ऐग्योरेंस अगर अमल में लाना है तो हमें हटाया नहीं जा सकता, दोनों में से कौनसी बात कही गई है ?

श्री के० एन० दुबे : मैं विनम्रतापूर्वक निवेदन करना चाहता हूं कि दोनों का एक दूसरे से बहुत सम्बन्ध है । मेरा निवदन यह है कि हममे से कुछ लोगों को 80 प्लाट देकर बसाया जा चुका है । जब गवर्नमेंट एक पालिसी तय करती है तो यहां से हटा कर सब को दूसरी जगह बसाया जाय ? उसमें कोई आपत्ति नहीं है, लेकिन जब कुछ लोगों को बसाया जा चुका है आल रैडी मार्केट्स इस्टैब्लिश हो चुके तो फिर यह पांच सौ लोगों को क्यों दूर उठा कर फेंका जा रहा है ?

मि० चेयरमैन : आप की असोसिएशन की कुल मैम्बरशिप कितनी है ?

श्री के० एन० दुबे : मैम्बर्स आफ दी असोसियेशन 470 के करीब हैं । उस जगह पर बड़ी आलीशान अट्टालिकाएं और बाजार आदि बन चुके हैं और हम 500–550 को उस जगह पर बसा दिया जाय । मास्टर प्लान में 15 एकड़ जमीन मखसूस की गई है । दिल्ली डेवलपमेंट अथारिटी ने 500 दुकानें देने के लिए सिफारिश की थी हम को वहां से हटा देने की बात कहां तक न्यायसंगत

है ? अगर हम को यहां से हटा दिया जाता है और ले जाकर हमें 14 कि० मीटर दूर पटक दिया जाता है तो वहां जाकर कौन हमसे एक छोटा सा पुर्जा खरीदेगा और उस हालत में तो हमारे भूखों मरने की नौबत आ जाती है।

श्रीमती सावित्री श्याम : आप के पास अपनी वर्कशौप भी है ?

श्री के० एन० दुबे : जी हां, हमारे पास वर्कशौप भी है। ऐसी कोई बात नहीं है कि हम ही, दो मांगें कर रहे हैं यानी यहां दिल्ली में भी बसना चाहते हैं और वहां रिवाड़ी इण्डस्ट्रियल लाइन में भी बसना चाहते हैं। दरअसल हम तो यहीं बसना चाहते हैं लेकिन हम से यह कहा गया कि मास्टर प्लान में हम आप को वर्कशौप के लिए यहां पर इतनी बड़ी जगह नहीं दे सकते लेकिन हम आप की इस बात को मानते हैं कि आपके मार्केट शहर के बीच में युनीक पोजीशन है इसलिए आप के शो रूम और आफिस यहीं रहने दिये जायं और वर्कशौप वहां से ले जाई जाय। हमने उससे एग्री कर लिया, क्योंकि मास्टर प्लान के अनरूप शहर को सुन्दर बनाने के लिए वैसा करना जरूरी था...

मि० चेयरमैन : फिर क्या सवाल है ?

श्री के० एन० दुबे : माननीय श्री सत्य नारायण सिंह ने एक हाई लेविल मीटिंग बुलाई थी जिसमें कि दिल्ली डेवपमेंट एथारिटी के श्री बोस मल्लिक, श्री जगमोहन और ज्ञान प्रकाश जी आदि शामिल हुए थे। 30 मई को हम लोगों को बुलाया और उस दिन उन्होंने फैसला कर दिया जिसका कि कनफरमेशन श्री विजय कुमार मल्होत्रा के पत्र से हमें प्राप्त हुआ। उसमें यह तय हुआ था कि हम लोगों को यहां पर अपने शो रूम और आफिस रखने दिया जायेगा और गोडाउंस हम रिवाड़ी इण्डस्ट्रियल लाइन में उठा कर ले जायेंगे। लेकिन अब इस बीच में हमें कोई कनफरमेशन लैटर नहीं मिला, वैसे इस बात की पुष्टि माननीय श्री सत्य नारायण सिंह से कर सकते हैं। यह अर्ज करने का मेरा मकसद यह नहीं कि हम जहां हैं वहीं रहें और यहां से हमें हटाया न जाय लेकिन चूंकि आलरैडी 500–600 गज के प्लाट ऐलाट कर दिये हैं और साथियों को...

मि० चेयरमैन : वही पुरानी बात आ गई। आप जब 30 मई को एग्री कर आये हैं कि शो रूम और आफिस यहां रख कर बाकी आप वहां पर ले जाने को तैयार हैं तो उसमें यह कमेटी आती नहीं है।

श्री के० एन० दुबे : मैं बतलाऊंगा कि किस तरीके से इन दोनों का सम्बन्ध है ? अब मास्टर प्लान के मुताबिक एक एरिया इयरमार्क किया गया है अब अगर मास्टर प्लान का काएलेशन हो रहा है तो यह कमेटी उसमें दखल देने का हक रखती है...

मि० चेयरमैन : इस कमेटी का काम तो गवर्नमेंट जो ऐश्योरेंस देती है उनके बारे में देखना होता है कि वह इम्प्लीमेंट हुए हैं या नहीं हुए हैं, उन पर हम खाली चर्चा करते हैं। कुछ मामले हमारे सामने आये हैं जो गाडगिल एश्योरेंस से कवर होते थे और जिनका कि सरकार अभी तक समाधान नहीं कर सकी है। अब आप का मामला पहली दफ़ हमारे सामने आया है। आप ने जो कुछ बतलाया है उससे ऐसा पता चलता है कि आप सरकार से सीधे बातचीत करके अपना मामला हल कर रहे हैं। 30 मई को जो फैसला हुआ है वह अमल में आने पर आप को शो रूम और आफिस के लिए यहां जगह दी जायेगी और गोडाउंस को दूर यहां से ले जाना पड़ेगा, ऐसा होने से आप को कोई ऐतराज नहीं होगा ?

श्री सोढी : कोई ऐतराज नहीं है।

सि० चेयरमन : जितनी जगह पर क ब्जा किया है वह कितनी जगह है और मैम्बर्स आप के कुल कितने हैं यह इनफ़ौरमेशन आप हम लोगों को भेज दीजिये । आप कुल कितनी जगह पर काबिज हैं ?

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : यह जो नक़शा आप के पास इस समय है इसे भी अगर हमारे लिए स्पेयर कर सकें तो अच्छा होगा ।

Mr. Chairman: In your representation, it is stated thus:

> "They were forced to seek relief and protection from the honourable judiciary, which was pleased to restrain the authorities from demolishing the area and thus they were saved from the highhanded show of force."

This is no judgment. This is about appeal under section so and so and the statement by Mr. A. L. Patni, Counsel for the appellant saying that the appellant cannot be evicted from the site without providing him alternative accommodation. "I withdraw the appeal as it has become infructuous".

Shri Dubey: This is the crux of Gadgil assurance.

सभापति महोदय : आप को आल्टरनेटिव एकामोडेशन मिलनी चाहिए, लेकिन यह आल्टरनेटिव एकामोडेशन कहां हो और कितनी हो, यह सवाल कहां आता है ?

श्री दुबे : मैं कह रहा हूं कि हम गाडगिल एशोरेंसिज से कवर होते हैं । इस सिलसिले मे मैंने अभी सबूत पेश किया है ।

सभापति महोदय : गाडगिल एशोरेंसिज का विचार 1950 से चल रहा है । क्या आप ने इस बारे में इस कमेटी को कभी कुछ लिखा ?

श्री दुबे : उस वक्त हमें इस कमेटी के बारे में जानकारी नहीं थी । लेकिन हम ने होम मिनिस्ट्री को कई बार लिखा ।

सभापति महोदय : सरकार और पार्लियामेंट अलग हैं । अगर आप होम मिनिस्ट्री को चिट्ठी लिख कर यह समझें कि पार्लियामेंट की कमेटी उसकी कानिजेंस लेगी, तो यह गलत है ।

क्या आप के पास कोई ऐसी चिट्ठी है—आप होम मिनिस्ट्री को लिखते रहे हैं और डी० डी० ए० से आपका पत्र-व्यवहार चलता रहा है—,जिसमें आपने गाडगिल एशोरेंसिज का नाम लेकर, बाई इनफ़ोरेंस नहीं, प्रोटेक्शन सीक किया हो ? क्या आप ने कभी यह दावा किया है कि आप सीधे सीधे गाडगिल एशोरेंसिज से कवर होते हैं ? आप हां या ना में जवाब दीजिए ।

श्री दुबे : अगर गाडगिल एशोरेंसिज का नाम लेकर जिक्र न करना कोई गलती है, तो वह गलती जरूर हुई है । लेकिन पिछले बीस साल में जो मुकदमे हुए हैं, जो कागजात मौजूद हैं, क्या वे काफ़ी नहीं हैं ?

सभापति महोदय : यह कमेटी सिर्फ गाडगिल एशोरेंसिज पर विचार कर रही है ।

श्री अ० सिं० सहगल : आप ने होम मिनिस्ट्री और दिल्ली एडमिनिस्ट्रेशन से जो कारेसपांडेंस किया है, आप लिख कर उसका पूरा विवरण दीजिए ।

श्री दुबे : हम सब डिटेल्ज देने के लिए तैयार हैं ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : आप ने कहा है कि आप में से 80 लोगों को बसा दिया गया है । आखिर गवर्नमेंट ने कुछ बताया होगा कि उन को किस बिना पर बसाया गया है ।

श्री दुबे : यह तो ईश्वर जान सकता है या दिल्ली एडमिनिस्ट्रेशन ।

सभापति महोदय : वे 80 लोग कैसे छांटे गये ?

श्री अजीत सिंह : वहां पर दरमियान मे एक सड़क है । एक साइड के लोगों को नक्शे वगैरह नाप कर एलाटमेंट कर दी गई और हमें कहा गया कि आप के नक्शे बन रहे हैं, आप को बाद में अलाटमेंट कर दी जायेगी । इस तरह हम रह गये ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : क्या उन 80 लोगों में से कोई लोकल हैं ?

श्री दुबे : नहीं, सब रेफ्यजीज हैं ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : वे कब बसाये गये ?

श्री प्रेम सिंह : 1954 में ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : उस वक्त आप को भरोसा दिलाया गया कि अभी उनको बसाते हैं आपकी टर्न आने पर आप की भी बताया जायेगा । क्या चौदह बरस से आप की टर्न नहीं आई ?

श्री अजीत सिंह : इस अरसे में महकमे बदलते रहे और वे लोग कहते रहे कि हमारे मामले पर गौर हो रहा है । इसी इन्तजार में हम बैठे रहे ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : मेरा तीसरा सवाल यह है कि गाडगिल एशोरेन्सेज के तहत चन्दा कमेटी बैठी थी । क्या आपने उस कमेटी को लिखा था ?

श्री दुबे : चन्दा कमेटी को लिखा भी गया और लोक सभा में बयान भी दिया गया कि हमें मालूम है कि आप के साथ ज्यादतियां हो रही हैं और इसके बारे में एस्टेट आफिसर को कहा जायगा । मैं उस की डेट भी दे रहा हूं ।

Shri Anil K. Chanda, the then Minister for Rehabilitation, in his statement, said on 8-11-1958 that the refugees (displaced persons) would be guarded against any such abuse of the said Act. It means something was there which got into the notice of the hon. Minister.

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : आपने कहा कि चन्दा कमेटी को लिखा था तो क्या लिखा था ?

श्री दुबे : हमारे पास कोई रेकर्ड नहीं है । इस वक्त इस की कोई जानकारी नहीं है ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : क्या चन्दा कमेटी मोतियाखान में गई थी ?

श्री प्रेम सिंह : पता नहीं चला कि वहां वह गए या नहीं ? आपने जो यह कहा कि हमने एप्रोच क्यों नहीं किया तो वाकया यह है कि मास्टर प्लान बना था और जब भी हम पूछते रहे तो उन्होंने कहा कि आपके लिए बन रहा है मास्टर प्लान आ रहा है 15 एकड़ जमीन रखी गई है । यह मास्टर प्लान में भी आया है नक्शे में भी आया है ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : तो उस को आप चेयरमन साहब को दिखलाइए ।

Shri Dubey: Some of the motor car parts dealers using such a large area of plots were so relegated in the areas whereas about only 15 acres of land should be allotted in the Motia Khan area for reorganizing some of the small dealers. They were all split up in these areas. On a foot-note on page 16 it is clearly laid down which included also the 15 acres site in Motia Khan reserved for a junk Market.

सभापति महोदय : आप की शिकायत है कि 15 एकड़ नहीं दे रहे हैं ?

श्री दुबे : ऐसा पता लगता है । हमारी आप से प्रार्थना है कि आप कोई रास्ता निकाल दीजिए ताकि हम लोगों और हमारे परिवारों के भरण-पोषण का रास्ता निकल आये । हमारी कोई भी मंशा गलत काम करने की नहीं है । आप की यह कमेटी मिनिस्ट्री कन्सर्न्ड से इसके बारे में पूछताछ कर सकती है ।

Mr. Chairman: Would you like to ask any question?

Shri Muthuswami: I could not follow him fully. There are about 700 families as you have put.

Shri Dubey: Yes, Sir.

Shri Muthuswami: So, 1500 acres have been divided by these 600 people. That means it comes to roughly 100 sq. yards each.

Shri Dubey: We have demanded 120 sq. yards. In case if we were given 100 sq. yards, we would be satisfied. I might draw your kind attention to one thing. There are others who have been allotted 500 to 600 sq. yards. where as we were prepared to accept whatever was given to us.

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : 80 आदमियों को कितनी कितनी जमीन मिली थी ?

श्री दुबे : प्रत्येक को करीब 600 गज ।

श्री प्रेम सिंह : यह 50 हजार आदमियों की जिन्दगी और मौत का सवाल है । इस जगह पर 200 दूकानें बन चुकी हैं । आप जा कर मौके पर देख सकते हैं । लेकिन हम को 20 मील दूर भेजा जा रहा है ।

सभापति महोदय : जनता मार्केट वहां बन रही है ?

श्री प्रेम सिंह : जी हां. 200 दूकानें वहां बाकायदा बन रही हैं लेकिन हम को 14 मील दूर फेंक रहे हैं । यह 50 हजार आदमियों की रोजी का सवाल है ।

श्री दुबे : मैं एक बात और अर्ज कर दूं । जहां तक मिनिस्ट्री आफ रिहैबिलिटेशन का सवाल है बहुत सी बातों के सम्बन्ध में श्री एम० पी० भार्गव और श्री मोहन धारिया ने सवाल किये थे तो उसके ऊपर डिप्टी मिनिस्टर आफ रिहैबिलिटेशन ने फर्माया कि आज तक कोई भी रेप्यूजी वहां पर नहीं बसाये गये जबकि वाकया यह है कि वहां पर सैंकड़ों मकान शरणार्थियों के बने हुये हैं ।

सभापति महोदय : यह किस तारीख का सवाल है ?

Shri Dubey: Starred Question No. 268 on the 10th May, 1968 by Shri M. P. Bhargava addressed to the Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation in Rajya Sabha.

मैं सवाल पढ़ कर बता रहा हूं ।

Shri M. P. Bhargava: Will the Minister of Labour and Rehabilitation be pleased to state:—

(1) whether there is any proposal under Government's consideration to remove old motor-part dealers from Motia Khan, Delhi?

Answer: Yes, there is a proposal to remove the squatters on public land from Motia Khan area.

This is a vague reply.

(2) whether any alternative site has been reserved thereby eligible squatters will be resettled in suitably developed colonies of the D.D.A?

Answer: According to the present proposals, some of these dealers are to be resettled in the Rewari Line Area.

That means the rest will be also located here.

Shri S. R. Rane: Don't comment on it.

Shri Dubey: This is another question by Shri M. P. Bhargava:

Question: May I know whether the hon. Minister is aware that most of these motor parts dealers are displaced persons? Well, I can quite appreciate the anxiety of the Health Ministry to remove the slums. But I would like to know from the hon. Minister of Rehabilitation as to what steps are being taken to see that these displaced persons are given good alternative accommodation before they are dislodged from their present position.

Answer: **(Shri D. R. Chavan):** (1) As I have already mentioned in my answer, all eligible squatters on public land will be provided with alternative accommodation under the Master Plan development.

That is why we want accommodation to be ear-marked in the Master Plan. It has already been laid down in the Master Plan.

(2) So far as the Department of Rehabilitation is concerned, we have not settled any of the displaced families there.

Therefore, this is a wrong answer.

Shri S. R. Rane: That question does not say whether it has been covered by Gadgil Assurance.

श्रीमती सावित्री श्याम : पिछले बीस सालों में किसी स्टेज पर आपको गवर्नमेंट की ओर से दिल्ली इम्प्रूवमेंट ट्रस्ट या किसी और अथारिटी से कभी कोई अलाटमेंट हुआ किसी भी फार्म में और क्या वह राइटिंग में है ?

श्री दुबे : अगर अलाटमेंट हुआ होता तो यह मुश्किल ही नहीं आती।

श्रीमती सावित्री श्याम : क्या आपने कभी कोई चिट्ठी लिखी और उसका कोई जवाब आया ?

श्री दुबे : हम को बुलाया गया आब्जक्शन्स उठाने के लिये ।

श्रीमती सावित्री श्याम : पिछले बीस वर्षों में गवर्नमेंट या दिल्ली इम्प्रूवमेंट ट्रस्ट या दिल्ली डेवेलपमेंट अथारिटी और आप के बीच में कोई सबूत राइटिंग में है जिसमें उन्होंने अलाटमेंट के लिये कोई ऐश्योरेंस दिया हो अगर किसी अलाटमेंट की बात थी ? दूसरे डेमेज से आपका क्या मतलब है ? तीसरे आप के पास इंडिविजुअली या कलेक्टिवली कोई वर्कशाप है ? अगर हैं तो कितनी हैं और कितने कीलोवाट पावर की मशीनें उनमें इस्तेमाल होती हैं ? इण्डस्ट्रियल एरियाज़ के लिये तो नियम हैं जो बसी हुई बस्तियां हैं वहां तो वर्कशाप हो ही नहीं सकतीं ?

श्री दुबे : पब्लिक प्रेमिसेज (एविक्शन आफ अनअथारिटीज्ड पर्सन्स) ऐक्ट की धारा 7 में साफ तौर से लिखा हुआ है कि जो डेमैजेज हैं वह रेंट के जरिये क्लक्ट किये जायेंगे ।

श्रीमती सावित्री श्याम : क्या आप को दिल्ली इम्प्रूवमेंट ट्रस्ट या गवर्नमेंट की तरफ से कभी भी लिख कर नहीं दिया गया सिर्फ आब्जैक्शन्स मंगवाते थे कि आपको ऐतराज क्या है ? जब वह बुलवाते होंगे तो उनकी चिट्ठियां तो होंगी । मैं जानना चाहती हूं कि आप के पास एविडेंस में क्या है ?

श्री करतार सिंह : डेमेजेज के लिये नोटिसेज इश्यू होती हैं ।

श्रीमती सावित्री श्याम : आप के पास सबूत कोई है या नहीं ?

श्री दुबे : हमारे पास इस्टैब्लिशड सेटलर्स के तौर पर नोटिसेज आती थीं । वी डेमर रिकग्नाइज्ड ऐज सच ।

श्री सहगल : आप के पास जो नोटिसें आई उनका आपने जवाब दिया होगा । क्या आप को भी उनका कोई जवाब मिला है ?

श्री दुबे : वह लोग हम को इस्टैब्लिशड सेटलर्स रिकग्नाइज करते थे । हमारे पास फाइल्स मौजूद हैं । लेकिन हम को यह लिख कर नहीं दिया कि हम लोग गैडगिल ऐश्योरेंसेज से कवर हो गये ।

श्रीमती सावित्री श्याम : गैडगिल ऐश्योरेंसेज जाने दीजिये । आप को सेटलर माना गया है जिसमें वही कागज निकाल कर दे दीजिये, आज न हों तो बाद में दे दीजियेगा ।

श्री सभापति महोदय : आपके पास जो भी मसाला था वह सब आप पहले दे सकते थे ।

श्री करतार सिंह : जब हम पाकिस्तान से आये यहां तब हमने यहां पर जगह अकुपाई कर ली । दिल्ली इम्प्रूवमेंट ट्रस्ट ने हमारे नाम नोटिस जारी कर दिया कि आप अनआथराइज्ड अकुपेंट हैं और आप 15 रु० फी 100 मुरब्बा गज डैमेजज किराये के तौर पर दीजिये । हम इस पर आब्जेक्शन करते थे ।

We settled here before August 1950. Under Gadgil Assurance anybody who is squatting on Government land before August 1950 is liable to pay the damages at the rate of Rs. 5 and not at the rate of Rs. 15.

सभापति महोदय : आपने गैडगिल ऐश्योरेंस का हवाला देकर जो लिखा है उस को पढ़िये ।

श्री देवधर : 10 जून को आप को यहां से कोई चिट्ठी मिली थी ?

श्री दुबे : हम को बुलाया गया था यह चिट्ठी मिली थी । हमने उसका जवाब भी दिया है ।

सभापति महोदय : 10 जून की चिट्ठी यही थी कि आप के पास कोई डाकुमेंटरी एविडेंस है या नहीं । अगर है तो उसको आप पढ़िये । उसमें गैडगिल ऐश्योरेंस की कोई बात है या नहीं ? आप कहते हैं कि हम से किराया लिया है और गैडगिल ऐश्योरेंस से आप कवर होते हैं ।

Shri Dube: It is stated here in the order dated December 2, 1959 given by an Estate Officer of the Delhi Development Authority:

"Sardar Bakshi Lal and Khairati Lal, S/o Sohan Lal were issued a notice under section 7(2) for Rs. 4095 for being in unauthorised occupation of 350 sq. yards in Jhandewala for the period 1.1.52 to 30.6.58. Khairati Lal filed a petition against the area and the rates. The supervisor was deputed to measure the area and in his report dated 19.9.59 it is mentioned that only 150 sq. yards is in his possession. He has further reported that 62 sq. yards is in possession of Kartar Singh and 83 sq. yards in possession of Narsingh Das. Khairati Lal has produced before me a postal card (exhibit D. 1) which bears the seal of 9.1.50. It shows that he is a pre-August 50 occupant and is to be assessed as such. Demand for 158 sq. yards at pre-August 50 rates comes to Rs. 616.20 nP. Let this amount be paid in two instalments of Rs. 308.10 nP on the 20th December 1959 and 20 January 60 respectively. .....Superintendent (damages) will see that notices under section 7(2) are isued against Kartar Singh and Narsingh Das for 62 sq. yards and 83 sq. yards respectively."

Shrimati Savitri Shyam: What is the damage, I would like to know.

Shri A. S. Saigal: Damage is the rent under the Act.

श्री करतार सिंह : हम लोग आराम से आकर यहां कारोबार करते रहे और वह हम से डेमेजेज के तौर पर किराया लेते रहे । उसके बाद एविक्शन का सवाल हुआ पांच छः महीने से । इसलिये जरूरत महसूस हुई कमेटी के सामने आने की ।

सभापति महोदय : आप समझ रहे थे कि आपको वहां जगह मिलेगी ?

श्री करतार सिंह : हमको समझाया गया कि हमारे लिये छोटे साइज़ के प्लाट कट रहे हैं और हम को दे दिये जायेंगे । एविक्शन नोटिस के बाद भी पीसफुली हमारा कब्जा चलता रहा और हम डेमेजेज भी देते रहे । अब चूंकि एविक्शन का सवाल है इसलिये हम कमेटी के सामने पेश हुए ।

श्रीमती सावित्री श्याम : जो वर्कशाप्स हैं वहां पर वह कितने पावर की बिजली की है ?

श्री प्रेम सिंह : वहां मोटर पार्टस का काम होता है उसमें पावर की जरूरत नहीं पड़ती है ।

सभापति महोदय : वह लोग मोटर पार्टस बेचते हैं बनाते नहीं ।

श्री प्रेम सिंह : वहां मोटर असेम्बल की जाती हैं ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : अस्सी भाई जो बस गए हैं वे सब आपकी तरह के ही हैं ?

श्री सोढी : वे भी पार्टिशन के बाद यहां आए थे । मैं थोड़ा सा आपसे इसके बारे में निवेदन करना चाहता हूं । हमारी यह ख़ुशकिस्मती है कि आपने हमें बुलाया है और हमें अपनी बात कहने का मौका दिया है । हम तबाह और बरबाद हो कर पाकिस्तान से आए हैं । पचास हजार आदमियों पर इसका असर पड़ता है, और पचास हजार आदमियों का तरफ से हम आपके सामने हाजिर हुए हैं । अगर हमको भी सही तरीके पर आबाद करने की कोशिश की जाती तो हम भी आज तक आबाद हो गए होते । आप देखें कि जो सरमायेदार थे वे और भी बड़े सरमायेदार हो गए, हैं । हमारे वहां पर ही पच्चीस रुपये गज के हिसाब से छः साल की किश्तों में चालीस हजार गज जगह दी गई है । लेकिन हम पर वहां डैमेजिज़ लगाये जात हैं और वसूल किये जात हैं । हम दुखी हैं । अगर दूसरे लोगों के साथ हमें भी आबाद करने की कोशिश की जाती तो हम भी आज तक आबाद हो गए होते । सौ दो सौ गज या डेढ़ सौ गज अगर एक एक को जगह दी दे जाती तो हम आबाद हो गए होते । लेकिन हमें अब उखाड़ा जा रहा है । मास्टर प्लान में हमारे लिए पन्द्रह एकड़ जगह मखसूस रखी गई है । यह ज़मीन किस को दी जाएगी ? हम को तो बाहर दूर फेकने की कोशिश हो रही है ।

दूसरी बात यह है कि अगर अस्पताल के लिए या मिलिटरी के काम के लिए यह जगह चाहिये तो इसको खाली करवाया जा सकता है । ईदगाह को खाली कराया गया तो हमने गवर्नमेंट के साथ पूरा पूरा कोओप्रेशन किया । वह खाली हो गई है । हम चाहते हैं कि हमें नक्शे बना कर दे दिये जायें और उसके मुताबिक हम यहां अपनी जगह बना लेंगे और जिस तरह से चाहे उस तरह से इस जगह को ख़बसूरत बनाने के लिए भी हम तैयार हैं । अब आप देखें कि हम को चौदह कि० मीटर दूर जब उठा कर फेंक दिया जाएगा तो कौन हमारे पास ये जो मोटर पार्टस हैं लेने के लिए आएगा । फिर इनकमटैक्स, सेल्ज टैक्स, टेलीफोन वगरह का हिसाब किताब भी देना होता है । वहां पर अगर हमको भेजा गया तो पचास हजार इंसान बरबाद हो जाएंगे । हमारे पास अपना पैसा भी तो नहीं है । कर्जे ले कर हम अपना काम चला रहे हैं । हम चाहती हैं कि आप हमें

इंसाफ दिलाये और इस काम में आप हमारी मदद करें।

श्री महाराज सिंह भारती : यह कहा गया है कि वहां सड़क निकालनी है। इसका असर पन्द्रह दुकानों पर पड़ेगा। आप यह बतायें कि इन पन्द्रह को किस तरह एकमोडेट किया जा सकता है?

श्री सोढ़ी : सामने जगह है जामा मस्जिद की जो मार्केट आई है, वहां लोगों को बसाया गया है। आप आ कर उस जगह को देख लें। हाउसिंग कमिश्नर ने कहा है कि इनको हटाये बगैर सड़क नहीं बन सकती है। लेकिन सामने जामा मस्जिद से उठा कर लोगों को बसा रहे हैं। इन पन्द्रह को भी वहां एकमोडेट किया जा सकता है और हमारी जो मार्किट है उसका भी फैसला उनके साथ किया जा सकता है। हम शांतिपूर्वक उठ कर वहां जाने के लिए तैयार हैं।

Mr. Chairman: You have stated in your memorandum:

> "It was further proposed to allot 488 and 250 plots in the second and third phases of the said scheme, but for the reasons only known to the Administration second and third phases were never implemented."

आप ने यह जो बात कही है यह किस आधार पर कही है।

श्री सोढ़ी : गवर्नमेंट स्कीमें बनाती रहती है। हमें यकीन हो गया था कि हमारे लिए यह चीज बनी है; अब गवर्नमेंट ने उसको खत्म कर दिया है।

मि० चेयरमैन : पन्द्रह एकड़ भी उसी में है।

श्री सोढ़ी : अस्सी प्लाट एक फेस में एलाट किया गया था। ऐसी तीन फेसिज थीं। दो और फासेज में एलाटमेंट होनी थी।

मि० चेयरमैन : आपने कहा है कि 483 प्लाटस और 250 प्लाट्स। यह किस आधार पर कहा है?

श्री मनमोहन सिंह : एक स्कीम डी० डी०ए० ने बनाई थी जिस के मातहत अस्सी प्लाट थे। दो और स्कीमें भी उसके साथ ही थीं। नक्शा हमारे पास है। लेकिन इस वक्त नहीं है। अगर कहेंगे तो सबमिट कर देंगे।

मि० चेयरमैन : नक्शा आप भेज दीजिये।

श्री दुबे : अस्सी प्लाट्स की एलाटमेंट के बाद उन्होंने अंडर गाडगिल एक्योरेंस एक एलाटमेंट कमेटी बनाई थी। उस एलाटमेंट कमेटी ने चन्द फैसले भी किए थे? हमने उसकी कापी भी उन से मांगी थी। लेकिन हमें मिली नहीं। हम आपको फाइल नम्बर दे सकते हैं।

Shri Rane: From 1st of April thew have regularly been paying rent of Rs. 37|- per month. Is there any agreement with the DDA or Government?

श्री सोढ़ी : पांच रुपये हुआ करता था और अब साढ़े सैंतीस रुपये हो गया है। नहीं देते हैं तो कहा जाता है कि जेल जाओ।

मि० चेयरमैन : वह तो गवर्नमेंट ने अपने आप तय कर दिया है।

आपने कहा है कि आपको रिवाड़ी लाईज इंडस्ट्रियल स्कीम के अन्तर्गत भेज रहे हैं। आपको पहले इसके बारे में कब खबर दी गई थी कि आपको वहां जाना पड़ेगा? किस तारीख को दी गई?

श्री सोढ़ी : 27 दिसम्बर 1967 को पुलिस और ट्रक्स को ले कर आ गए थे ?

सभापति महोदय : इस से पहले क्या उन्होंने आपको कोई नोटिस दिया था ?

श्री सोढ़ी : जी हां। एक दम फोर्स ले कर आ गये, ट्रक और अपनी लेबर लेकर आ गये, दुकानों को तोड़ा और वहां ले जा कर छोड़ दिया, जहां पर वे लोग जा कर तबाह हो गये। न वहां पानी है और न बिजली।

सभापति महोदय : आपने कहा है कि 15 लाख रुपया अर्नेस्ट मनी जमा है, उस का कोई ब्याज नहीं मिल रहा है, इस के बारे में डिटेल्ज दीजिए।

श्री सोढ़ी : गवर्नमेंट ने खुद ही वहां के गोदाम के लिये एपलीकेशन्ज मांगी थीं, तमाम मोतियाखान के लोगों ने एप्लीकेशन्ज दीं थीं, जिसके लिये डी०डी०ए० के पास 15 लाख रुपया अर्नेस्ट मनी का जमा कराया गया था, इस को दो साल हो चुके हैं, लेकिन अभी तक कुछ डिसाइड नहीं किया गया है।

श्री राना : यह कौनसी जमीन के लिये है ?

श्री सोढ़ी : नरैना स्कीम के लिये है।

श्री राना : रुपया जमा करने की जरूरत क्यों पड़ी ?

श्री सोढ़ी : कई आदमियों को बड़े काम के लिये गोडाउन की जरूरत पड़ती है, इसलिये उन्होंने एप्री किया।

सभापति महोदय : लेकिन रुपया देने की जरूरत क्यों पड़ी, आपने रुपया क्यों दिया ?

श्री सोढ़ी : उन्होंने एडवर्टिजमेंट निकाला था कि जो आदमी वेअर-हाउसेज में जगह लेना चाहते हैं, व एप्लाई करें, 600 गज के प्लाट के लिए 1200 रुपया हमारे पास अर्नेस्ट मनी जमा करें। इस जगह को कोई भी आदमी ले सकता था, इसलिये हम ने वहां भी एप्लाई कर दिया। सब ने एप्लाई नहीं किया, अलग अलग लोगों ने एप्लाई किया है।

श्रीमती सावित्री श्याम : क्या सब ने मीटिंग कर के इसके लिये एप्री किया था ?

श्री सोढ़ी : जी नहीं, अलग अलग लोगों ने एप्लाई किया था।

सभापति महोदय : सरकार यह कह रही है कि अगर आप रिवाड़ी इंडस्ट्रियल इस्टेट में नहीं जायेंगे तो वह जमीन दूसरों को दे दी जायगी, फिर आपको वह जमीन नहीं मिलेगी।

श्री सोढ़ी: सरकार कहे कि तुम को समुद्र में फेंक देंगे, तो उस में हमारा क्या जोर है।

Shrimati Savitri Shyam: On page 5 para 9 of your memorandum dated June 25, 1968 you have stated:

> "It is worth-mentioning that the 'Screening Committee' of the Delhi Development Authority as has been reliably understood, has recommended 500 shops to be constructed in the Motia Khan Area itself for the petitioners—Old Motor Parts Dealers. The said recommendation has made it clear that atleast it has been agreed upon 'in principle' that the petitioners be given allotments in this area of Motia Khan. The petitioners are further prepared to abide by the rules and regulations for constructing showrooms and offices in the present area."

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : आपके जो 600 मेम्बर हैं, क्या इन के यहां बिजली और टेलीफोन के कनैक्शन लगे हुए हैं ?

श्री सोढ़ी : जी हां, बिजली भी है और टेलीफोन कनैक्शन भी हैं।

श्री बर : सरकार ने यह कैसे दिया ? क्योंकि इस के लिये जब मिलकियत दे दी जाती है तो मालिक से इजाजत लेनी पड़ती है।

सभापति महोदय : वह तो सरकार की इजाजत से बैठे हैं, सरकार उन से किराया वसूल कर रही है, उजाड़ने से पहले दूसरी जगह दे रही है।

श्रीमती सावित्री श्याम : इजाजत से बैठे हैं, लेकिन टैम्पोरेरिली बैठे हैं।

श्री बर : आपके 575 आदमियों ने कितना रुपया लगा रखा है। क्या वहां पर गोडाउन या शोरूम भी हैं ?

श्री मनमोहन सिंह : करोड़ों रुपया लगा रखा है। हमारा इस के बारे में डी०डी० ए० से फैसला हो गया था, लेकिन आप चूंकि तहरीरी मांग रहे ह, हमारे पास तहरीरी कोई सुबूत नहीं है। हम यही अर्ज करना चाहते हैं कि इसी फैसले को जिसके बारे में वह कहते हैं आप तहरीरी शक्ल मे अमलदरामद करा दीजिए। अब तक हमारी उन से जबानी बात हुई है, तहरीरी कुछ नहीं हुआ है।

सभापति महोदय : हम ने उन को कल बुलाया है, उन से बात करेंगे। मगर हम अभी तक यह नहीं समझ पाये हैं कि आप गाडगिल एशोरेंस में कैसे आते हैं ?

श्री दुबे : मोतियाखान के तमाम लोग गाडगिल एशोरेंस से कवर्ड हैं, लेकिन फिर भी उन को उखाड़ दिया गया।

सभापति महोदय : क्या उन्होंने इस के लिये आपको कुछ लिखा था ?

श्री दुबे : हम तो बराबर लिखते रहे कि हम को यहां पर बसाया जाय।

सभापति महोदय : आपने लिखा है कि जामा मस्जिद के लोगों को यहां पर बसाया जा रहा है, क्या वे रिफ्यूजीज हैं ?

श्री सोढ़ी : नहीं, लोकल लोग भी हैं। उन को वहां पर बसा रहे हैं और हम को उजाड़ रहे हैं।

सभापति महोदय : इसमें कोई हर्ज नहीं है कि उनको दूर न भेजा जाय और वहीं पर बसा दिया जाय, लेकिन आप को भी दूर नहीं भेजना चाहिए—यही आपका कहना है।

श्री मनमोहन सिंह : ऐसा है कि जो अगस्त 1950 से पहले के वहां पर बैठे हुए हैं उन पर 5 परसेंट डैमेजेज लगाये जाते हैं, दिल्ली प्रेमिजिज एक्ट के अन्दर ऐसा है और यह चीज गाडगिल एशोरेंस में भी आती है। कई आदमी 1-1 51 से बैठे हैं यानी अगस्त 1950 के बाद से बैठे हैं, उन पर 15 परसेन्ट लगता है। जो आदमी अगस्त 1950 के पहले के नहीं हैं, उन पर गाडगिल एशोरेंस लागू नहीं होता है। इसी वजह से उन से 15 परसेन्ट लिया जाता है। यह सब सेक्शन 6 और 7 में दिया हुआ है, क्या आप दिल्ली प्रेमिजिज एक्ट को देख लें।

सभापति महोदय : क्या यह पीछे का हिस्सा है, जहां पर पाइप पड़ा हुआ है। मगर ये लोग भी शिकायत कर रहे हैं कि हम को उजाड़ा जा रहा है।

श्री सोढ़ी : एक दफा उजाड़ कर फिर उजाड़ा जायेगा। आप हमारी दिक्कतों को समझें—हमारा लेन-देन है, व्यापार है, सब खत्म हो रहा है। हमको 14 कि० मीटर दूर फेंका जा रहा है। एक तरफ सरकार ने 25 रुपये गज के हिसाब से एक ही पार्टी को एक हजार गज जमीन बेची है, जिससे वह 20 हजार रुपया महावार किराय

कमा रहा है, लेकिन हमारा कोई मदद नहीं की जा रही है।

Shri A. S. Saigal: Only one person has constructed and he is getting monthly rent of Rs. 20,000 and an American establishment is there. Only one man has got the land.

Shri C. Muthuswami: Did he get it specifically for the purpose of constructing the showroom?

Shri Dubey: According to their allotment and previous allotment there was no conditions.

वह जगह हमें दें खुद हम आबाद हो सकते हैं। अर्ज यह है कि लिखी हुई कोई चीज नहीं आई है। बाकी श्री विजय कुमार मल्होत्रा का पत्र संख्या-3/हि० आ०/मु० का० पा०/68-9471, तारीख 10 जून, 1968 हमारे पास मौजूद है जो कि श्री हरभजन सिंह सोढ़ी को एड्रैस्ड है।

मेरी अर्ज यह है कि जो लोग भी गवर्नमेंट लैण्ड पर काबिज हैं उन को गाडगिल एग्योरेंस के मुताबिक उस जगह के नजदीक ही आलटरनेटिव एकोमोडेशन देनी चाहिए..

मि० चेयरमैन : नजदीक की कोई परिभाषा नहीं है क्योंकि दिल्ली में 10,12 मील भी नजदीक ही है। दूसरे उस वक्त आप का मामला कमेटी के सामने नहीं आया था। तब आपका मामला लटक रहा था और आप समझते थे कि हमारा काम वैसे ही हो रहा है और हमें गाडगिल एग्योरेंस का लाभ उठाने की जरूरत नहीं है लेकिन अब जब आप मुश्किल में फंसे हैं तब आप उस का सहारा लेना चाहते हैं। हम उस बारे में देखभाल करेंगे और जो कुछ संभव होगा हम आप की उस बारे में मदद करेंगे। यह कमेटी आप की इन सब बातों पर विचार करेगी।

श्री के० एन० दुबे : निवेदन यह है कि जब हमारे साथ इंसाफ नहीं किया गया और हमें नहीं बसाया गया तो हम इंसाफ के लिए आप की शरण में आये हैं।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : हम लोग आप की मदद करना चाहते हैं और हम चाहेंगे कि उस एलाटमेंट कमेटी ने जो डिसीशन लिये थे उस की एक कौपी आप इस कमेटी को भिजवा दें। वह चीज इस कमेटी के लिए आप की मदद करने में हैल्पफुल साबित होगी। उस में उस कमेटी ने आखिर लिखा ही होगा उस ने उन 80 आदमियों को क्यों एलाट किया? इसलिए मैंने कहा कि अगर आप वह एलाटमेंट कमेटी के डिसीशंस की एक कौपी हमारी इस कमेटी को भेज देंगे तो आप को फायदा होगा।

मि० चेयरमैन : आप लोग जो यहां कमेटी के सामने गवाही के लिए पेश हुए उस का धन्यवाद। यह जो कार्यवाही है यह आपके पास करैक्शन के लिए भेज दी जायेगी। आप को यहां पर हुई कार्यवाही को पबलिश नहीं करना है, उस को बताना नहीं है।

श्री सोढ़ी : हम माननीय चेयरमैन और इस आनरेबुल कमेटी के सब मेम्बर साहबान का बहुत-बहुत धन्यवाद करते हैं।

[The witness then withdrew]

(The Committee then adjourned to meet again at 15.00 hours on the 2nd July, 1968).

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES

MINUTES OF EVIDENCE GIVEN BEFORE THE COMMITTEE

Tuesday, the 2nd July, 1968 at 15.00 hours.

PRESENT

Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee—*Chairman.*

MEMBERS

2. Shri Maharaj Singh Bharati
3. Shri Abdul Ghani Dar
4. Shri Narendra Ramchandraji Deoghare
5. Shri Samar Guha
6. Kumari Kamla Kumari
7. Hazi Lutfal Haque
8. Shri C. Muthusami
9. Shri S. R. Rane
10. Shri A. S. Saigal
11. Shrimati Savitri Shyam.

SECRETARIAT

Shri M. C. Chawla—*Deputy Secretary.*

WITNESSES EXAMINED

I. *Ministry of Works, Housing and Supply*—

(1) Shri P. Prabhakar Rao—*Joint Secretary.*

(2) Shri P. D. Garg—*Deputy Secretary.*

II. *Delhi Development Authority*—

(3) Shri S. G. Bose Mullick—*Vice-Chairman.*

III. *Delhi Administration*—

(4) Shri I. K. Suri—*Deputy Secretary (Land and Building).*

Reg: Representations received from the Refugees Old Motor Parts Dealers Association (Regd.), Jhandewalan Road, Motia Khan, New Delhi seeking relief under the "Gadgil Assurances" given in Lok Sabha on the 29th September, 1951.

(The witnesses were called in and they took their seats).

Mr. Chairman: The Committee has received a large number of representations from the Old Motor-parts Dealers' Association. We forwarded two such memoranda to your Ministry. We have received your comments, but certain points are not very clear and we would like to have further information in regard to those points. These refugees claim that

they are covered by the Gadgil assurances. The reply from the Ministry is not clear on this point. You say that they want double benefits. Would you like to throw some light on this point whether you regard these refugees as persons covered by the Gadgil assurances?

Shri Prabhakhar Rao: May I suggest that we may first restrict ourselves to the Refugee Old Motor-parts Dealers' Association, because there are two or three other parties also who are involved in this in the Motia Khan and the Jhandewalan area together? Today, we shal deal with the Refugees Old Motor-parts Dealers' Association.

As far as they are concerned, there have been some other developments. They were actually not shifted from there. There were other developments. They went to court and they got a stay order. There have been some subsequent meetings. So, we shall first have that background and then we can come to the main issue, if you would permit.

I would suggest that Mr. Bose Mullick who has been associated with the meetings with these people may furnish the background and the development subsequent to that.

Shri Bose Mullick: This Refugee Old Motor-parts Dealers' Association is an association of dealers in motor-part junk. The number of such junk dealers is somewhere about 500 or a little more. These people were being shifted, to an area earmarked for a junk market in one of the warehousing areas developed by the DDA. I think a few members of this association may have actually been bodily shifted or been in the process of being shifted, when these people went to court and obtained a stay order, as a result of which further shifting has been postponed. Since then, they have been representing to various authorities saying they want to settle this matter out of court. In fact, they sent a representation to the hon. Minister of Health, since DDA was under the Health Ministry. The Minister of Health was pleased to give the representatives of this association time, and at that meeting with the representatives in the presence of the Minister it was decided (a) that they will withdraw their case from the court, (b) that they will be prepared to shift to the area earmarked for them, that is to say, the junk market, and (c) that they would like to have some kind of a plot where they could have their showrooms and office, which was granted. Subject to these three particular things having been assured to them . . .

Mr. Chairman: Are you referring to the meeting that took place on the 30th May?

Shri Bose Mullick: It may be 30th May. I do not exactly remember the date but it was a very recent meeting.

Then, they were asked to spell out all the other details in consultation with Mr. Jagmohan. Unfortunately, Mr. Jagmohan has taken ill today and could not come. But initially of course they failed to come and talk to Mr. Jagmohan. When this matter came to the notice of the Minister, and also since then, they have been talking to Mr. Jagmohan and the discussion is on, although they have not yet, I understand, withdrawn their cases as they had promised to do at one time. This is the background in which this problem has to be viewed.

The point which was raised initially about their being an assured category or not under the Gadgil assurances is a point which of course the DDA has not gone into because we are treating all people who have squattered at a particular place up to July, 1960 as people to whom we want to give alternative accommodation in one of the proper places which have been earmarked for them. As almost all the people who are in this

association are covered under that dispensation, therefore, the question of a person or two or any of them being covered as 'Gadgil-assured' people under which a person who was there in July-August, 1950 could get alternative accommodation, did not come into prominence. As a matter of fact, so far as we know, none of them has ever represented to us that they were in any manner covered under the Gadgil assurances. They all claim assurance in the sense that they want alternative accommodation and it has been promised to them. The details are being spelt out.

Shri Prabhakar Rao: To supplement what Mr. Mullick has said, the proposed alternative accommodation in Rewari along with the show room facility right here goes even beyond what they would otherwise have got under the Gadgil assurance.

The intention and the objective is to take people right up to the year 1960 and to settle them in the proper place where they can carry on their trade in conformity with the Master-plan.

Since the attitude taken by the DDA and by the Government is going a step further, this Committee may kindly consider this issue in this light.

Mr. Chairman: In their memorandum they express an apprehension that they would be shifted from the present area and the dealers from Juma Musjid area would be brought there.

Shri Bose Mullick: That is not a correct apprehension. When the Jama Masjid area was taken up for development three years ago, these people who were really small junk dealers have been temporarily accommodated in Jhandewala. As to where they will go finally, is a matter which has to be decided yet.

The multi-storeyed shopping centres were to be put up by the Corporation which approached the Government for funds for this purpose; their approach was not successful. It is quite possible that as things stand at present the Delhi Development Authority will have to take over and finance the project from their own resources.

Mr. Chairman: In one of their representations, it is stated that in 1954, 80 plots of 500 to 600 sq. yards were drawn up out of which 37 were allotted to the local dealers. Now, they say they had been discriminated against as they are to get only a limited area to accommodate their office and show room as compared to those who had been allotted previously.

Shri Bose Mullick: I do not know the exact details about each of these 80 people, accommodated in 1954 in Motia Khan area when they were evicted from Jhandewala area when that area was cleared up. They were definitely covered under the Gadgil assurance. They were small factories.

Mr. Chairman: In their memorandum they say that it was further proposed to allot 488 and 280 plots in the second and third phase of the said scheme but for reasons known to the Administration these second and third phases were never implemented.

Shri Bose Mullick: There was no second or third phase of the scheme. In the interim general plan and the master plan this area was earmarked for flated factories in the multi-storeyed buildings. They are small factories which will make, for example, buttons and such things.

Mr. Chairman: The total area is given as 50 acres, 47 acres and 68 acres. What is the total to be allotted to them?

Shri Bose Mullick: 34·2 acres. 14 acres had already been given to 80 shops.

Mr. Chairman: Do you think you will be in a position to satisfy all the claimants by giving land adequate for the setting up of offices and showrooms?

Shri Bose Mullick: We will give them sizeable plots in the area where we are taking them. It will be a market of its own kind.

Mr. Chairman: I mean in this particular area.

Shri Bose Mullick: This will be completed into flatted factories. There will be a commercial centre inside this. They wanted only half an acre to one acre on which they wanted to build multi-storeyed flats. I am referring to the discussion they had recently in which they had wanted this.

Mr. Chairman: What is the Zone A-7 scheme?

Shri Bose Mullick: The Master Plan has been divided into 136 zones.

Mr. Chairman: This is the scheme which is in operation today. It has not even undergone the preliminary stages and the layout has not been drawn up yet. The required fund for construction purposes as envisaged has not also been budgeted. What is the correct position?

Shri Bose Mullick: The zonal plan has been drawn up. It was actually accepted by the Delhi Development Authority for final approval by the Government, but then there are some other points on which some other consideration is pending. It is about to be sent to the Government of India for its approval. The detailed plan for the Motia Khan area has also been drawn up. It is final.

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : क्या आप के यहां पर उन्होंने कोई 15 लाख रुपये के करीब रुपया जमा कराया है ?

श्री बोस मल्लिक : जी हां, उन लोगों ने अर्नेस्ट मनी वेयरहाउस बनाने के लिए जमा कराया हुआ है। उन्होंने ऐप्लाई किया था कि हम को प्लाट दिये जायें। रिवाड़ी इंडस्ट्रियल लाइंस वेयरहाउसिंग स्कीम में प्लाट देने के लिए उन्होंने एप्लाई किया था। उस के लिए जो उन से 10 परसेंट अर्नेस्ट मनी मांगा गया था वह रुपया उन्होंने जमा कराया हुआ है। उन की ख्वाहिश यह थी कि हम सब रिवाड़ी लाइंस में चले जायं और उस के लिए वह रुपया उन्होंने जमा कराया था। अब इजेक्ट एमाउंट तो मैं नहीं बतला सकता कि कितना पैसा उन्होंने जमा कराया था बाकी अलग अलग मेम्बरों ने अपने अपने तौर पर रुपया जमा किया है।

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : इस का उन्होंने पूरा हिसाब लगा कर बतलाया है कि 15 लाख रुपया जमा है तो मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि उस 15 लाख रुपये पर आप ने कोई इंटरेस्ट भी उन को दिया है।

श्री बोस मल्लिक : अर्नेस्ट मनी पर कोई इंटरेस्ट नहीं दिया जाता है।

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : यह रुपया जमा कराये हुए कितना अर्सा हुआ ?

श्री बोस मल्लिक : 1966 दिसम्बर के करीब यह रुपया उन्होंने जमा किया है।

श्रीमती सावित्री श्याम : यह वेयरहाउस बनाने के काम में कितनी प्रगति हुई है ?

श्री बोस मल्लिक : वह तैयार है जा सकते थे उसी वक्त। 3000 प्लाट्स हमारी तरफ से वहां तैयार किये हुए हैं। अर्नेस्ट मनी जमीन का होता है।

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : वह रुपया जो उन लोगों ने आप के पास जमा किया है और आप जो उन लोगों को वहां पर शिफ्ट करना चाहते हैं तो इस के लिए आप ने उन्हें राइटिंग में भी कोई चीज दी है ?

श्री बोस मल्लिक : उन से बातचीत हो गई है। उस में यह सब चीज का फैसला हो गया है कि किस तरह से हटाये जायं और किस तरह से बसाये जायं।

मि० चेयरमैन: उन का यह ख्याल है कि आप इसे राइटिंग में नहीं दे रहे हैं इसलिए उन के दिल में इस किस्म की शंकाएं उत्पन्न हो रही हैं कि ऐसा न हो कि हमारे साथ फिर वही किया जाय जैसा कि पहले किया गया था।

श्री बोस मल्लिक : ऐसी शंका होने वाली कोई बात तो है नहीं।

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : आप ने मोतिया-खान के लिए लिख कर कोई एश्योरेंस दिया है?

श्री बोस मल्लिक : मिनिस्टर के सामने बात हो चुकी। उस के मिनिटस रेकार्ड हो चुके मिनिस्टर साहब के यहां। उस की कापी हमारे पास आ गई है और मैं समझता हूं उन को भी मिल गई होगी। बाकी जब हम ने कह दिया है तो हम मानेंगे इसलिए उस में कोई शंका की बात नहीं है।

Mr. Chairman: It has been pleaded by the traders' representatives that the "compact market" in the centrally located Motia Khan area should be preserved. Will that be done?

Shri Bose Mullick: We will get them a show-room in the commercial area within the market area. So, there is no question of "preservation".

Mr. Chairman: They have put those words within inverted commas. I do not know where they have borrowed those words, whether from the Master Plan or some other document from the Delhi Administration.

Shri Bose Mullick: I am not aware of a "compact market." Probably what they mean is wherever a commercial area is situated, they want that it should be located somewhere there which we had accepted. It has to be situated there.

श्री महाराज सिंह भारती : अगर वहां रिवाड़ी इंडस्ट्रियल लाइन में शोरूम बनाना पड़े तो वह तो उन के साथ अन्याय होगा।

श्री बोस मल्लिक: वह शोरूम और आफिस तो उन के यहीं रहने हैं गोडाऊंस उधर दूर रिवाड़ी लाइन की तरफ जाने की बात हुई है। मिनिट्स में भी यह बात दर्ज हो चुकी है। इसलिए इस में कोई शक की तो बात है नहीं।

मि० चेयरमैन : श्री विजयकुमार मल्होत्रा ने उन की असोसियेशन के प्रेजीडेंट को जो पत्र लिखा है और जिस मे 30 मई की मीटिंग का हवाला दिया गया था और यह कहा गया था कि जैसा आप चाहेंगे वैसा कर दिया जायेगा लेकिन तो भी उन का शक बना हुआ है कि कहीं गड़बड़ न हो।

श्री बोस मल्लिक : अब शक का क्या इलाज है? मैं उस के लिए आज फिर आप के सामने ऐश्योरेंस देता हूं।

मि० चेयरमैन : आप इस कमेटी में कह रहे हैं तब कोई सवाल नहीं है।

Shri Rane: At what price will you give it? What are the terms of the agreement? Will you give it on lease?

Shri Bose Mullick: It will be on perpetual lease; a permanent lease.

मि० चेयरमैन : कमेटी का कुछ इम्प्रेशन यह है कि जामा मस्जिद वाले उठाये गये तो उन को यहां लाया गया और वह शिकायत करते हैं तो फिर यहां वालों का क्या होगा पता नहीं।

श्री बोस मल्लिक : जामा मस्जिद वालों को शिकायत है . . . .

मि० चेयरमैन: और यहां वालों को यह शिकायत है कि हमें जगह देने से पहले जामा मस्जिद वाले ले जाये गये। शिकायत दोनों को है। मालूम ऐसा पड़ता है कि दिल्ली ऐडमिनिस्ट्रेशन और डी० डी० ए० का दिमाग उन के बारे में साफ नहीं है। यही कारण है कि उन लोगों के दिलों मे संदेह है।

श्री बोस मल्लिक : जोनल प्लान में इस पर विचार हो रहा है उस में एक प्वाइंट यह भी है। पहले तो यह था कि इस ऐरिया को साफ कर लिया जायेगा और उन को वापिस बसाया जायेगा वहीं जामा मस्जिद के ऐरिया में लेकिन कुछ प्वाइंट उठ गये और वह चीज नहीं बन रही है। उसके बारे में कोई प्रगति नहीं हुई है। इस पर हम विचार कर रहे हैं। इस के बारे में फिर सोचने की गुंजाइश है और सोचा भी जायेगा। लेकिन जब तक सोच कर कोई डिसीशन ले न लिया जाय मेरे लिए इस बारे में कहना कुमुनासिब नहीं होगा।

Shri Rane: Have they given in writing that they will withdraw the cases from the court?

Shri Bose Mullick: They have given the assurances before the Minister that they would withdraw them from the court, but they have not done so. They should have kept that part of the promise.

श्री राने : दोनों करना चाहते हैं। अदालत में भी लेना चाहते हैं और यहां कमेटी मे भी लेना चाहते हैं।

श्री बोस मल्लिक : कभी कभी हम को उन की नीयत पर शक हो जाता है।

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : जामा मस्जिद वालों को आप ने वहां से अलग किया अपने प्लान के मुताबिक अब कितना टाइम आप को और लगेगा ताकि आप उन को सैटिल कर सकें ? उन की ग्रीवेंसिज बहुत वाजिब हैं, जिन को दूर किया जाना चाहिए।

श्री बोस मल्लिक : उन की ग्रीवेंस यह है कि उन को बताया जाये कि क्या उन को पर्मानेंटली यहां रहना है या नहीं। उन की ग्रीवेंस जायज हैं और हम समझते हैं कि इस बारे में जल्दी डिसीजन लेना चाहिये।

सभापति महोदय: जब उन में से 80 आदमियों को जगह दी गई तो क्या औरों को भी उतनी ही जगह देने का ख्याल था ?

श्री बोस मल्लिक : नहीं।

सभापति महोदय : इसी लिए उन लोगों को यह शिकायत है कि उन 80 लोगों के साथ प्रेफेरेंशल ट्रीटमेंट हुआ।

श्री बोस मल्लिक : सिर्फ उतने ही लोग पाये गये, जो गाडगिल एशोरेंसिज से कवर्ड थे। जो बाकी रह गये, उन का भी रीमूवल नहीं हुआ। लेकिन मुझे मालूम नहीं कि वे गाडगिल एशोरेंसिज से कवर्ड हैं या नहीं। मुझे तो डाउट है कि वे कवर्ड हैं या नहीं उन की तरफ से कोई क्लेम नहीं हुआ।

सभापति महोदय : कल वे कोर्ट का एक जजमेंट बता रहे थे। उन्होंने मुकदमा वापिस ले लिया, क्योंकि कोर्ट में ऐडमिनिस्ट्रेशन ने यह एशोरेंस दिया था कि उनको आल्टरनेटिव एकाम्मोडेशन दिया जायेगा। उन का कहना है कि हम रेक्यूजीज हैं, हम 1950 से बैठे हुए हैं, हमें इंशोरेंस दिया जाये कि हम को आल्टरनेटिव एकोमोडेशन दिया जायेगा, क्योंकि यही गाडगिल एशोरेंसिज है।

श्री बोस मल्लिक : गाडगिल एशोरेंसिज तो दूसरी बात है।

श्री अब्दुलगनी डार : जिन लोगोंको आबाद किया गया, वे और ये लोग एक ही कैटेगरी के हैं । दिल्ली एडमिनिस्ट्रेशन की तरफ से कहा गया है कि अभी एक साइड को हटा रहे हैं, जब इन की बारी आयेगी, तो इन को भी बसाया जायेगा । इन दोनों लोगों के साथ अलग अलग किस्म का सुलूक क्यों किया गया है ?

श्री बोस मल्लिक : हम अब भी कहते हैं कि हम उन को बसायेंगे और उस में कोई कमी नहीं रखेंगे । लेकिन अगर वे क्लेम करते हैं कि वे गाडगिल एशोरेंसिज से कवर्ड हैं, तो हमारे लिये दिक्कत पैदा होती है । गाडगिल एशोरेंसिज तो अगस्त, 1950 तक के लिए हैं । हम तो उस से बहुत आगे जा कर जुलाई, 1960 तक के सब स्क्वैटर्ज को प्रोवाइड कर रहे हैं । उनको बसाने के बारे में हमारी कमिटमेंट जरूर है, लेकिन वे गाडगिल ऐशोरेंसिज से कवर्ड हैं, इस बारे में मुझे शक है ।

श्री अब्दुलगनी डार : वे सब एक ही टाइप के आदमी थे । लेकिन उन में से एक साइड के लोगों को बसा दिया गया और दूसरी साइड के लोगों को छोड़ दिया गया । यह नहीं देखा गया कि उन में से कोई 1950 से पहले का है या बाद का । दोनों में यह फर्क क्यों किया गया है ?

श्री सूरी : एलाटमेंट उन्हीं को दिया गया जो गाडगिल ऐशोरेंसिज से कवर्ड थे ।

सभापति महोदय : हम यह जानना चाहेंगे कि जिन लोगों को आप ने हटाया उन में से ऐसे कितने लोगों को छोड़ दिया जो गाडगिल ऐशोरेंसिज से कवर्ड नहीं थे ।

श्री बोस मल्लिक : उस जमाने में एक जेनरल क्लीयरेंस होता था और किसी को कोई आल्टरनेटिव एकोमोडेशन नहीं दिया जाता था जब तक कि वह गाडगिल ऐशोरेंसिज से कवर्ड न हो । 1954 के बाद काफी अरसे तक यह पालिसी चलती रही । उस के बाद जुलाई, 1960 तक एक सरवे हुआ कि कौन कौन डी० डी० ए० की लैंड पर स्क्वेट कर रहे हैं । जिन लोगों का नाम उस सरवे में रिकार्डिड है उन को हम प्रोवाइड करेंगे ।

सभापति महोदय : जिन लोगों को आप ने जमीन दी उन्हों ने बड़े बड़े मकान खड़े किये हैं ; एक फोटो हमारे पास आया है कि मकान अमरीकन ऐम्बैसी को उस के दफ्तर के लिये किराये पर दिया गया है ।

श्री बोस मल्लिक : मैं इस बारे में एन्क्वायरी कर के मालूम कर सकता हूं । जब लोज पर उन को जमीन दी गई है तो वे बिजिनेस करने के लिये फ्री हैं । उन्होंने बाकायदा प्लान पास कराया है और उस के मुताबिक बनाया है । उन के साथ कन्ट्रैक्ट यह था कि कामर्शल परपज के लिए इस्तेमाल होगा ।

सभापति महोदय : यह तो मिसयूज है । एक तरफ तो यह कहा जाता है कि जमीन कम है हम ज्यादा जमीन नहीं दे सकते हैं और जिन को ज्यादा जमीन लेनी हो उन को रेवाड़ी की तरफ जाना होगा और दूसरी तरफ जिन को जमीन दी गई है वे मल्टी-स्टोरीड स्ट्रक्चर खड़े कर रहे हैं ।

श्री बोस मल्लिक : मैं लीज के बारे में ज्यादा नहीं जानता हूं ।

सभापति महोदय : कानूनी दृष्टि से यह ठीक होगा कि वह कामर्शल परपज के लिए है और वे ऐसा कर सकते हैं लेकिन यह डिजायरेबल नहीं है । क्या यह वहज मीन नहीं है ?

श्री बोस मल्लिक : जी हां वहां पर यह एक बिल्डिंग है । आप कहें तो मैं लीज टर्म्ज को देख लूंगा कि कोई रेस्ट्रिक्शन्स हैं या नहीं ।

सभापति महोदय : आप देख कर कमेटी को एक नोट भेज दें कि इस के बारे में फैक्ट्स क्या हैं ।

श्री सूरी : अच्छी बात है ।

श्री बोस मल्लिक : जैसी पालिसी रही कि लैट आउड करने में कोई रेस्ट्रिक्शन नहीं रहा ।

श्री महाराज सिंह भारती : अगर कोई वेयरहाउस के बजाय रेजिडेन्स बना दे ?

श्री बोस मल्लिक : वह तो फिर मिसयूज हो जायेगा, ऐसा नहीं कर सकते हैं ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : मैं ने जो अर्ज किया था उस के बारे में मेरी तसल्ली नहीं हुई है । मेरा कहना यही था कि जो सन् 50 के पहले के हैं उन को 37 रु० में जमीन दी गई लेकिन कुछ लोगों को 72 रु० में दी गई, अब जो 50 के पहले के हैं या पीछे के हैं तो एक को आप ने मान लिया कि गाडगिल एशोरेंसिज के तहत आते हैं और दूसरे नहीं आते हैं ।

श्री बोस मल्लिक : सन् 50 में जो लोग थे वे गाडगिल एशोरेंसिज से कवर्ड थे लेकिन जो बाद में आये वे कवर्ड नहीं थे लेकिन । हम उन को भी उसी तरह से ट्रीट करते हैं

सभापति महोदय : उन्हों ने पहले कभी दावा भी नहीं किया कि वे गाडगिल एशोरेंस के अन्तर्गत आते हैं ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : और न इन्होंने ही कभी दावा किया कि वे नहीं आते हैं ।

सभापति महोदय : अब वे लोग जो चाहते हैं उस को करने के लिये एडमिनिस्ट्रेशन तैयार है । जो लोग कल आये थे वे कह रहे थे कि शो-रूम आफिसेज के लिये ही जगह मिल जाये तो हम संतुष्ट हो जायेंगे । अब इस सवाल में हम जायें तो यह एकेडैमिक क्वेश्चयन रहता है कि गाडगिल कमीशन से कवर्ड होते हैं या नहीं ।

श्री अ० सिं० सहगल : सन् 54 में या उस के आस पास क्या कोई सर्वे कराया गया था जिस से यह मालूम हुआ कि गाडगिल ऐशोरेंस के तहत आते हैं या नहीं ?

श्री बोस मल्लिक : सन् 59 से पहले कोई सर्वे नहीं किया गया । रिहैबिलिटेशन मिनिस्ट्री ने शायद सर्वे किया हो ।

श्री महाराज सिंह भारती : गाडगिल ऐश्योरेंस में वह आते हैं या नहीं इस का निर्णय किया ही नहीं गया ।

सभापति महोदय : और न उन्होंने ही दावा किया कि वे आते हैं । इनकी तरफ से भी नहीं गलत कहा गया कि वह नहीं आते है इस कमेटी के सामने पहली दफा यह मामला आया । अगर वह सन्तुष्ट नहीं होते तो शायद इस मामले में जाना पड़ता । अब आप उन को सन्तुष्ट करें तो हम भी सन्तुष्ट हैं ।

(The witnesses then withdrew)

(The Committee continued their deliberations on the subject).

GMGIPND—LSI—937 (E) LS—2-8-1968—200.

© 1968 By Lok Sabha Secretariat

Published under Rule 382 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Lok Sabha (Fifth Edition) and printed by the General Manager, Government of India Press, Minto Road, New Delhi

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES (1968-69)

FOURTH LOK SABHA

EVIDENCE

(1968-69)

[Re. Representations received from the Bela Road Refugee Ice Factories Association, 20-Upper Bela Road, Delhi-6, seeking relief under the "Gadgil Assurances"]

[Laid on the Table of the House on the 11th March, 1969]

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT
NEW DELHI

March, 1969/Phalguna, 1890 (Saka)
Price : 75 Paise

328.372 R
K8

Corrigenda

to the

Evidence (1968-69) re: representations received from the Bela Road Refugee Ice Factories Association, 20-Upper Bela Road, Delhi-6, seeking relief under the "Gadgil Assurances" given before the Committee on Government Assurances.

Page 2,—

(i) col. 1, line 21 from bottom, for 'Lawrance' read 'Lawrence'

(ii) col. 2, line 8, for 'robed' read 'roped'

Page 17, col. 1, line 5 from bottom, for 'w' read 'we'

Page 20, col. 1, line 7, after 'action' insert 'not'

Page 23, —

(i) col. 1, line 18 from bottom, after 'not' insert 'have'

(ii) col. 1, line 4 from bottom —

(a) for 'स ' read ' से '

(b) delete the word 'या '

Page 24, col. 2, line 7, for 'contractor' read 'contract'

Page 35, col. 2, —

(i) line 5, for ' केनट ' read ' केबिनट '

(ii) line 11, delete ' मैं '

(iii) line 14 from bottom, for 'हटाने ' read ' हटाये '

New Delhi,
The 11th March, 1969.

CONTENTS

Sl. No.	Witnesses examined	Date of hearing	Page
	Composition of the Committee		(iii)
I.	Representatives of the Bela Road Refugee Ice Factories Association, 20, Upper Bela Road, Delhi-6.	4th October, 1968	1
II.	Representatives of—		
	(i) Ministry of Health, Family Planning and Urban Development . . .	5th October, 1968	14
	(ii) Ministry of Works, Housing and Supply		
	(iii) Delhi Administration		
III.	Representatives of the Bela Road Refugee Ice Factories Association, 20, Upper Bela Road, Delhi-6.	17th January, 1969	28
IV.	Representatives of—	17 January, 1969	34
	(i) Delhi Development Authority		
	(ii) Delhi Administration		

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES

COMPOSITION OF THE COMMITTEE

(1968-69)

Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee—*Chairman*.

MEMBERS

2. Shri Maharaj Singh Bharati
3. Shri Abdul Ghani Dar
4. Shri Narendra Ramchandraji Deoghare
5. Shri Samar Guha
6. Kumari Kamla Kumari
7. Haji Lutfal Haque
8. Shrimati Mohinder Kaur
9. Shri C. Muthusami
10. Shri Paokai Haokip
11. Shri S. R. Rane
12. Shri A. S. Saigal
13. Maulana Ishaq Sambhali
14. Shrimati Savitri Shyam
15. Shri Ramchandra Ulaka.

SECRETARIAT

Shri M. C. Chawla—*Deputy Secretary*.

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES

MINUTES OF EVIDENCE GIVEN BEFORE THE COMMITTEE

Friday, the 4th October, 1968 at 14.30 hours

PRESENT

Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee—*Chairman.*

MEMBERS

2. Shri Maharaj Singh Bharati
3. Shri Abdul Ghani Dar
4. Shri Narendra Ramchandraji Deoghare
5. Kumari Kamla Kumari
6. Hazi Lutfal Haque
7. Shri S. R. Rane
8. Shri A. S. Saigal
9. Shrimati Savitri Shyam
10. Shri Ramchandra Ulaka
11. Shri Samar Guha
12. Shri Paokai Haokip
13. Maulana Ishaq Sambhali.

SECRETARIAT

Shri M. C. Chawla—*Deputy Secretary.*

WITNESSES EXAMINED

(1) Shri Ved Sayal, *President*,—Bela Road Refugee Ice Factories Association, 20, Upper Bela Road, Delhi-6.

(2) Shri Ishwer Lall—*Vice-President.*

(3) Shri Prithvi Raj—*Secretary.*

(4) Shri Lochan Singh—*Member.*

(5) Shri O. P. Anand—*Member.*

Reg: Representation received from the Bela Road Refugee Ice Factories Association, 20 Upper Bela Road, Delhi-6 seeking relief under the "Gadgil Assurances" given in Lok Sabha on the 29th September, 1951.

(The witnesses were called in and they took their seats).

सभापति महोदय : कमेटी के सामने आप जो भी कहेंगे वह पब्लिक प्रोपर्टी होगा और उसे छापा जा सकता है, पब्लिश किया जा सकता है। अगर आपके कहने का कोई हिस्सा ऐसा हो, जिसे आप कान्फिडेन्शल कराना चाहें, तो उस के बारे में आप कह सकते हैं। लेकिन उसे भी पार्लियामेंट के सामने रखा जा सकता है। आप जो कुछ भी कहें, वह इस हिसाब से कहें कि वह छापा जा सके तथा वह जनता तक जा सके।

आपका मेमोरेण्डम हमारे पास है, उस में अगर आप कुछ और जोड़ना चाहें, तो जोड़ सकते हैं। अन्यथा हमारे मेम्बर साहबान जो पूछना चाहेंगे वह पूछेंगे। आपका वह 22 अगस्त का मेमोरेण्डम हमारे पास है, इस के अलावा आप जो कहना चाहें वह कहें।

Shri Ved Sayal: We have prepared another appendix to that memorandum which we would like to circulate among the Members.

सभापति महोदय : आपने जो मेमोरेंडम दिया है उसको हमने गवर्नमेंट के पास भेजा था । गवर्नमेंट ने दो तीन बातें कही हैं जिनके बारे में हम आप से कुछ जानकारी चाहते हैं । उनका कहना यह है कि आपको लारेंस इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया में कहीं जगह दी जा रही है । कमेटी यह जानना चाहती है कि वह जगह कहां है और क्या उस जगह को देने की आफर सचमुच में की गई है और क्या आप उस जगह को लेने के लिए तैयार हैं और अगर तैयार नहीं हैं तो उसकी क्या वजह है ?

Shri Ved Sayal: This area which they have mentioned as having been offered by them to us was the result of a number of meetings which we had with them at their instance. They have not sent us any written communication with regard to any shifting or any other change of area. They called us once or twice and offered us land in two or three places, industrial belts, of which Lawrence Road is one. Lawrance Road is exactly eight miles from the place where we are presently located; it is an area which has some initial development; this is an area which they are offering for most of the service industries which they propose or intend to shift. The offer was made verbally that they could give us one if we were prepared to go from our present place.

Mr. Chairman: What are the other areas?

Shri Ved Sayal: The other areas are Narayana Village industrial scheme and Okhla second phase, third phase and possibly fourth phase also; it is next to the Okhla Industrial Estate which has been there for some years.

Mr. Chairman: When was the offer made first?

Shri Ved Sayal: The offer was made about three months ago.

Mr. Chairman: Before you went to the Court.

Shri Ved Sayal: While the Government was removing the Jamuna Bazar quarters, we apprehended that we might also be robed in and as a precautionary measure we moved a junior court and got a stay order only on the apprehension that we might be involved. We went to the court and sought this protection which was given to us but later on after one or two hearings when the Department noted in the court file that they had no such intention of illegally demolishing it, the matter was dropped. There is no court case now.

Shri S. R. Rane: Have you got a copy of the judgment or court orders?

Shri Ved Sayal: We do not have the copy here. We shall make available one copy.

Mr. Chairman: We would like to have a copy of the judgment delivered by the court and also a copy each of both the leasedeeds, the first and the second.

Shri Ved Sayal: Yes; we will furnish.

Mr. Chairman: Do you still apprehend that you might be asked to go somewhere else from that area.

Shri Ved Sayal: Yes, very much. In the last two months there have been many verbal messages. As we have mentioned, all these messages have been given to us usually by a policeman or sometimes by an agency which is not a normal one. As I said, nothing in writing has ever been conveyed to us with regard to our present position or with regard to shifting; it is all oral and it is only in their office they call us. They have called us three or four times during the last two or three months and even threatened,

"If you do not go, we have means to make you go".

Shri S. R. Rane: Who made the oral offer?

Shri Ved Sayal: The last meeting was presided over by Mr. Jag Mohan formerly Secretary (Lands and Buildings) and now in the Delhi Development Authority. There were other officers—Mr. Bansi Dar and one or two more.

If I might submit, so far there has been no action on the part of our lessors to cancel the lease. There has been no written action which is a normal thing if an industry is to be shifted or the land is to be taken away. Everything has been oral and verbal.

Shrimati Savitri Shyam: As far as I know, 'Gadgil assurances' covered only residential plots and not commercial plots. I would like to know from you how you justify your contention that your factory area which is a commercial one is covered by 'Gadgil assurances'. Is there any contract between you and the Government?

Shri Ved Sayal: I may not be in a position to reply to this question entirely, but I may submit that 'Gadgil assurances' covered people who in an unauthorised manner occupied government land or somehow got possession of it. If unauthorised people are covered, I suppose, the authorised people are automatically covered.

Shrimati Savitri Shyam: Yours is for commercial purposes. 'Gadgil assurances' covered only residential plots. How do you justify your contention that yours comes under 'Gadgil assurances'?

Shri Ved Sayal: As far as we are aware, 'Gadgil assurances' say 'structures'. We have not particularly read it as saying 'residential'. I suppose, all structures, whether commercial, industrial or otherwise, may be meant to be covered.

श्री इसहाक सामलः आपका मेमोरेंडम गवर्नमेंट को भेजा गया था । जहां गवर्नमेंट ने बहुत सी बातें कही हैं वहां एक यह बात भी कही है कि गाडगिल एश्योरेंस कमर्शियल परपजिज के लिए नहीं है । आप तो चले जायेंगे लेकिन हमारा वास्ता मिनिस्ट्री से पड़ेगा, उसके साथ हमें बात करनी होगी, उनको हमें जवाब देना होगा, उसके साथ हमें आर्गु करना होगा । आप बतायें कि गाडगिल एश्योरेंस कमर्शियल परपजिज के लिए जो कंस्ट्रक्शंज हैं उन पर भी लागू होती है, उसको भी वह कवर करती है और अगर करती है तो उसका क्या सबूत है ?

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : मैं आपकी मदद करूं ? आपके जो फैक्ट्री मैनेजर्ज हैं, जो आपके सर्वेंट्स हैं उनके कुछ क्वार्टर आपने बनाए हुए हैं ?

Shri Ved Sayal: There are residential quarters.

सभापति महोदय : इसका आप अब जवाब नहीं दे सकते हैं तो बाद में दे दीजियेगा । और भी कोई चीज आपके सामने आए तो आप बाद में कमेटी के नोटिस में ला सकते हैं ।

श्रीमती सावित्री श्याम : जब 8-7-60 को मास्टर प्लेन पब्लिश हुई थी तब आप को आब्जेक्शन्स के लिये तीन महीने का समय दिया गया था ? क्या आप ने कोई आब्जेक्शन्स दिये थे ?

Shri Ved Sayal: These objections were filed by us in January, 1961 for the first time.

Shri Ishwar Lall: We had submitted our objection to the Town Planning Organisation a meeting of which was held at the Delhi Improvement Trust where representatives of the Delhi Administration, the Superintending Engineer, the Town Planner and public men were there. I was also invited to it and I put in my

representation to them. It covered about ten pages but they summarily rejected it. It was a representation on behalf of all the factories.

Mr. Chairman: When was that? Was it in 1961?

Shri Ishwer Lall: It was in 1961.

Mr. Chairman: We would like to have a copy of that.

Shri Ishwer Lall: We shall submit that.

Mr. Chairman: Are you aware of the fact that the area where your factories exist is described as green in the Master Plan?

Shri Ved Sayal: We are.

Mr. Chairman: Since when?

Shri Ved Sayal: Ever since the Master Plan came into being.

Mr. Chairman: Then you must have filed objections immediately after the publication of the Master Plan.

Shri Ved Sayal: We did file the objections within the due date. We shall submit to you copies of our objections.

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : जब 1948 में आपका एग्रीमेंट हुआ था तब क्या कोई ऐसी हिदायत दी गई थी कि आप इतना एरिया कवर करेंगे और इतना एरिया खुला रखेंगे ? अगर थी तो क्या थी ?

Shri Ved Sayal: The leases which were given by the Notified Area Committee and the Delhi Improvement Trust were regular leases and it was mentioned that we could cover one-third of the area, that such-and-such buildings would be allowed and such-and-such buildings would not be allowed but certain residential quarters would be permitted and so on and so forth.

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : उस में उन्होंने जो इजाजत दी थी कि रेजिडेंटल क्वार्टर बन सकते हैं, तो वह कवर्ड एरिया का कितना हिस्सा था ? उस में मैनेजर के क्वार्टर वगैरह भी आते होंगे ?

Shri Ved Sayal: The buildings, which were permitted on these lands, were quarters for the manager, the engineer and the essential staff and for the peon and the chowkidar.

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : वह मोटर गैरज के लिये भी था ?

Shri Ved Sayal: Yes, even garage.

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : उस के कितने हिस्से के लिये कहा गया था कि कितना बन वगैरह बन सकता है ?

Shri Ved Sayal: All those permissions were there.

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : क्या उस की कापी आप चेयरमैन साहब को भेज देंगे ?

सभापति महोदय : मैनेजर के रहने की जगह तो फैक्टरी का ही हिस्सा है ?

Shri Ved Sayal: This is a part of that.

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : अब चूंकि यह एग्रीमेंट बहुत पहले हो गया था और उस वक्त गाडगिल एक्सोरेंस का कोई सवाल नहीं था । गाडगिल एक्सोरेंस तो बाद में किये गये, इस लिये मैं यह बात समझना चाहता हूं कि जब पहले एग्रीमेंट हुआ कि रेजिडेंशल भी है और इंडस्ट्रियल भी है, तो उस के बाद क्या हुआ ?

श्री महाराज सिंह भारती : उस के बाद ग्रीन बैल्ट कैसे हो गई ?

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : ग्रीन बैल्ट तो इस लिये हो गई कि इन की चार फैक्ट्रियों को हटा दिया जायेगा, बाकी बीस फैक्ट्रियां

वहीं रहेंगी । उन के लिये ग्रीन बैल्ट नहीं होगी ।

मेरा दूसरा सवाल यह है कि जिस एरिया में आप को इजाजत दी गई थी सन् 1948 में, और उस के नौ वर्ष बाद वह रिन्यू भी हुआ, क्या वहां पर कोई और बिल्डिंगस भी हैं ? अगर हैं तो उन का एरिया आप के एरिया से कम है या ज्यादा है ?

Shri Ved Sayal: We have brought with us a sketch plan showing buildings on Bela Road including our five factories and the location of other buildings.

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : क्या इस में कुछ सच्चाई है कि आप ने जो एग्रीमेंट किया उस के मुताबिक आप की फैक्ट्रियों का ग्राउंड रेंट कई साल नहीं लिया गया ?

Shri Ved Sayal: The factories, that is, the lessees have played their part completely and have paid all ground rent. It was only in 1962 that the control of that area was shifted from the Notified Area Committee to the Land and Development Officer of the Ministry of Works, Housing and Supply. Immediately the file went there, the office took a completely different view and comments on the file started treating us as if our lease was temporary and we were to be removed. They offered us temporary leases from year to year which we refused to accept saying that our leases were perpetual. That position continued till 1966 despite our various representations and meetings with the land and Development Officer. The rent that they had asked for the last three years was at an enhanced rate which also was unreasonable and unjustified. We refused to pay that enhanced rent. We offered them cheques on the basis of the old rate which they refused to accept. This controversy went on till the lease period expired round about March, 1966 and there has been no further movement on the file until the recent one. But the rent was paid for good 14 years.

Mr. Chairman: What is the present position? You are not paying any rent.

Shri Ved Sayal: We are not paying any rent and they will not accept any rent from us because, in our way of thinking, the DDA has already told them that this area comes in the green belt and we have to be removed. They started pressurizing us in 1962. We did not agree and they did not accept the rent. We feel that we were being treated as unauthorised for no fault of ours.

Shri S. R. Rane: You could send the rent by money order.

श्री मोहन सिंह : उस वक्त हम ने लेटर लिखा था कि हम प्रीमियम देने को तैयार हैं । लेकिन उसका उन्होंने कोई जवाब नहीं दिया ।

सभापति महोदय : क्या आप मनी आर्डर से रेंट भेज रहे हैं ?

Shri Ved Sayal: Rent has been remitted by crossed cheques payable to the Land and Development Officer. The rent of each factory is about Rs. 3,000.

Shri S. R. Rane: Have you got the returned cheques with you and can you produce them here?

Shri Ved Sayal: I presume, one or two returned cheques will be available with us.

Shri S. R. Rane: For one factory or for all the factories?

Shri Ved Sayal: For all the factories.

Shri Abdul Ghani Dar: Probably excepting one you have paid the whole rent.

Shri Ved Sayal: Yes; this is for four factories.

Shri Abdul Ghani Dar: Can you prove that they returned your cheques? Their letters must be there.

Shri Ved Sayal: We can furnish the returned cheques.

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : मेरा ऐसा ख्याल है कि शायद हर फैक्ट्री का केस अलग अलग है । मैं श्री सायाल से जानना चाहूंगा कि जब वह अपनी फैक्ट्री का रेंट पे करना चाहते थे तब उन्होंने कोई चिट्ठी लिखी थी जिस में उन्होंने कंडिशन्स वगैरह पूछी हों ? जब वह कंडिशन्स उन के लिये सैटिस्फैक्ट्री साबित नहीं हुईं तब उन्होंने रेंट पे करना शुरू किया या कि उस के पहले वह उन के आफिस गये थे और उन्होंने रेंट लेना रिफ्यूज किया ?

Shri Ved Sayal: The correspondence with the Land and Development Officer with regard to remittance of lease money was done through our legal adviser because they had taken a very wrong stand right from the beginning and we can produce the file where our letters offering the cheques and theirs not accepting them are there.

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : जब उन्होंने एक्सेप्ट नहीं किया, तब आप ने उस पैसे को कोर्ट में या बैंक में डिपाजिट क्यों नहीं किया ?

Shri Ved Sayal: We did not do it.

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : दूसरे साहबान अपनी भी अपनी फैक्टरी के बारे में बतायें ।

Shri Ishwer Lall: The position is this. There are five Ice factories at Bela Road. One of them is situated differently because they had paid the premium right in the beginning and the other four did not pay because their terms were governed by the leases or resolutions granted by the Notified Area Committee and approved by the Chief Commissioner and further confirmed by the Ministry of Health. So, in regard to one of the factories, there is no question of their not paying monthly or yearly rent. They are very regularly paying the rent. The cases of other four factories are identical. They have been granted leases on slightly different terms inasmuch as that their premium was allowed as a concession to be paid or to be realised after the end of 18 years and, after the end of 18 years, the premium will have to be realised by the lessor and the lease made perpetual. Now, up to the first term of 9 years, there was no question of default from either side because the regular lessor was the Notified Area Committee. After coming into operation of the Delhi Municipal Corporation, there was some confusion for about two or three years because the rent was payable through the lessor who had been converted into the Land and Development Office, not the Notified Area Committee. But the Land and Development Office did not wake up till 1962 and the rent for the first two years of the second term of lease of 9 years was realised by the Delhi Municipal Corporation whose receipts are in our possession. After 1962, the Land and Development Office came into the picture and started treating us on temporary leases and offered terms which were not acceptable to us. Now, in 1962, when the Land and Development Office came into the picture, as a lessor, they demanded that the rent should be increased by 25 per cent. This increase was provided in the first lease granted by the original lessor, that is, the Notified Area Committee, after the expiry of the term of 9 years. After the expiry of 9 years, the original lessor was alive and they passed the resolution to renew the lease for another 9 years on the same terms.

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : मैं ये डीटेल्ज नहीं चाहता । यह सब कुछ पेपर्ज में लिखा हुआ है । आप बताइये कि आप कब तक रेगुलरली पे करते रहे, कब आप ने पे करना बन्द किया, कब उन्होंने एक्सेप्ट करना बन्द

किया और कब आप ने बैंक में रुपया जमा करना शुरू किया ।

श्री लोचन सिंह : जब पच्चीस परसेंट एनहांस किया गया, तो उस के बाद हम अपने हिसाब से, ओल्ड टर्म्ज के मुताबिक, देते रहे । 1966 तक उन को जा चुका है ।

Shri Ved Sayal: The rent was paid regularly. Then, this controversy started and we did not pay afterwards.

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : आप हर एक की वकालत न कीजिये । आप सब अपना अपना केस बताइये । क्या आप के पास प्रूफ है कि आप ने 1966 तक पे किया ?

श्री लोचन सिंह : जी हां । जब उन्होंने पच्चीस परसेंट बढ़ा दिया तो हम ने उन को लिखा कि आप नहीं बढ़ा सकते हैं । लेकिन हम पेमेंट करते रहे । हम ने उन को एक चिट्ठी लिख कर पूछा कि प्रीमियम कितना होता है लेकिन उस का कोई जवाब नहीं आया ।

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : क्या आप ने 1966–67 और 1967–68 का पे किया है या नहीं ?

श्री लोचन सिंह : जी नहीं । उस का सवाल ही नहीं है । कन्डीशन यह थी कि लीज को और नौ साल के लिये रिन्यू करने के बाद लीज परपेटुअल हो जायेगा । पुरानी टर्म्ज और कन्डीशन के मुताबिक नौ साल के लिये उस को रीन्यू किया गया । यह तय हुआ था कि 19 साल के बाद हम प्रीमियम पे करेंगे । हम ने कहा कि पन्द्रह रुपये पर स्क्वेयर यार्ड प्रीमियम के अलवा ग्राउन्ड रेंट कितना है हम उस को देने के लिये तैयार हैं ।

सभापति महोदय : क्या आप का कहना है कि अठारह साल के बाद लैंड डेवलपमेंट आफिस इस बात के लिये बंधा हुआ है कि वह रेंट का कन्ट्रेक्ट खत्म कर दे और आप से प्रीमियम लिया जाये ।

श्री लोचन सिंह : ओरिजनल एग्रीमेंट में यह था कि अठारह साल के बाद वे प्रीमियम चार्ज करेंगे ।

श्रीमती सावित्री श्याम : यह कन्ट्रेक्ट किस के साथ है ।

श्री लोचन सिंह : नोटिफाइड एरिया कमेटी के साथ ।

Shri Samar Guha: All these ice factories that have been established there belong to the people coming, originally, from West Pakistan?

Shri Lochan Singh: Yes.

Shri Samar Guha: All these ice factories are under private concern?

Shri Lochan Singh: Yes; all under private concern.

Shri Samar Guha: What is the total number of employees there?

Shri Lochan Singh: During the peak season, the number of employees will be nearly 30 to 40 in each factory.

Shri Samar Guha: Out of these 30 or 40 persons, how many are refugees and how many are non-refugees?

Shri Lochan Singh: I think, mostly, they are all local people, some Punjabis and others. I cannot exactly tell you how many are refugees and how many are non-refugees.

Shri Samar Guha: Are you publishing balance-sheet every year?

Shri Lochan Singh: Yes.

Shri Samar Guha: Is it running at a loss or at a profit?

Shri Lochan Singh: Up to 1961 or 1962, we were running at a loss. Then,

there was a pool formed among ice factories.

Shri Samar Guha: Now it is not at a loss.

Shri Lochan Singh: Not now.

Shri Samar Guha: Mr Chairman, I want that these facts should be brought out because the fundamental question involved is that of settlement of refugees. There is the question as to what extent the refugees are employed. Then, whether it is running at a loss or at a profit. If it runs at a profit, naturally, the question arises as to what extent Government can allow them to have the privileges as they are just demanding. These facts should be brought out. That will help, to a certain extent to decide it. They have used here a few words of human suffering, etc. Fundamentally, the whole question is related to the question of giving facilities to refugees for their rehabilitation, to what extent the Gadgil assurances rest with that fundamental issue, and that it will not create any difficulty as regards the refugee rehabilitation. As to what extent that condition has been fulfilled, that has to be ascertained. I would like to have the following information, the number of employees, the annual income, the expansion, how many of the officers employed are refugees and how many are non-refugees.

The Gadgil assurance was intended to give protection to the refugee concerns. But if a refugee turns out to be only an employer who is introduced in earning only, then that much of protection in the interests of refugee rehabilitation may not be asked for. That is why we would like to have these facts.

Mr. Chairman: The Committee will consider that later.

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : आप ने अभी बताया कि जिस वक्त आप अथारिटीज के पास जाते थे वह आप को लिखित कुछ नहीं दिया करते थे क्या आप अपनी तरफ से उन को कुछ लिखित देते थे ?

श्री लोचन सिंह : जी नहीं । हम ने उन को कोई चीज लिखित नहीं दी : पुलिस वाले हम को बुला कर ले जाते थे और बेरेट करती थे ।

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : सिर्फ जबानी जमा-खर्च था ? इस के बाद आप ने लिखा है कि लारेंस या ओखला या दूसरी जगह जाने के लिये उन्हों ने हम को ओरली कहा, क्या उस के बाद भी आप वहां जाने के लिये तैयार हैं या नहीं इस के बारे में उन को कुछ लिखा ?

श्री लोचन सिंह : हम ने लिख कर उन को कुछ नहीं दिया । हम ने यही कहा कि हम वहां नहीं जाना चाहते क्योंकि हमारी फैक्टरीज की पोजीशन ऐसी है कि शिफ्टिंग से हम को टोटली लौस होगा जिस को हम बीयर नहीं कर सकते ।

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : आप ने अपने मैमोरेन्डम में कहा है कि 1966 तक हम ने रेंट दिया है किस किस फैक्टरी ने दिया है ?

श्री लोचन सिंह : फ्रेण्ड्स आइस फैक्टरी ने 1966 तक दिया है ।

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : आप की चार कम्पनीज ने महावीर आइस फैक्टरी को छोड़ कर, क्या बाकी सब ग्राउन्ड रेंट देते चले आये हैं ?

श्री ईश्वर दास : हम सब लोग देते चले आये हैं ।

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : अगर आप देते रहे हैं तो क्या मनीआर्डर से भेजा है या चैक से भेजा है—क्या आप उन सारी चीजों का प्रूफ दे सकेंगे ?

श्री मोहन सिंह : जी हां । दे सकेंगे । आज कल नहीं दे रहे हैं ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : आप का जो एग्रीमेंट हुआ था, क्या उस में कोई ऐसा क्लाज था कि 18 साल के बाद आप या वह एक महीने के नोटिस पर छोड़ सकते हैं ।

श्री मोहन सिंह : जी नहीं ।

Mr. Chairman: At page 24 of the memorandum they have submitted today we find:

". . . I suggest that we should grant a lease for 40 years and charge premium in accordance with the prescribed rates but realise it in 40 annual instalments.".

Then, the second option is:

"...We should grant a lease of 9 years with option to the other party to renew it for another 9 years on the termination of which period the lease will be made perpetual and the premium may be realised.".

शायद आप इसी का सहारा ले रहे है 18 साल हो गये हैं अब हम प्रीमियम दे कर लीज परपीचुअल कर सकते हैं । "मे" का क्या इन्टरप्रटेशन आप "शल" कर रहे हैं । क्या दूसरी पार्टी मना नहीं कर सकती ?

Shri Ved Sayal: That is the position.

Shri Ishwer Lall: We were investing lakhs of rupees on the faith and understanding that the word 'may' is 'shall'. We would not have invested lakhs of rupees if there was going to be this controversy.

Mr. Chairman: Did you seek any clarification of it?

Shri Ishwer Lall: No, we did not seek; we relied completely on 'may' meaning 'shall'.

श्री मोहन सिंह : जब हम ने लैंड की ऐलाटमेंट के लिये एप्लीकेशन दी थी, तब हम को दफ्तर में बुलाया गया था, उस वक्त हम से यह कहा गया था कि यह 30 साल के लिये हैं । हम ने उस वक्त रिप्रेजेन्टेशन किया था कि हम लोग प्रीमियम नहीं दे सकते हैं, ऐसा कर दें कि 40 साल की इन्स्टालमेंट में ले लें । उन्हों ने कहा कि ऐसा नहीं हो सकता है, अगर आप इस को जल्दी कराना चाहते हैं तो ऐसा रहने दें, 9 साल के बाद परपीचुअल कर देंगे । उस वक्त मीटिंग में यह बात तय हुई थी ।

श्री अमर सिंह साहनल : क्या यह सब राइटिंग में है ?

श्री मोहन सिंह : राइटिंग में नहीं है ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : उसके "मे" का जवाब तो आपके डाक्युमेंट की कापी बतलायेगी, अगर वह आपके पास है तो उसे पढ़ दीजिये, अगर आप उसे चेयरमैन साहब को नहीं दे सकते तो पढ़ दीजिये कि "मे" है या "शल" ।

सभापति महोदय : वह तो "मे" है । क्या इस का यह मतलब है कि अगर दूसरी पार्टी न चाहे तो भी हो सकता है ? क्या आप के लिये उस में आप्शन नहीं है ? अगर आप के लिये आप्शन है कि 18 साल के बाद अगर आप चाहें, तो उस से निकल सकते हैं, तो आप गवर्नमेंट को उस आप्शन को कैसे डिनाई कर सकते हैं ?

श्री मोहन सिंह : हम ने ऐसी आप्शन की बात नहीं सोची थी, अगर ऐसा सोचते तो वहां पर कोई फैक्टरी इंस्टाल नहीं करते ।

सभापति महोदय : इस में गैडगिल ऐक्वोरेंस तो नहीं आती है ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : इस वक्त दो बातें सोचनी हैं, अगर ये गैडगिल ऐक्वोरेंस के नीचे आते हैं तब तो ऐक्वोरेंस कमेटी इन की मदद कर सकती है, लेकिन अगर यह

मामला ऐसा है जिस का कोर्ट को फैसला करना है, यानी "मे" के मायने "शल" हैं या क्या हैं, तो फिर यह मामला कोर्ट के पास जाना चाहिये ।

सभापति महोदय : गाडगिल एमोरेंस दिये जाने के पहले इन का सरकार के साथ एक करार हुआ था 1947 में । गाडगिल एमोरेंस 1950 में दी गई थी । उस के बाद वह एग्रीमेंट रिन्यू किया गया, अब उस एग्रीमेंट के हिसाब से इनका कन्टेन्शन है कि गवर्नमेंट बंधी हुई है परपीचुअल लीज के लिये यह मामला अदालत में तय हो सकता। एमोरेंसेज कमेटी के लिये इस को तय करना मुश्किल है ?

Shri Ved Sayal: With your permission, I would like to restate the position because it is slightly complicated. Just now, the case of Mahabir Ice Mills was mentioned. This concern was granted a lease for 20 years by the Delhi Improvement Trust, with an option to renew it for a further period of 20 years, that means, for 40 years and possibly more, but the DDA have been asking them to go, ignoring the fact that they have an inherent right to renew the lease at their option for a further period of 20 years. Mahavir Ice Mill is being forced out though they hold good legal document as the other four factories. To my mind it is not the payment of rent which is important here. What is important is that we were settled under a definite scheme. If another bigger scheme comes up, then the older scheme should not be ignored. This is what is exactly being done. Mahavir Ice Mill has been paying rent upto 1968. Still they are the first one which is being pressurised and threatened that their lease will be terminated. The position is more of having been settled under a definite plan and then a supervening plan comes and the older plan is ignored. The reason is that this area is being shown as green belt and some over-zealous people do not want these factories to remain there.

Shri S. R. Rane: I am asking about the Crystal Ice factory. When does your lease expire?

Shri Ishwer Lall: In 1966.

Shri S. R. Rane: According to your own estimate, what are the arrears from you to any authority?

Shri Ishwer Lall: From February 1966 up-to-date we have paid.

Shri S. R. Rane: Till the date of the expiry, you have paid all the rent.

Shri Ishwer Lall: Upto the date of expiry of the lease, I have paid all the rent.

Shri S. R. Rane: Now you are not paying nor you are vacating?

Shri Ishwer Lall: The land development office procedure is that we have to apply for a challan and they send us a challan.

Shri S. R. Rane: What about Kumar Ice Factory? No arrears due from you?

Shri Ishwer Lall: Kumar Ice Factory has paid all its dues till 1963 or 1964. Two years' rent may be due and we are prepared to pay it.

Shri S. R. Rane: What about Jumna Ice Factory?

Shri Prithvi Raj: According to the agreement we have made the payments upto 1966. According to lease of perpetuity, we were to pay the premium, not rent. We were prepared to pay the premium. We offered the premium.

Shri S. R. Rane: The authorities did not accept the rent after the expiration of the period.

Shri Prithvi Raj: There was no rent to be paid after 1966. We offered the premium amount.

Shri S. R. Rane: You please see page 120—para 4 of your first memorandum. It is said there that the leases cannot be terminated unless the lessee fails to make payment of the dues as agreed to in the lease deed or the lessor requires the land for a specific public purpose. The Development Authority requires this land for a public purpose. Or, is it for some other purpose?

Shri Prithvi Raj: They are not the lessor.

Shri S. R. Rane: Is it a public purpose or not?

Shri Prithvi Raj: We are not informed of the purpose.

Shri S. R. Rane: What other purpose can it be when they acquire the land? If it is required for a public purpose, you have to vacate it. Is it not a condition in the lease deed?

Shri Prithvi Raj: It is a condition.

Shri S. R. Rane: That is right. You do not pay and you do not vacate.

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : यह प्लान 8 जुलाई, 1960 को पब्लिश हुई थी। आप को इस की खबर कब मिली कि यह प्लान पब्लिश हो गई है और आब्जेक्शन्स इन्वाइट किये गये हैं? क्या आप ने उन के पास आब्जेक्शन्स भेजे?

सभापति महोदय : अभी उन्होंने जवाब दिया है कि प्लैन पब्लिश होने के एक दम बाद उन्होंने आब्जेक्शन्स भेजे। मैं ने कहा है कि वह आब्जेक्शन्स की कापी दे दें। अगर कापी आज या कल सवेरे तक आ जाये तो अच्छा है क्योंकि कल गवर्नमेंट की तरफ से लोग आयेंगे।

श्री लोचन सिंह : हमें यह ऐज ए० रिफ्यूजी अलाटमेंट हुआ था और हम ऐज ए रिफ्यूजी आये भी थे। वहां पर भी हमारे बरफखाने थे और यहां भी हैं। लेकिन अब हम को यह लोग उखाड़ना चाहते हैं। पं० ठाकुर दास भार्गव ने भी यह कहा था कि इस तरह से ऐडमिनिस्ट्रेशन वाले कर रहे हैं। उस वक्त गाडगिल साहब ने एश्योरेंस दिया था कि जिन के कंस्ट्रक्शन 1960 के पहले के हैं उन के बारे में प्लैन को इस तरह से माडिफाई किया जायेगा कि उन लोगों को कम से कम उखाड़ा जाये। इस के लिये ही हम लोग आप की शरण में आये हैं क्योंकि ऐसी कोई प्लैन नहीं है कि हमको दुबारा रिफ्यूजी माना जाये। पहले जब हम लोग आये थे तब हम लोगों के पास पैसा था, एनर्जी थी। हम लोगों ने अपने रिसोर्सेज पूल कर के काम शुरू किया। 12-13 साल के कम्पिटीशन में हम कुछ जमा नहीं पाये। अब जब उस का मौका आया है तब हम को उखाड़ा जा रहा है। श्री गाडगिल ने हम को कवर करने के लिये ऐश्योरेंस दिया था। अब आप हम को बचाइये। हम को रिफ्यूजी होने की वजह से ही प्लाट दिये गये थे और उसी हैसियत से हम ने प्लाट लिये भी थे।

सभापति महोदय : मुश्किल यह है कि आप सरकार के साथ ऐसा करार कर चुके हैं कि अगर पब्लिक परपज के लिये जमीन लेनी हो तो ली जा सकती है।

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : 45 मिनट के बाद अब उन्होंने एक बात कही है जिस के लिये वह हमारे सामने आये हैं। हम गाडगिल एश्योरेंस के बारे में बराबर कहते रहे हैं। अब हमें आप यह बतलाइये कि क्या गाडगिल एश्योरेंस में यह भी था कि आल्टरनेटिव जगह दी जानी चाहिये। नारायणा के लोगों की बात तो हमारी समझ में आती है कि उन का बिजिनेस खराब हो जायेगा क्योंकि चीजों को तैयार कर के वह वहां पर रिटेल का काम करते हैं। लेकिन आप साहबान के पास तो आइस फैक्ट्रीज वगैरह का काम है, जो कि बड़े पैमाने पर होता है। यह जरूरी नहीं है कि आप को पास में ही जगह दी जाये। शहर के अन्दर

चाहे बरफ आठ मील से आये, चाहे चार मील से आये, चाहे बारह मील से आये। इस का कोई फर्क नहीं पड़ता।

श्री लोचन सिंह : हमारी गुजारिश यह है कि जो हमारे प्लांट हैं उनको आप मौके पर जा कर देखें कि क्या उनको शिफ्ट करना पासिबल है। बिल्डिंग के अन्दर ही टैंक बने हुए हैं। प्लान के मुताबिक बिल्डिंग की कंस्ट्रक्शन है। अगर प्लांट को शिफ्ट किया जाता है तो इसका मतलब होगा कि जितना हमारा इनवेस्टमेंट है उसका 80 परसेंट लास हमें उठाना पड़ेगा। फिर आप जानते ही हैं कि आइस मेल्ट होती है। कैसे उसको दस मील दूर से लाया जा सकता है।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : क्या दिल्ली में जितनी आइस फैक्ट्रीज हैं उन सब को गवर्नमेंट रिमूव करना चाहती है और अगर करना चाहती है तो जो उनके रास्ते में अड़चनें आएंगी वे आपके रास्ते में भी आएंगी, जो उनको नुकसान होगा, वह आपको भी होगा।

श्री लोचन सिंह : यह ठीक है कि सब का नुकसान होगा। लेकिन हमारी शिकायत यह है कि अभी तक हम को ही सिंगल आउट किया गया है। बाकी किसी को कुछ नहीं कहा जा रहा है।

सभापति महोदय : उनको भी रिमूव किया जाए तो आपको कोई एतराज नहीं होगा।

श्री लोचन सिंह : इस वक्त तो हमारे साथ डिसक्रिमिनेशन किया जा रहा है। दूर से बरफ लाने में जो मार्किट हमारी है उसको हम लूज कर लेंगे।

सभापति महोदय : दिल्ली में आज दस मील दूर से बरफ लाना ऐसा नहीं है कि जिसको बहुत दूर कहा जा सकता है। लेकिन वह अलग सवाल है।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : क्या सब को हटायेंगे? रिफ्यूजीज, नान-रिफ्यूजीज सब को हटायेंगे? अगर सब को हटायेंगे तो क्या सब को एक जगह पर स्थान दिया जा रहा है या अलग अलग जगहों पर स्थान दिया जा रहा है?

श्री लोचन सिंह : उनको कुछ नहीं कहा गया है। हमें ही सिंगल आउट किया गया है।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : रुपया जो आपने लगाया था वह कर्ज लिया था या अपना था, बैंकों से लिया था या नहीं लिया था और क्या वह सारा आपने पे बैक कर दिया है।

श्री लोचन सिंह : जेवर बेचे, कर्जा भी लिया, घर से भी लगाया।

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : आपके इलाके में और भी जो बिल्डिंग्ज हैं क्या उनको भी वहां से हटाया जा रहा है या आपको ही हटाया जा रहा है?

श्री लोचन सिंह : उनके बारे में तो हमें कोई इल्म नहीं है। अभी तक तो कोई नोटिस नहीं दिये गये हैं।

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : क्या उनका लीज परमानेंट है?

श्री लोचन सिंह : इसका हमें इल्म नहीं है।

सभापति महोदय : लीज पर हैं या नहीं यह भी पता नहीं है।

श्री पृथ्वी राज : मेरी आप से गुजारिश है कि आप वहां जा कर देखें। वहां बौद्ध विहार के पास एक नई बिल्डिंग बन रही है। हमारी फैक्ट्री के वह बिल्कुल पास है। वह एक महीने से बन रही है और खूब तेजी से बन रही है।

श्री लोचन सिंह : आप वहां जा कर देखें। हम को वहां पर एज रिफ्यूजीज बसाया गया

था। लोकल जो लोग हैं उनको कुछ नहीं कह रहे हैं। लोकल शहर में हैं। हम को ही हटाया जा रहा है।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : मौके पर जा कर हम इसको देख लें।

सभापति महोदय : इसको हम तय कर लेंगे।

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : बहुत सी वहां पर बिल्डिन्ग्ज हैं, उनको क्यों नहीं हटाया जा रहा है ?

सभापति महोदय : अलग-अलग चीज को देखना पड़ेगा।

श्री लोचन सिंह : महावीर की जो लीज है वह एक्सपायर नहीं हुई है। उसको भी कह रहे हैं कि जाओ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : क्या किसी फैक्ट्री ने प्रीमियम पे किया है या नहीं किया है ?

श्री लोचन सिंह : इसका एक प्रोसीजर होता है। वहां से चालान बन कर आता है। हमने उनको कहा था कि चालान बना कर बतायें कि कितना प्रीमियम है और क्या-क्या एडजस्टमैंट्स होनी है। तीन चालान के फार्म होते हैं। उसका रुपया ट्रेजरी में जमा करवाना होता है। उसकी एक कापी अपने पास हम रखते हैं और दो उनको वापिस दे देते हैं ?

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : किसी ने प्रीमियम पे किया है या नहीं ?

श्री लोचन सिंह : जी नहीं।

सभापति महोदय : जो कागज वगैरह आपको भेजने के लिए कहा गया है उनको आप कल सवेरे तक भेज दें तो अच्छा होगा।

आपका धन्यवाद।

(The witnesses then withdrew)

The Committee then adjourned.

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES

MINUTES OF EVIDENCE GIVEN BEFORE THE COMMITTEE

Saturday, the 5th October, 1968 at 09.20 hours

PRESENT

Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee—*Chairman.*

MEMBERS

2. Shri Maharaj Singh Bharati
3. Shri Abdul Ghani Dar
4. Shri Narendra Ramchandraji Deoghare
5. Kumari Kamla Kumari
6. Hazi Lutfal Haque
7. Shri Paokai Haokip
8. Shri S. R. Rane
9. Shri A. S. Saigal
10. Shrimati Savitri Shyam
11. Maulana Ishaq Sambhali
12. Shri Ramchandra Ulaka.

SECRETARIAT

Shri M. C. Chawla—*Deputy Secretary.*

WITNESSES EXAMINED

I. Ministry of Health, Family Planning and Urban Development:—

(1) Shri M. G. Pimputkar—*Addl. Secretary.*
(2) Shri S. N. Varma—*Deputy Secretary.*

II. Ministry of Works, Housing and Supply:—

(1) Shri P. Prabhakar Rao—*Joint Secretary.*

(2) Shri Prakash Narain—*Land and Development Officer.*

III. Delhi Administration:—

(1) Shri Jagmohan—*Secretary* (*L & B*), *Delhi Administration.*

Reg: Representation received from the Bela Road Refugee Ice Factories Association, 20, Upper Bela Road, Delhi 6, seeking relief under the "Gadgil Assurances" given in Lok Sabha on the 29th September, 1951.

(*The witnesses were called in and they took their seats*).

Mr. Chairman: We have in our midst some hon'ble guests from Madras. They are our counterparts in the Madras Assembly—Members of the Committee on Government assurances in Madras Legislative Assembly. They are visiting us today. On my left is Thiru K. Vinayakam, Chairman of the Madras Committee. We accord them hearty welcome. They are here to have an exchange of views and I have requested them to be present at this meeting so that they can see how our Committee functions and

after that we will sit together and have an exchange of views. Now I will request Mr. Vinayakam to introduce his other colleagues to the members of the Committee.

[Mr. Vinayakam introduced the following Members of the Committee on Government Assurances (1968-69) Madras Legislative Assembly]:

Thiru Alagamuthu, Chief Whip of the ruling DMK Party, Madras Assembly

Thiru Velladurai, a very prominent Member of the DMK Party

Thiru Kesavalu, a very popular young member of our Group belonging to DMK

Thiru Sowdi S. Sundaraberathi, MLA belonging to Swatantra Party

Thiru Kumaraswamy, MLA belonging to PSP

Thiru M. K. D. Natarajan, a very jovial member of DMK Party

Thiru K. Bhujanga Rao, Deputy Secretary, Madras Assembly.

Mr. Chairman: Mr. Pimputkar, Mr. Bose Mullick was to come.

Shri Jagmohan: I am representing DDA because I am also Commissioner for implementation of DDA projects.

Mr. Chairman: Mr. Pimputkar, you must have seen the memorandum submitted by some Ice Factory owners, owners of factories situated on the Bela Road. Yesterday they appeared before us and submitted an additional memorandum. We have passed on that memorandum to you. The Committee has also seen the replies given by the Ministry of Works, Housing and Supply. They say that it is the responsibility of the Ministry of Health to deal with these cases. In your reply you have stated that the Ice Facory owners were given an opportunity to file objections when the Master Plan was published, but they did not avail of the opportunity. But, yesterday when we cross-examined the factory owners they said that they had filed objections but no heed was paid to them. What is the actual position in this regard?

Shri Pimputkar: Possibly there is some misunderstanding in that our comments conveyed a different idea than what we intended. We said that the Ice Factory owners in question were given ample opportunity to file objections and get justice. Opportunity refers to both being heard and getting justice. At the time we wrote our comments we did not have a complete picture as to whether they had appeared before the DDA or whether the DDA had done anything. It was obvious to us from discussions that they had not appeared or if they had appeared their claim was considered. That is so, even according to the details they have given in the representation. After getting the comments of the Delhi Administration, we find that an objection was filed by them on 27th September, 1960. The Screening Board decided it on the 3rd May, 1961. They rather made a suitable recommendation to the authority who decided it. The Screening Board, Sir, does not consist of officials; the Screening Board consists of two Members of Parliament, the Municipal Commissioner, one Municipal Councillor, one engineer member of the Board and some co-opted members. Their *modus operandi* was that they used to hear persons about their objections, if any, and see the sites if necessary. After doing this they made a recommendation. In this case they recommended that this area should be kept green. Particularly, they thought that the river bank was not a suitable place for ice factories. They decided that the ice factories at Bela Road must be removed, as the river bank was not a suitable place for them. The Authority desired that the land along Bela Road should be developed for recreational purposes

according to the general layout plan. It will appear from this that there was an objection, the objection was considered by the screening board and on that the Delhi Development Authority took a decision on the 3rd May, 1961.

Mr. Chairman: Did you receive objections from all the factories or some factories?

Shri Pimputkar: From the representatives of the Association, Sir.

Mr. Chairman: Did you convey this result of your enquiry to the factory owners?

Shri Pimputkar: Sir, it is very difficult to say exactly what happened in a particular case. But my colleagues tell me that in such cases the procedure is that the objectors are informed about the decision. My colleague will explain.

Shri Jagmohan: The position, Sir, is that all those objectors were given a personal opportunity for hearing. The usual practice was that a letter was sent to them for personal hearing before the decision was taken. Apart from that Master Plan, the Screening Board and the DDA worked under the provisions of the Delhi Development Act, and the Delhi Development Act laid down the procedure to be followed in such cases. The draft Plan in this area was published for general information of the public that the land in this area is going to be green. After that, the final plan was published that this was the final document. So everybody gets an opportunity. A notification is issued. This is the position.

Mr. Chairman: In your reply to the committee you have stated that the Gadgil Assurance in this regard is being really implemented, as a suitable land is being offered to these factories at fixed rates in the Lawrence Road Industrial Area. Have you made any firm offer or it is all vague?

Shri Jagmohan: Actually, Sir, the position is like this. We did not want to throw this factory away. We told them, "we are going to implement this scheme and we have already removed 3,500 jhugies and other factories from this area and that your factories alone remain by virtue of the stay order of the court. Will you kindly co-operate with us and help us in implementing this scheme?" They told us "kindly leave us alone for six months, i.e. for the summer season, and thereafter we would shift and you may kindly give us a plot of land which is suitable for us." We said "All right, so long as you shift from this area. We will be prepared to give you a suitable plot of land, but you would not be given any extension beyond six months. Actually, they met the Lt. Governor and the Lt. Governor agreed to give them six months time, for summer season, on the specific condition that they would voluntarily shift after this period is over. Then I personally called them and suggested that we would make available a plot of land under the Master Plan on the Lawrence Road, where we have the Britania Biscuit Factory, the Modern Bakery and also other industries; that is the area reserved for them. Probably they wanted a plot on the G. T. (Karnal) Road. We said that we would change; whatever suits them we would give. We asked them to come and discuss with us. They did not make any application. Only discussions took place. They also went to the court. A letter was also sent to them saying that such and such land is offered to you. The terms and conditions were not settled. This is the final position. If they want some other place, we are prepared to give them even now. At fixed rates, we will give them.

Mr. Chairman: Did they really ask for 6 months?

Shri Jagmohan: Yes. Because of the summer season, they said if they were asked to shift, they would not be able to produce and supply ice.

Mr. Chairman: Is there anything in writing?

Shri Jagmohan: There is a record of discussions. They had sent a representation to the Lt. Governor. We have been telling them, 'kindly shift'. They went to court. In the court, they petitioned in writing. We said in the court they had no case, and the court dismissed the petition.

Mr. Chairman: The Ministry's reply does not contain these facts, that they wanted 6 months and were allowed to stay for 6 months.

Shri Jagmohan: Because they did not specifically put it in writing in their memorandum, probably it was not mentioned. The Ministry's reply covered points raised in the memorandum.

Mr. Chairman: They deny that a firm offer was made to them.

Shri Jagmohan: Our reply is that because of the legal complications, we just cannot send a letter. But we have given an undertaking.

Mr. Chairman: Could you furnish details of the discussion that took place between the factory owners and the Delhi administration.

Shri Jagmohan: Yes. The main points were two, though other subsidiary points were also there. They said they should be given lands according to their choice. Then they would be paid compensation for the structures put up. As regards land, I have explained. We said they could go from this place to wherever it is permissible under the law and we will give them land according to their choice. We have also allowed certain concessions by way of payment of instalments etc.

As regards compensation, w said this is a legal matter. The lease document has expired. They asked us to consult legal opinion. We did. It was not in their favour. Nevertheless, we said that their claim could be settled by an independent arbitrator in terms of the lease. We are not interested in denying justice. We said we would, if they liked, appoint the Judicial Secretary of the Delhi Administration or District Judge or in terms of the lease, the Lt. Governor to adjudicate and if any compensation is awarded, we would certainly pay. That is the position even now. They themselves demanded an arbitration by the Lt. Governor at one stage. We stand by that. We are mainly interested in implementing our development scheme.

Mr. Chairman: They say under the conditions in which the lease was signed it was for 9 years; then it was to be renewed for a further 9 years then they say Government bound itself to give them a perpetual lease.

Shri Jagmohan: These are points for the legal people to decide. According to the legal opinion obtained by us, they have no right.

These lands were given for a period of 9 years; when that period expired, no contract subsisted. Regarding their claim that Government committed itself, it is not correct because there is a clause which says that Government can take it back when it is required for a public purpose. We did not want to get into legal arguments. We told them 'If you have any right against Government you can claim compensation which can be determined in a quasi-judicial manner'.

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : मिनिस्ट्री ने अपने रेप्लाई में कहा है कि गाडगिल एक्लोरेंसिज सिर्फ रेजीडेंशल परपजिज के लिए मुकर्रर मकानात को कवर करते हैं कामर्शल परपजिज के मकानात वगैरह को नहीं। अगर किसी जगह कोई फैक्ट्री हो और उस के साथ मैनेजर का रेजीडेंस, सर्वेंट्स क्वार्टर्ज और स्टाफ रेजीडेंशिज हों तो गाडगिल एक्लोरेंसिज क्यों न उन को भी कवर करें ?

Shri Pimputkar: The Ministry of Works and Housing who are dealing with this clearly stated that the earlier assurance related to residences only, but we did not want to take our stand on that. We go further and say—as Shri Jagmohan explained—that we should look into the spirit of the assurances. These, really statedfi are that *as far as possible*, DPs would not be disturbed again. But when a Master Plan is being thought of and objections are invited, and objections based on the assurances are put forward, the Screening Board naturally goes through all these procedures. This shows that there was impartiality in consideration of the matter whether these structures should be removed or not. The assurance went further to say that if there are circumstances in which the structures should be removed, they should be given alternate land and possibly compensation. On both points, the Delhi Administration has agreed—that is alternate land to their liking and compensation decided by an independent arbitrator.

सभापति महोदय : सरकार ने अपने जवाब में कहा था कि गाडगिल एशोरेंसिज खाली रिहायशी मकानात पर लागू होती हैं कमर्शल एस्टाब्लिसमेंट पर नहीं। लेकिन अब आप जो जवाब दे रहे हैं उस से ऐसा लगता है कि सरकार यह पोजीशन लेने के लिए तैयार है कि ये आइस फैक्टरीज गाडगिल एशोरेंसिज से कवर होती हैं।

Shri Prabhakar Rao: This august body has already gone into the entire gamut of the Gadgil assurances, the very spirit of it. I was privileged to appear before the Committee earlier also. All along the spirit of the assurance was stressed by Government—not that in a specific instance we try to split hairs and consider whether it applied to residence or not. A specific question is raised: if there is an industry and attached to it, there are a few residential houses, will you say that we keep the residences but shift the industry?

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : आपने अपने जवाब में यह बतलाया है कि गाडगिल एशोरेंसिज सिर्फ रेजीडेंशल परपजिज़ के मकानात को कवर करती हैं। मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि किसी कमर्शल एस्टाब्लिशमेंट या फैक्टरी के साथ जो मैंढ जर्ज रेजीडेंशल क्वेटर्स क्वार्टर्ज और स्टाफ क्वार्टर्सिज वगैरह हों उन को गाडगिल एशोरेंसिज क्यों नहीं कवर कह सकते ?

श्री प्रभाकर राव : गाडगिल एश्योरेंस में यही कहा गया था कि अगर हम किसी को शिफ्ट करें तो निअरेस्ट प्लेस आफ बिजिनेस पर करें। उस का मतलब यह है कि . . .

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : मेरा सवाल बिल्कुल सीधा सादा है कि जब आप रेजिडेंशल परपज के लिये कहते हैं तब जो फैक्ट्रीज या कमर्शल इस्टेब्लिमेंट्स इस तरह की हैं जिन के साथ काफी तादाद में रेजिडेंशल क्वार्टर्स हैं उन को आप कवर क्यों नहीं करते ?

श्री प्रभाकर राव : जब वह लोग कमर्शल इस्टैब्लिशमेंट्स ही नहीं रख सकते तब वहां पर रेजिडेंशल क्वार्टर कैसे रह सकते हैं। जब कामर्शल इस्टैब्लिशमेंट्स शिफ्ट होते हैं तब वहां रेजिडेंशल क्वाटर रहने का कोई मतलब ही नहीं है।

Shri A. S. Saigal: Your representative, while giving evidence before this Committee in the Motia Khan case, elucidated that these 37 people who were small factory-owners were definitely covered under the Gadgil assurance. Please see page 5 of the Third Report of the Government Assurances Committee, para 13. If so, how do you say now that the present petitioners are not covered by the Gadgil assurance?

Mr. Chairman: Because of the original reply given by the Ministry, these questions have arisen.

Shri Prabhakar Rao: We are not trying to take any firm stand between any items or aspect of it.

Shri A. S. Saigal: Then I can say that the reply which you have just now given is incomplete. The evidence which you gave on that occasion is here.

Mr. Chairman: They said that commercial establishments are not covered by the Gadgil assurances.

Shri Prabhakar Rao: On Motia Khan, we took the line that we are going beyond the letter of the assurances and trying to accommodate them. Motia Khan has a certain background.

Mr. Chairman: Then, the question may arise as to why you cannot show accommodation here also.

Shri Pimputkar: That is how we started.

Shri A. S. Saigal: Have you examined the point that the petitioners are refugees from Pakistan and were allotted this land by the Notified Area Committee as refugees?

Shri Prabhakar Rao: Yes, Sir.

Shri Pimputkar: Even in this case we said that we are not sticking to that particular interpretation. We are going further and we are trying to satisfy all the conditions given in the assurances, namely, alternative land and compensation. We are trying to accommodate like that in this case also.

Shri Jagmohan: I would like to say a few words in elaboration of what has been stated here. The position is that when the Master Plan was formulated —let us keep the Gadgil assurances apart now—we were alive to the hardships that are caused consequent to the shifting. As a part of our scheme, we have formulated a plan to see that anybody who has been subsisting in a place before the operation of the Master Plan and who is now required to shift in consequence of the provisions of the Master Plan is given all possible assistance in going and rehabilitating at the new site. Otherwise, all such persons would have taken only compensation. But we have developed the land for them and provided them with all the amenities which the Municipality or the Master Plan requires. Thereafter, we tell them that we have developed this land and they may go to the new site. We give them the land at cost price; and they need not go to public auction and buy the land. So, we are—irrespective of the fact whether they are covered by the Gadgil assurances or not—adopting a policy which gives relief that was intended by the assurances. Even with regard to the industrial establishments, which are really profit-making agencies in the real sense, and where normally the allotment should have been made on a commercial basis, we are giving them land at a fixed rate. So, we are really doing that for which the Committee is anxious, without going into the technical aspect.

Shri A. S. Saigal: Is not earning of a livelihood as important as or even more important than finding a place of residence?

Shri Jagmohan: It is as much important as that, and the position is, when we shift an industry, we give the provisions for all ancillary things such as staff etc. We have residential colonies in the neighbourhood, for labourers and others. We are giving facilities.

Shri A. S. Saigal: Have the Delhi Improvement Trust drafted a proper Bela Road scheme under which the allotment of land was made to the petitioners?

Shri Jagmohan: Actually, there is a record that the Notified Area Committee asked for the opinion of the Improvement Trust and the latter opposed it. They gave an opinion only from the point of view of planning, saying that it is not proper to

have four or five factories at this stage. But this was a minor advice given in the day-to-day routine. The Notified Area Committee said that they should settle it and it was agreed to by the Chief Commissioner. We were taking present action only for these factories but for everything which had come into existence prior to the enforcement of the Master Plan. The authorities had decided that it was in the public interest to do this and bring about these changes. In those changes, nobody would like to be shifted, but then, if a road had to be widened and a river front has to be constructed, somebody will have to move.

Shri A. S. Saigal: These conversations that took place between you and the associations were all only oral. It is not in writing. You say that there were some difficulties in putting them in writing.

Shri Jagmohan: The reason was that they had gone to the court. Normally, the procedure is, the person has to fill the application form and deposit a certain amount of money as security. I am now stating all this in my evidence, and so, what can be a greater commitment than this on behalf of the Government.

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : इस एरिया के लिये मास्टर प्लैन के अलावा कोई जोनल प्लैन भी तैयार की गई है ?

श्री जगमोहन : मास्टर प्लैन तो एक बाड प्लैन है। उसके बाद दिल्ली की सारी प्लैन जोन्स में डिवाइडेड है। मास्टर प्लैन के बाद हर एक जोन के लिये एक डिटेल्ड प्लैन होती है। जोनल प्लैन में बतलाया जाता है कि ग्रीन बेल्ट कहां होगी कहां पर सड़क होगी कहां पर लान होगा कहां निगम बोध एक्स्टेंशन के लिये जगह दी जायेगी। इसके बाद हर एक इंडिविजुअल स्कीम के लिये एक ले आउट होता है उसका सैक्शन वगैरह होता है।

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : मैं तो सिर्फ यह जानना चाहता हूं कि कोई जोनल प्लैन तैयार है या नहीं ? अगर तैयार है तो मेहरबानी कर के आप उसकी एक कापी कमेटी को दे दीजिये।

सभापति महोदय : आप यह भी पूछ लीजिये कि खाली फैक्ट्री को हटाना है या मकानों को भी हटाने का इरादा है।

श्री जगमोहन : आप को ख्याल होगा कि जब आप रिंग रोड से गुजरते हैं तो जिस एरिया को यमुना बाजार एरिया कहते हैं, मंकी ब्रिज से लेकर वजीराबाद बैराज तक जो एरिया है उसमें करीब साढ़े तीन हजार झुग्गियां थीं। इसके अलावा वहां पर कोई पचास छोटी बड़ी फैक्ट्रियां हैं। उन सबको हटाने का प्रोग्राम है। वास्तव में सब हट गई हैं। रेजिडेंशल भी हट गई हैं।

सभापति महोदय : सब नहीं हटी हैं।

श्री जगमोहन : जो इन्डस्ट्रीज नहीं हटी हैं उनमें छः या सात बड़े स्ट्रक्चर हैं जिनके लिये हाईकोर्ट से स्टे आर्डर है। लेकिन स्टे आर्डर भी प्रेजुअली वेकेट हो रहे हैं। इंडिया फ्लोर मिल जो कम से कम चारगुनी बड़ी थी, उसको भी हटा दिया गया।

Mr. Chairman: Those who can afford to go to the High Court are still there.

श्री जगमोहन : वह भी गये थे। हमें यह भी देखना चाहिए कि जो लोग जमुना बाजार से उठे, वे नजदीक ही सिलमपुर में ले जाये गये और जिन लोगों ने दो-तीन साल कोर्ट प्रोसीडिंग्स में लगा दिये, उनको दूर जाना पड़ रहा है।

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : इस एरिया में जो लोग खुद हट गये, उनके अलावा

क्या आपने और लोगों को भी उठाने के लिए नोटिस दिये राइटिंग में ?

श्री जगमोहन : हर एक केस के इन्डिविडुअल मेरिट्स होते हैं। कुछ डोटली अनएथोराइज्ड हैं, जिनको नोटिस देने की जरूरत नहीं है। कुछ लोगों का लीज था, जो कि एक्सपायर हो गया है।

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : मेरी इत्तिला यह है कि वहां पर जो और बिल्डिंग्स खड़ी हैं, उनको हटाने के लिए कोई नोटिस नहीं दिया गया है । इतना ही नहीं वहां पर एक और बड़ी बिल्डिंग खड़ी हो रही है जिसको हटाना तो दरकिनार उसको रोकने के लिए भी कोई कार्यवाही नहीं की गई है।

Shri Jagmohan: No construction is allowed in this area which we have declared green. The building you are referring to is the new wall which is to extend the Nigambodh ghat, the cremation ground which is in a bad shape. After clearing this area, we are adding some area to this. That is part of our development project.

Mr. Chairman: They informed the Committee that some multi-storeyed building is coming near Budha Vihar.

Shri Jagmohan: Budha Vihar is a little far away. There are some temples. Only temple land was there. One temple is now being constructed and its building plan was sanctioned. That is not affecting the river front scheme.

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : जिन लोगों ने रिप्रेजेन्टेशन किया है उनके अलावा वहां पर और जो बिल्डिंग्स हैं क्या उनको हटाने के लिए एडमिनिस्ट्रेशन ने कोई कार्यवाही की है? अगर की है, तो क्या ?

Shri Jagmohan: I shall send you a note giving each case and indicate the position against each case. These persons have gone to the High Court against action taken by us. When they got stay orders, they are claiming relief against what we are doing. I shall give the position with regard to each case.

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : जहां तक हम को इत्तिला दी गई है कोई भी चीज राइटिंग में नहीं है। इस में क्या मसलहत समझी जाती है कि पार्टीज को कोई आर्डर या एडवाइस राइटिंग में नहीं दी जाती है और न ही आप पार्टी से कुछ राइटिंग में लेते हैं ताकि वे और आप कमिटिड रहें और दोनों को सरटेन्टी रहे ? क्या आइन्दा ऐसा किया जायेगा ?

Shri Jagmohan: We have made a general scheme and announced it long back through the Press and other media and we also invited applications from those who were required to shift in consequence of the provision of the master plan. Some people have not applied; they did not want to shift. They have come to us and we told them; please fill in the prescribed application form. If my legal adviser says: do not enter into any correspondence because that will weaken your position, I have to act on the advice of the legal adviser.

Shri S. R. Rane: I think you must have got copies of their statements. You should also have given your comments in writing to the court in your written statements. Would you please send us copies of that as also the judgments?

Shri Jagmohan: I shall give you copies of their petitions and our comments on that. We have replied to their various points. But in their judgment the Court said that these are without cause of action, that Government had not done anything and therefore there was no cause of action.

But we shall send copies of whatever we have.

Shri S. R. Rane: Can you give us details about the arrears of rent, factory-wise?

Shri Prabhakar Rao: The Crystal ice factory has to pay at the rate of Rs. 375 per month from 1st May 1963; they have paid only upto 30th April, 1963 this is the revised rate as against the original ground rent of Rs. 300. The Friends' Ice factory has to pay at the rate of Rs. 312.60 per month (revised rate) from 1-5-63; Kumar Ice factory at Rs. 250 per month from the same date. The Jamuna factory has to pay at the rate of Rs. 312.50 per month but the exact date from which it is due is not with me just now, I shall give it later.

Shri S. R. Rane: You can submit the details later on. In their statement they have said that they will have to vacate the lands if it is required for a public purpose. I want to know whether this was incorporated in the first lease deed and second lease deed. Was it for public purpose?

Shri Jagmohan: Yes, Sir.

Shri A. S. Saigal: As administrator and head of an authority charged with the development of the capital of this country, do you think that consistency in Government policies is essential for maintaining the faith of the people in the rule of law? If so, is it not the duty of the DDA, being successors of the Improvement Trust, to honour their commitments, promises and schemes? If so, does not the Delhi Development Act also require the DDA to do so?

Shri Jagmohan: We do take care to be consistent, but if the circumstances change, the Government has to have a dynamic policy, particularly in Delhi where conditions have been changing very rapidly after 1947. We found that we were not changing with the requirements of the situation. Therefore, we had to remove the oddities. We did not make any change simply to cause inconvenience to the people. There are pros and cons—individual hardship and public interest. General public interest must override individual hardship. If the Ring Road has to be widened, the factories had to be shifted.

Shri A. S. Saigal: Have these petitioners offered to cooperate with you in any beautification scheme if drawn by the DDA and if so, is the DDA prepared to reconsider their plan and try to accommodate these factories which do not otherwise occupy very big areas in the proposed green belt?

Shri Jagmohan: This aspect has been gone into in great detail. If it was possible to accommodate them, we would not have done what we have done. These factories have to be removed not only from the point of view of the development of the green belt but for widening the Ring Road for traffic safety. We are building a boulevard on the road and this was approved by the Cabinet and Parliament when the Master Plan was made.

Shri A. S. Saigal: Is it not fact that the inter-State bus stand has been built after modifying the DDA plan?

Shri Jagmohan: The inter-State bus stand is on the western side of the Ring Road, but these factories are on the eastern side. Previously all the buses used to come to the railway station creating congestion. Now we have made a scheme costing Rs. 1 crore. All the buses which come to the city from U.P., Punjab, Haryana and other places will not enter the city at all. They will come on the Ring Road and enter the inter-State bus stand and stay there. The Master Plan has not been modified for that.

Shri A. S. Saigal: In your opinion, were the architects in the employment of the Delhi Improvement Trust qua-

lified architects to draw up development plans for a city or not?

Shri Jagmohan: They were qualified. They fulfilled the qualifications laid down by the trust. They gave temporary leases after taking into consideration the circumstances at that time. Now the circumstances have changed.

Mr. Chairman: In their memorandum, the ice factory owners have made a serious allegation against the Delhi Administration. They say they are being harassed, humiliated and threatened.

Shri Jagmohan: This is quite wrong. They came to me also and said that some magistrates and policemen came to their factories. The magistrates and policemen did not go to them alone; they went to everybody who was running a factory without a proper licence. There was no harassment. For infringing the municipal laws, they were prosecuted. I was told that they were convicted and they pleaded guilty and paid the fine also. If they are aggrieved by a court decision, the only way is to go to a higher court. If we wanted to harass them, we would not given them time for staying there.

Mr. Chairman: Are you regretting it?

Shri Jagmohan: No.

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : चैयरमेन साहब जहां तक इसमें गाइगिल एशोरेंस का सवाल है मैं समझता हूं आपका भी उसके बारे में कोई ज्यादा इख्तलाफ नहीं है। मैं सिर्फ यही सवाल करना चाहता हूं कि जो आल्टरनेटिव एकोमोडेशन उनको दी जा रही है उसके बारे में आपकी क्या राय है बिकॉज वाइन्ट आफ व्यू से या जो जगह इस वक्त उनके पास है उस हैसियत से या या जो उनका काम है उस लिहाज से जैसी जगह उनको चाहिये क्या यह आल्टरनेटिव एकोमोडेशन उसी तरह की है?

Shri Jagmohan: It is a matter of opinion as to what is a good area or what is a bad area. In my opinion, the area where they are going at present is far better than the area where they are now living. The areas where they are now living is like a slum, surrounded by jhuggies and ganda nulla. On the other hand, the areas where they are now going is developed according to master plan standard with open area, water and greater facilities for parking and expanding the factories. There is a great demand for that land, and if I were to sell that land it would bring me Rs. 60 to 70 per sq. yard. It is right on the Ring Road. Already there are many factories there like the Britannia factory and Government factory. One may say that it is not suitable, it is not good. I personally feel that it is far far better than the present place. In that place the productivity will increase tremendously. They will get all the facilities whereas at the existing place they are not getting all these facilities and they are surrounded by an odd type of land.

Mr. Chairman: Their main contention is that if they are removed from the present site it will be difficult for them to compete with the other ice factories which are located inside the city.

Shri Jagmohan: I am afraid, it is not correct. Delhi is a growing city where the population is increasing day by day. So, the demand will not come down. Also, we are giving them an option in the matter of sites. As I said in the beginning, without infringing any law, we will give them the option.

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : वे फैक्ट्रियां जब वहां कायम हुई तो किस हालात में हुई इसको भी देखना पड़ेगा। फिर दिल्ली एडमिनिस्ट्रेशन ने उनके साथ एग्रीमेंट किया और उसके बाद फिर उसको बढ़ाया। अब

वे वहां कंटिन्यू कर रही हैं । वहां से अगर उनको मशीनरी वगैरह हटानी पड़े तो उसको हटाने में जो लाबिस होंगे क्या उसका भी आपने हिसाब लगाया है ?

Shri Jagmohan: According to the legal advice received by us they have a right to take away the machinery to the new site; they are not entitled to anything else. Anyhow, this is a matter for an independent quasi judicial authority to determine as to whether any compensation is payable in view of the shifting and, if so, how much. Since we are only one party to the dispute, let it be decided by independent authority in terms of the agreement. Because, whatever we do in this case we will have to follow in other cases also.

Shri Paokai Haokip: May I know whether at the time of preparing the master plan the industrial advisory committee of the Chief Commissioner recommended to the DDA that the ice factories should be located at the existing sites?

Shri Jagmohan: I am afraid, I cannot say definitely whether the industrial advisory committee made any such recommendation. But assuming that the comittee has made such a recommendation, there is always a conflict of views and the industrial advisory board, which consist mainly of industrialists who would not like shifting. Even if that committee makes such a recommendation, under the law it is for the DDA to take a final decision. The Industrial Advisory Board only makes a recommendation. After taking all facts into consideration, the DDA came to the conclusion that these factories should not be there and the Central Government, while approving the master plan, has approved it also.

Mr. Chairman: You may find out whether the advisory committee has in fact made such a recommendation.

Shri Jagmohan: Since that committee meets so many times, if the date is given, it would facilitate my checking it up from the office of the Director of Industries. Without the reference, it will take more time to get at the papers.

Shrimati Savitri Shyam: May I know whether there is a contractor between the factory owner and the Improvement Trust that after 20 years the lease will be made perpetual?

Shri Jagmohan: There are four leases of one type and one lease of another type which refers to Mahavir. That is a 20 years' lease and it has been urged before us that they have got the right to get it extended and that government have no choice. We have said that it is for the arbitrator to decide. If we find that some absolute right has been given, the compensation will be in proportion to that right. But there is nothing which is not acquirable. Every right is acquirable. That factory also will have to go. They can only claim compensation at a higher rate.

Shrimati Savitri Shyam: What will be the extent of the loss by such shifting? Will government bear some part of the loss?

Shri Jagmohan: If anybody's right is affected by government's decision, government will have to pay compensation. Suppose by shifting he loses Rs. 10,000. If independent authority comes to the conclusion that the factory should be paid Rs. 10,000 as loss, it will have to be paid. The dispute about the amount will be decided either by the arbitrator or by the court.

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : उसी इलाके में और कितनी बिल्डिंग्ज हैं जिनको आप हटा नहीं सकते हैं और जिनको आप हटा रहे हैं उनको आप किस मकसद के लिए हटा रहे हैं क्या फायदा आपको होने वाला है और अगर आपका कोई फायदा होने वाला है तो क्या

वह उससे ज्यादा होगा जितना कि आपको नुकसान होगा ?

Shri Jagmohan: We do not intend to allow any building there except temples or ghats. I will send you a note giving the position with regard to these buildings which are under stay order and so on. But, generally speaking, we are going to remove all the industries, all the residential structures from that area and we are going to develop that place as a river front. Some area will become a park and the Nigambodh ghat, which is at present inadequate, will be extended. This is the general integrated development scheme. As to whether the Government have weighed these considerations or whether this will be more beneficial or that, the Government and the DDA have already weighed the considerations and have come to the conclusion that the scheme which we have imposed is more in the public interest.

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : अगर मैं गलती नहीं करता तो यह चार फैक्ट्रीज जो हैं पांचवीं के बारे में तो आपकी भी राय है कि 20 साल तक गवर्नमेंट के पास कोई प्राविजन नहीं है कि उनको आगे एक्सटेंड करने से रोक सके सिवाय इसके कि मीगल ऐडवाइजर की ऐडवाइस ले और उसके अनुसार काम करे तो यह जो चार फैक्ट्रीज हैं इनका एरिया क्या 6 एकड़ से ज्यादा है ?

श्री जगमोहन : वह एरिया तो आपको दिया हुआ है। कोई चार पांच एकड़ के दरमियान या 6 एकड़ के करीब है।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : और इस 6 एकड़ के मुकाबिले वहां टेम्पल वगैरह हैं और शायद नई बिल्डिंग भी कोई बन रही है वह आपकी इजाजत से बन रही है या बिना इजाजत के?

श्री जगमोहन : कोई प्राइवेट बिल्डिंग या ऐसी कोई चीज नहीं बन रही है। वह एक टेम्पल है

That was sanctioned and is still under construction but this is on the other side in between the temples already built. It is near the ghat.

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : यह आइस फैक्ट्री के साथ जो बन रही है नई बिल्डिंग . . .

श्री जगमोहन : आइस फैक्ट्री के साथ कुछ नहीं बन रहा है।

सभापति महोदय : वह कल उन्होंने कहा था बुद्ध-विहार के साथ बन रही है।

श्री जगमोहन : वह टेम्पल की सैंक्शन्ड स्कीम के मुताबिक बन रहा है।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : यह सैंक्शन कब मिली थी ?

श्री जगमोहन: यह मैं पूछ के बता सकता हूं। सैंक्शन पहले की मिली हुई है।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : आप की प्लान के पहले की मिली हुई है या प्लान के बाद मिली है ?

श्री जगमोहन : प्लान के बाद की है। प्लान में ऐसी सैंक्शन दे सकते हैं।

There is approval from the Delhi Municipal Corporation and the DDA for this type of a temple. It is there. I am going to give you details of each case.

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : मेरा सवाल सिर्फ इसलिए था, मैं यह समझना चाहता था कि क्योंकि टैम्पल एक ऐसी चीज है कि जो हर जगह बन सकती है। कोई ऐसी दिक्कत की बात नहीं थी अगर उन्होंने उस को प्रोवाइड किया हुआ है तो फिर इस को आप निकालें 6 एकड़ या चार एकड़ के लिए जिस में गवर्नमेंट को काफी कम्पेन्सेशन भी देना पड़ेगा, वह कितना देना पड़ेगा यह अलग बात है लेकिन देना पड़ेगा क्योंकि

उन की बिल्डिंग बनी हुई है और मशीनरी भी लगी हुई है जिस को हटाना पड़ेगा, तो मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि अगर टैम्पल के लिए किया है तो ऐसा कंसिडरेशन भी करेंगे कि 6 एकड़ की बात है इन को भी रहने दिया जाय ?

Shri Jagmohan: There is a scheme for providing temples and ghats on the Jamuna. This is an activity which is permitted as a part of the Jamuna Development Scheme. So, there is no parallel between the ice factories and the mandir which is required to be on the ghat and for which there is a public demand. There is not one temple but a few hundreds of them on the Jamuna Ghat.

सभापति महोदय : कल उन्होंने जो कुछ कहा था उस से ऐसा नहीं लगा कि टेम्पल बन रहा है ।

Shri Jagmohan: I shall give you a written note about that.

सभापति महोदय : वहां जो और इमारतें हैं, जो नहीं हटी हैं वह क्यों नहीं हटी हैं और जो नई बन रही हैं वह क्या बन रही हैं, यह सारी इन्फार्मेशन आप भेज दें ।

श्री नरेन्द्र रामचन्द्रजी देवधरे : निगम बोध घाट से फैक्ट्री कितनी दूर है ?

श्री जगमोहन : एक फर्लांग दूर भी नहीं है । जस्ट बेरी नीयर है । वह मन्दिर बौद्ध विहार से आगे है । दैट इज आन दि अदर साइड ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : कुछ ऐसा भी आप के दिमाग में है कि ये बिल्डिंगें बनी रहें और मन्दिर का उद्घाटन वहां कर दें । यानी मशीनरी वहां से हटा दें और बिल्डिंग बनी रहने दें ?

श्री जगमोहन : नहीं, ऐसा नहीं है । आप साइट देखेंगे तो आप को क्लीयर हो जायगा ।

The mandir complex is different and this complex is different.

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : हमारे पास नक्शा है ।

कुमारी कमला कुमारी : उन्हें जो जगह दी गई है वह टाउन से कितनी दूर है ?

श्री जगमोहन : वह इस पर डिपेंड करेगा कि टाउन आप कहां से गिनते हैं ?

कुमारी कमला कुमारी : चांदनी चौक से ।

श्री जगमोहन : उस से 6 मील के रेडियस में आयेगा ।

सभापति महोदय : दिल्ली अब बहुत फैल रही है, इसलिए दूरी का सवाल नहीं है ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : जब उनका पीरियड खत्म हो गया 66 में और उन्होंने लिखा कि इस तरह से प्रीमियम आप हम से लें तो उस का मिनिस्ट्री की तरफ से कोई जवाब गया या नहीं ? अगर नहीं गया तो क्यों नहीं गया और जवाब गया तो क्या गया ?

श्री प्रकाश नारायण : एक चिट्ठी जो मैंने भेजी थी इन फैक्ट्रीज को उस की नकल मेरे पास है । 12 सितम्बर, 1962 को हमने यह खत भेजा था .....

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : नहीं, यह तो 1966 का लीज है ।

श्री प्रकाश नारायण : ऐसा है कि पहले जो लीज शुरू हुई 9 साल के लिए वह 1957 में खत्म हो गई । 1957 में हम ने कहा कि हम बढ़ाने को तैयार हैं बशर्ते कि वह शर्तें आप मंजूर करें । उस का जवाब आज तक नहीं आया । उन का कहना था कि पहली शर्तें ही लागू होनी

चाहिएं । चार फैक्ट्रीज को यही खत गया था ।

श्री इशहाक सम्भली : 1966 में उन्होंने ऐसा कोई लेटर लिखा या नहीं कि हम को कितना प्रीमियम देना है, मेहरबानी कर के बतलाइए ? अगर 1966 में कोई ऐसा लेटर लिखा कि कितना प्रीमियम देना है तो उस का आप ने क्या जवाब दिया ?

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : अगर अभी नहीं दे सकते तो फिर भिजवा दीजिएगा ।

श्री प्रकाश नारायण : वह फिर भेज देंगे ।

सभापति महोदय : उन का कहना है कि लीज डीड परफेक्शनल होनी चाहिए, हम प्रीमियम देने को तैयार हैं ।

श्री प्रकाश नारायण : 1966 में उन की लीज खत्म हो चुकी थी क्योंकि जो टर्म्स ऐंड कंडीशंस हम ने दिए थे वह उन्होंने नहीं माने तो वह लीज खत्म हो चुकी थी .....

सभापति महोदय : उनका कहना है कि वह खत्म तो हो ही नहीं सकती, वह तो परफेक्शनल होगी ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : अगर आप की यह बात हम मान भी लें, तो भी जवाब तो आना चाहिए ।

सभापति महोदय : क्या उन्होंने आप को लिखा था ? अगर लिखा था तो आप की तरफ से क्या जवाब गया यह आप पता लगा कर बतला दीजियेगा ।

Shri A. S. Saigal: Mr. Chairman, we may send their oral evidence to them which was recorded yesterday.

Mr. Chairman: Yes.

Shri A. S. Saigal: We will send you the oral evidence that was recorded yesterday and the other papers which they have submitted and then you prepare a note. That will be better.

Mr. Chairman: Yes; you may try to meet all the points they have made.

Shri Parkash Narain: Yes.

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : अब उन को सरकार ने और कारपोरेशन ने जमीन दी थी तब उन को रिफ्यूजी मान कर दी थी या वैसे ही जैसे हर एक आदमी अप्रोच कर के ले सकता है उस लिहाज से दी थी ? या चूंकि उन के उधर कारखाने थे इसलिए दी गई थी ?

श्री प्रकाश नारायण : उन्हें रिफ्यूजी माना गया था ।

श्रीमती सावित्री श्याम : जब मास्टर प्लैन एग्जिस्टेंस में आई तब उस की नालेज क्या उन लोगों को थी ?

सभापति महोदय : आप के आने के पहले यह सवाल किया गया था और मिनिस्ट्री ने माना है कि उन लोगों ने आब्जक्शन्स फाइल किये थे । उस के बाद स्क्रीनिंग कमेटी बनी थी जिस ने उन पर विचार कर के उन को रद्द कर दिया ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : रद्द करने के बारे में जो लिखा पढ़ी हुई क्या उस की कापी यह हम को भेज देंगे ?

Shri Jagmohan: On the basis of the screening board's note. We will send it.

Mr. Chairman: Thank you very much.

Shri Jagmohan: Thank you, Sir.

(The Witnesses then withdrew)

The Committee then adjourned.

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES

MINUTES OF EVIDENCE GIVEN BEFORE THE COMMITTEE

Friday, the 17th January, 1969 at 11.00 hours.

PRESENT

Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee—*Chairman.*

MEMBERS

2. Shri Maharaj Singh Bharati
3. Shri Abdul Ghani Dar
4. Shri Narendra Ramchandraji Deoghare
5. Kumari Kamla Kumari
6. Hazi Lutfal Haque
7. Shri S. R. Rane
8. Shri A. S. Saigal
9. Maulana Ishaq Sambhali
10. Shrimati Savitri Shyam.

SECRETARIAT

Shri M. C. Chawla—*Deputy Secretary.*

WITNESS EXAMINED

Bela Road Refugee Ice Factories Association

1. Shri Ved Sayal—*President*
2. Shri Ishwer Lall
3. Shri Prithvi Raj
4. Shri Lochan Singh

Delhi Administration/Delhi Development Authority

1. Shri Jagmohan—*Secretary* (L&B), Delhi Administration.
2. Shri Bansi Dhar—*Executive Officer*, Delhi Development Authority.

Re: Representation received from the Bela Road Refugee Ice Factories Association, 20, Upper Bela Road, Delhi-6 seeking relief under the "Gadgil Assurances" given in Lok Sabha on 29th September, 1951.

(The representatives of the Bela Road Refugee Ice Factories Association were called in and were administered oath or affirmation.)

अध्यक्ष महोदय : जो गवाही आप देंगे या कमेटी के सामने कहेंगे, उसे छापा जा सकता है और अगर आप यह चाहेंगे कि कोई हिस्सा न छापा जाये तो उसे भी पार्लियामेंट के मेम्बरों को बताया जा सकता है। इस बात को ध्यान में रख कर आप जो कुछ कहना चाहें कहें।

कल कमेटी के मेम्बर मौका-मुआयना करने गये थे और हम ने वहां की हालत देखी है और मेम्बरान के मन में कुछ सवाल उठे होंगे। क्योंकि कल वहां दिल्ली प्रशासन के प्रतिनिधि भी थे और उन्होंने जो जवाब दिये उनकी रोशनी में या वहां की स्थिति देखने के बाद मेम्बरान कुछ जानकारी प्राप्त करना चाहेंगे तो आप से सवाल करेंगे। इससे पहले अभी तक जो कुछ आप ने कहा है उसमें अगर आप कुछ जोड़ना चाहें या कल वहां कमेटी के जाने के बाद आप को यह ख्याल हो कि कमेटी के सामने कुछ और चीजें लानी हैं तो उसे आप कहें।

श्री ईश्वर लाल : चेयरमैन साहब, हमारा केस बहुत सिम्पल है कि हम रिफ्यूजी हैं और हम चाहते थे कि अपना केस आप के सामने रखें, पेश करें और गाडगिल ऐश्योरेंस जो कि लोकसभा ने पास किया हुआ है, उसके अन्दर, हम समझते हैं कि, हम आते हैं क्योंकि आप देखेंगे कि जैसा कि हम ने अपने लेटेस्ट रिप्रजेन्टेशन में अर्ज किया है कि फलानी क्लास, जिसके अन्दर 37 फैक्ट्रियां आप ने ऐक्जामिन की हैं—अभी आप सेकेंड रिपोर्ट, फोर्थ लोक सभा प्रजेन्टेड आन 26 अप्रैल, '68 के पेज 16 पर देखेंगे तो नं० 7 आइटम आप ने ऐक्जामिन किया है।

Corner plot on Panchquian Road (Star of India College)

"The whole triangular plot of land may be allotted to the displaced persons subject to fulfilment of certain conditions."

अध्यक्ष महोदय : यह जो रिपोर्ट आप ने बताई है, यह चन्दा कमेटी की रिकमंडेशन है, हमारी कमेटी की रिकमंडेशन नहीं है।

श्री ईश्वर लाल : गलती के लिए मैं माफी चाहता हूं। हमारी अर्ज यह है कि हम गाडगिल ऐश्योरेंस के अन्दर आते हैं। हम ने अपना केस इसी वास्ते पेश किया था और लैसर ने भी माना है कि ऐज ए रिफ्यूजी हम आप की कमेटी में कवर होते हैं क्योंकि हम कामर्शियल हैं उस लिहाज से भी उसमें कवर होते हैं। जैसा कि हम ने आप को लेटेस्ट लेटर जो 14 जनवरी को साइट किया है उसके मार्जिन में लिखा है—

"The commercial units are covered by Gadgil assurances. On 2 July, 1968 while giving evidence before the Committee the representative of the Delhi Development Authority elucidating the point further stated that these 37 people who were small factory owners were definitely covered under the "Gadgil Assurances" and they were accommodated..."

You were very kind to oblige us by coming and examining the situation on the spot.

इस वास्ते आप से फिर दोबारा विनती है कि हमारी मंशा यह नहीं है जो कि हमारे नेताओं को खराब लगा हो कि हम ने यह मैन्शन किया है कि हम कोर्ट में जाने के लिए तैयार हैं...

अध्यक्ष महोदय : कोर्ट में आप जा सकते हैं, वह तो आप का अधिकार है।

श्री ईश्वर लाल : हम ने अपनी पोजीशन आप के सामने रखी है। क्योंकि आप सुप्रीम बौडी हैं लोक सभा की .....

अध्यक्ष महोदय : हमारे सामने एक सवाल पैदा हो रहा है। हम यह जानना चाहेंगे कि जो लीज डीड साइन हुई, वह आप ने फैक्ट्री बनाने के बाद लीज डीड साइन की या लीज डीड साइन करके फैक्ट्री बनाई ?

श्री ईश्वर लाल : जैसा कि रिकार्ड में है हमें एक कागज पर लिखवा कर फरवरी 1948 को हैंडओवर किया गया और उसकी बिनाह पर हम ने पैसा दिया, चैक दिया, रेजूलशन के अन्दर जो कि हमें बाकायदा करने किया गया कि इतना रुपया आप लैंड का, इतना सैक्यूरिटी का जमा करा दीजिये । हम ने लिख दिया कि हम ने दिया और उन्होंने लिख दिया कि हम ने दिया ।

श्रीमती सावित्री श्याम : फैक्टरी आप ने कौन से सन् में बनानी शुरू कर दी थी ?

श्री ईश्वर लाल : सन् 1949 में हमारे पास बिल्डिंग बनी थी ।

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : आप मेहरबानी करके यह बतलाइये कि आप ने जगह पर कब्जा या फैक्टरी बनाने की शुरुआत, जमीन की सफ़ाई वगैरह जो कुछ भी किया, वह परमिशन मिलने से पहले किया था या बाद में ?

श्री ईश्वर लाल : लीज डीड से पहले किया था । ऐग्रीमेंट के मुताबिक हुआ ।

अध्यक्ष महोदय : आप ने कहा कि हमारा ऐग्रीमेंट हुआ था, यह दूसरी चीज है ।

हाजी लुत्फल हक : जमीन की सफ़ाई, या जमीन पर कब्जा डीड होने के पहले हुआ या डीड पहले हुई और उसके बाद कब्जा लिया ?

SHRI ISHWER LALL: There is a resolution to this effect:

> "Resolved that this plot is being allotted to refugees for such and such terms, 9 years or so and then perpetually."

श्रीमती सावित्री श्याम : जब आप को कागज मिल गया कि

such and such land is being allotted and do this and that thing

तब आप को यह कागज पहले मिल गया और कब्जा बाद में मिला।

सभापति महोदय : 10 जनवरी, 1948 का महेन्द्र सिंह बेदी का एक लेटर हमारे पास है, जिस में कहा गया है कि :

> "The possession of the site will be handed over and lease executed on receipt of the sanction of the Chief Commissioner."

जो एरिया दिया गया है वह लीज डीड साइन होने के बाद दिया गया है । इस में गलतफहमी की कोई गुंजाइश नहीं है ।

श्री राणे : आप को जो प्लाट दिया गया है वह आइस फैक्ट्री के लिये दिया गया है ?

श्री ईश्वर लाल : जी हां । स्पेसिफिक कंडिशन है । उस में लिखा हुआ है कि :

> "Any other industry with the permission of the lessor . . ."

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : पहले जैसे था कि रिफ्यूजी जहां सिर छिपाने के लिये जगह पाते थे वहां पहुंच जाते थे, उसी तरह पाकिस्तान बन जाने के बाद आप ने यहां आ कर कब्जा लिया । उसके बाद आप को परमिशन मिली । उसके लिये आप ने अप्लाई किया था । आप ने बतलाया कि आप ने कब्जा किया । आप यह बतलायें कि क्या आप ने परमिशन मिलने के पहले कब्जा किया ?

श्री ईश्वर लाल : इस में दो बड़े इम्पार्टेंट डाकुमेंट्स इन्वाल्व्ड हैं । एक तो लीगल डाकुमेंट है जो लीज डीड कहलाता है । वह साइन हुआ है 1951 में और कब्जा हुआ है 1948 में । कब्जा इस डाकुमेंट से पहले हुआ है ।

श्रीमती सावित्री श्याम : जब 1948 में कब्जा हुआ तो वह कैसे हुआ ? क्या आप के पास उसके लिये कोई अथारिटी है ?

श्री ईश्वर लाल : हमारे पास उस की रसीद है जिसमें शार्दूल सिंह, डिप्टी इंजीनियर ने कहा है कि हम लैंड देते हैं और हम ने कहा है कि हम लैंड लेते हैं ।

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : आप ने ऐस्थोरैंस की बात कही है । एस्थोरैंस में यह लिखा हुआ है कि :

"Where any displaced person, without being authorised . . ."

जब आप के पास अथारिटी थी तब अनआथराइज्ड तो है नहीं । अथारिटी होने के बाद आप ने पजेशन लिया है । क्या यह फैक्ट्री है ?

श्री ईश्वर लाल : जी हां, यह फैक्ट्री है ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : आप को हम हैरास नहीं करना चाहते । आप ने खुदा को गवाह रख कर कहा है कि आप सच बोलेंगे । आप खुद मानते हैं कि आप को अथारिटी पहले मिली और आप ने अथारिटी मिलने के बाद कब्जा किया । लीज तीन साल के बाद हुई यह बात दूसरी है । लेकिन जब आप ने अथारिटी से कब्जा किया तब आप गाडगिल ऐस्थोरैंस के नीचे कैसे आये ?

श्री ईश्वर लाल : इस वक्त चेयरमैन साहब और मेम्बर साहबा दोनों ही गाडगिल ऐस्थोरैंस पर जोर दे रहे हैं और यह कि हमारा जो राइट है वह लीज डीड के अनुसार है । जब आप इस को इम्पोर्टेंस दे रहे हैं तब इसी को इम्पोर्टेंस दीजिये और उस के पहले के कब्जे को आप अनआथाराइज्ड समझिये ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : आप को सोच समझ कर पहले ही से ठीक बात कहनी चाहिये ।

सभापति महोदय : यह तो हम को समझना है । हम लोग इस पर गौर कर लेंगे । सहगल साहब के सवाल का अभिप्राय यह था कि कमेटी की मुश्किल यह है कि जिन्होंने अनआथाराइज्ड आकुपेशन किया है गाडगिल ऐस्थोरैंसज उन से डील करते हैं और उन्हीं को प्रोटेक्शन देते हैं । आप का जो आकुपेशन है वह आथाराइज्ड है। आप को तो फैक्ट्री बनानी थी, मशीन लगानी थी। ऐसी जगह पर तो कोई पैसा नहीं लगा सकता जहां से उस को उखाड़ दिया जाये । यह ठीक है। लेकिन हमारी मुश्किल यह है कि आप आथराइज्ड हैं और हम डील कर रहे हैं अनआथराइज्ड आकुपेशन से । क्या आप इस पर कोई रोशनी डाल सकते हैं ?

श्री ईश्वर लाल : मैं यह अर्ज करना चाहता हूं कि फैंडामेंटल राइट्स कुछ रक्खे गये हैं कांस्टिट्यूशन में . . . . . .

SHRI A. S. SAIGAL: That is not our jurisdiction. That is for law courts.

श्री लोचन सिंह : हम ने जब परमिशन के लिये ऐप्लिकेशन दी तो उस के बाद आ कर आकुपाई कर लिया । इसलिये यह अनअथाराइज्ड आकुपेशन है । कारपोरेशन की नोटिसेज हमारे पास हैं कि यह अनआथाराइज्ड आकुपेशन है ।

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : क्या आप उन को दे सकते हैं ?

श्री लोचन सिंह : जी हां ।

श्री राजे : आप के नोटिसेज 1951 के हैं या उस के पहले के हैं ?

श्री ईश्वर लाल : पहले के हैं ।

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : आप उनको कब तक दे सकते हैं ?

श्री लोचन सिंह : हम आज ही दे देंगे ।

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : जैसा चेयरमैन साहब ने फरमाया वह बहुत सीधी सी बात है । हम लोग कोई वादा नहीं करते । हम लोग हर इन्सान की मदद करना चाहते हैं । मगर मजबूरी यह है कि हम गाडगिल ऐस्थोरैंस से बंधे हुए हैं । हम तभी आप के केस में डील कर सकते हैं जब आप का आकुपेशन अनआथाराइज्ड हो । जैसा आप ने बताया, आप को नोटिसेज मिले हैं, या यह कि आप ने पहले कब्जा कर लिया है उस के बाद उन्होंने तो-

बाजारी वसूल किया है । यह सब तो होता ही है ।

सभापति महोदय : इस में बहस की कोई जरूरत नहीं है । उन के पास नोटिसेज हैं, वह हम को सब दे दें ।

अब हमें आप यह बतलाइये कि आपको फैक्ट्री के लिये लाइसेंस कब मिला ?

श्री वेद स्याल : 1949 में ।

सभापति महोदय : क्या लाइसेंस मिलने के पहले फैक्ट्री ने काम करना शुरू कर दिया था ?

श्री वेद स्याल : लाइसेंस मिलने के बाद महावीर आइस मिल ने 1949 में शुरू किया था और बाकी ने बाद में शुरू किया, पहले नहीं शुरू किया ।

सभापति महोदय : कंस्ट्रक्शन आपने कब किये ?

श्री ईश्वर लाल : 1948 में और 1949 में ।

श्रीमती सावित्री श्याम : नक्शा कारपोरेशन ने कब पास किया ? क्या नक्शा पास करवाने से पहले आपने कंस्ट्रक्शन करवा लिया था ?

श्री लोबन सिंह : कंस्ट्रक्शन पहले कर लिया था । उनके नोटिस आए । फिर प्लान दिये । उसके बाद हमने पेनेलट देकर इसको करवा लिया था ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : पैनेलटी पे की तो उसकी रसीदें भी आपके पास होंगी ?

श्री लोबन सिंह : हैं । उनको भी हम भेज देंगे ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : कंस्ट्रक्शन को कम्पलीट करने में कितनी देर लगी ?

SHRI VED SAYAL: It took 1½ years to complete the construction. Afterwards licences were applied for and the licences were given.

SHRIMATI SAVITRI SHYAM: Authorised construction means that first of all you have to take the approval of the Committee for construction purposes. But that is not for the possession of the land only construction has to be approved by the specific authority.

श्री लोबन सिंह : हम लोगों ने कंस्ट्रक्शन पहले कर ली थी ।

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : आपने कहा है कि कमर्शियल यूनिट्स और कवर्ड बाई दी गाडगिल एश्योरेंस । आपने मोटर पार्ट्स डीलर्ज का हवाला दिया है । आपने कहा है :

'In the case of Old Motor Parts Association before the Committee, the representation of the DDA elucidating the points further stated that these 37 people who were small factory owners were definitely covered under the Gadgil Assurances and that they are accommodated.'

आपने कहा है कि मोटर पार्ट्स डीलर्ज ने भी अनऑथोराइज्ड कंस्ट्रक्शन किया था । वे गाडगिल एश्योरेंस से कवर हो जाते हैं । वे अगर ऑथोराइज्ड थे तो क्या इसके बारे में आप पेपर कोई हमें दिखा सकते हैं ? हमारे पास तो इसके बारे में कोई एवीडेंस नहीं आया है.....

सभापति महोदय : मैं इस चीज को कह देता हूं । क्या आपके ध्यान में ऐसा कोई मामला है कि जिस में लैंड डीड साइन किया गया हो दो पार्टीज में लेकिन फिर भी एश्योरेंसिस कमेटी ने यह कहा हो कि हम उसको देख सकते हैं ? इस कमेटी ने नहीं, पुरानी एश्योरेंसिस कमेटी ने भी अगर दो पार्टीज में कान्ट्रेक्ट हुआ हो और उसको भी गाडगिल एश्योरेंस के परव्यू में लाया हो तो उस तरह का कोई उदाहरण

आपके नोटिस में हो तो उसको आप हमारे पास भेज दें ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : आपने यह एग्रीमेंट कर रखा है कि अगर जमीन पब्लिक मफाद के लिए चाहिये होगी तो आप दे देंगे । क्या यह सही है ?

श्री ईश्वर लाल : जी हां ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : तब क्या यह आपकी मारल ड्यूटी नहीं है कि अगर पब्लिक मफाद के लिए जमीन चाहिये तो आप दे दें और आप यह न कहें कि आपको वहां से नहीं हटाया जाए ? कमेटी का तो जो धर्म है उसको वह निभायेगी ही । लेकिन क्या आप पर यह आबलीगेशन नहीं आता है कि आप वहां से हट जायें ?

श्री ईश्वर लाल : हमारा एग्रीमेंट जरूर है । लेकिन जैसा मैंने शुरू में कहा है मैं नहीं समझता हूं कि यह कमेटी जो लीगल डाकुमेंट इस तरह का है, या जो एग्रीमेंट है उसको देखने जा रही है ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : हम तो आपकी जहां तक हो सकेगा मदद करेंगे । लेकिन आप पोजीशन को साफ तो करें ।

श्री लोचन सिंह : हर एक लीज डीड में यह होता है कि अगर पब्लिक परपज के लिए चीज चाहिये होगी तो उसको लिया जा सकता है । लेकिन आप देखें कि पब्लिक मफाद यह नहीं कहता है कि हमें उजाड़ा जाय । डिफेंस के लिए जमीन चाहिये तो हम आज भी देने के लिए तैयार हैं और एक बार फिर उजड़ने के लिए तैयार हैं ।

आप देखें कि वहां सारा एरिया ग्रीन है । बीच बिहार और चाट्स को छोड़ा जा रहा है । लेकिन उसके साथ-साथ हमारी फैक्ट्रीज को भी अगर छोड़ दें तो क्या पब्लिक परपज पूरा नहीं हो सकता है ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : आपने कहा है कि 1948 में आपने सुझाव दिया था कि आप वहां आबाद होना चाहते हैं । क्या आपने पहले जमीन देखी थी और तब सुझाव दिया था ?

श्री लोचन सिंह : जमीन पड़ी हुई थी । हमने कहा कि जब जमीन खाली पड़ी हुई है तो हम यहां फैक्ट्री लगा लें ।

श्री बेद म्याल : मैं थोड़ा सा और इस सिलसिले में बताना चाहता हूं । नोटिफाइड एरिया कमेटी या जो भी कमेटी थी उसकी डेलिबेरेशंज में यह रिकार्ड पर है कि टाप आफिशल्स ने यह प्लान किया था कि यह जगह जो है यह इन फैक्ट्रीज के लिए जरूरी है और अच्छी है । तब रिफ्यूजी प्राब्लम भी था । फिर इस जगह को चार फैक्ट्रीज को आफर किया गया और बताया गया कि ये ये कंडिशंज हैं ।

श्रीमती सावित्री श्याम : आपने एप्लाई तो किया होगा ?

श्री लोचन सिंह : जब हमको मालूम हुआ तो हमने एप्लाई किया ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : क्या आप अब भी सड़क के वास्ते या बगीचे के वास्ते जो जरूरत जमीन की है देने के लिए तैयार हैं ? घाट बनाने के लिए भी तैयार हैं ?

श्री लोचन सिंह : बगीचा बनाने के लिए और उसको मेन्टेन करने के लिए हम अब भी तैयार हैं । घाट भी हम अपनी कास्ट पर बना देंगे । सड़क के लिए जमीन चाहिये तो हम उसका कम्पेन्सेशन दें। रोड फीजिबिलिटी को भी देख लिया जाना चाहिये । मार्किट रेट पर कम्पेंसेशन हमें दे दिया जाए ।

सभापति महोदय : सभी की तरफ से आप कह रहे हैं ?

श्री बेद म्याल : जी हां ।

श्री राजे : आपको पर एक्सेयर यार्ड क्या देना पड़ा है और कम्पेन्सेशन पर एक्सेयर यार्ड आप क्या चाहते हैं ?

श्री लोचन सिंह : दो तीन सौ रुपया गज का भाव है ।

श्री राणे : आपने कितना दिया है ?

श्री लोचन सिंह : पंद्रह रुपये गज हमको प्रीमियम देना था ।

श्री बेद स्याल : अगर उनकी रिक्वायरमेंट्स हैं, सड़क के लिए जरूरत है उसको और ब्राड करना है तो उस के लिए दस फुट, पन्द्रह फुट, बीस फुट जितनी भी जगह चाहिये वह उन का प्लान जब आएगा तो हम देने को तैयार हैं । अगर वह कोई माडिफिकेशन या आलटरेशन चाहते हैं तो वह भी करने को हम तैयार हैं । हर तरह से कोआपरेट करने को तैयार हैं सिवाय इसके कि वी शुड नाट बी जस्ट पुश्ड आउट ।

श्री लोचन सिंह : जितनी लीज हैं उसमें से गवर्नमेंट वापस लेना चाहे तो वह भी होता है, उस के दाम उनको वापस मिलते हैं ।

श्रीमती सावित्री श्याम : ओनरशिप किसकी है ?

श्री ईश्वर लाल : ओनरशिप का मामला तो कोर्ट देखेगी ।

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : आपको जो नोटिस दी गई थी और जो पैनाल्टीज आपने दी हैं उन की रसीदें आप सेक्रेट्री साहब के पास पेश कर दीजिए ।

सभापति महोदय : और कोई ऐसा मामला हो, एक्सोरेंस कमेटी ने लैंड लीज का मामला कहीं लिया हो तो उसके बारे में भी हम जानना चाहते हैं वह भी आप हमें बताएं ।

श्री बेद स्याल : उसके लिए हमें दो रोज दे दीजिए ।

सभापति महोदय : ठीक है ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : आप यह हम को जाहिर करें कि गाडगिल एक्सोरेंस में आप आते हैं क्योंकि यह कमेटी जो है अपने अधिकार को उस से आगे नहीं ले जा सकती । इस बात को आप अपने जेहन में रख कर जाइए। कमेटी के कुछ अधिकार हैं जिन के बाहर वह नहीं जायेगी ।

सभापति महोदय : और कुछ भी आप के पास हो तो वह मेज दीजिए रिपोर्ट तैयार करने के पहले । आप को यह शिकायत न रहे कि आप के पास जो चीज है वह कमेटी की नोटिस में नहीं आई ।

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : आप पहले यह सब दाखिल कर दिए होते तो हम लोग क्लीयर माइंड हो जाते । कमेटी हर तरह से जो भी मदद कर सकती है वह करने के लिए तैयार है । लेकिन कुछ रूल्स ऐसे हैं जिन के बाहर हम नहीं जा सकते । आप सारे पेपर्स भेज दीजिए तो हम उस पर गौर करें और देख लें कि क्या हो सकता है ।

श्री ईश्वर लाल : हम बड़े आभारी हैं चेयरमैन साहब के और जरूर आप को भेजेंगे ।

(The Witnesses then withdrew)

(The representatives of the Delhi Administration and the Delhi Development Authority were then called in and they took their seats).

सभापति महोदय : कल हम लोग जगह देखने गए थे और शायद मेम्बर उस के बारे में कुछ पूछेंगे । दो तीन चीजें हैं जिन पर कुछ स्पष्टीकरण चाहिए । एक तो यह है कि अभी फैक्ट्री के मालिक आए थे । उन से एक प्रश्न पूछा गया था कि सड़क को चौड़ा करने के लिए, किनारे को सुन्दर बनाने के लिए और घाटों के निर्माण के लिए जितनी जगह चाहिए, वह जितनी हम चाहें उतनी लेने को तैयार

हों तो वह लेने में आप को क्या एतराज होगा, कमेटी इस के बारे में जानना चाहेगी क्योंकि कल आप ने जो एक जवाब दिया था कि खाली सौ फुट जगह रह जाएगी वह हमारे गले के नीचे उतरी नहीं है उस को देखने के बाद तो उस पर आज रोशनी डालें तो हम किसी नतीजे पर पहुंच सकते हैं।

श्री जगमोहन : उसमें अर्ज यह है, एक चीज मैं क्लीअर कर देना चाहता था आप से और वह यह कि कुछ दलीलें जब दी जाती हैं, आर्ग्यूमेंट्स दिये जाते हैं तो वह उसी प्वाइंट के ऊपर होते हैं जो कि रखे गये। उसी का जवाब दिया गया किसी कान्टेक्स्ट में। तो यह जैसा मैंने अपने एविडेंस में पहले बताया था, हम ने यह अर्ज किया था कि मास्टर प्लान डेलही डेवलपमेंट ऐक्ट के नीचे बनाया गया है। डेलही डेवलपमेंट ऐक्ट को आप ने स्टेट्यूटरी शेप दिया उस को अप्रूव किया। उस के नीचे डेलही डेवलप- मेंट एथारिटी को अधिकार दिया कि लैंड यूज प्रेस्क्राइब कर सके। उस के बाद यह कहा कि जब भी हम ऐसा करेंगे तो आब्जैक्शन्स इनवाइट किए जायेंगे और उस का फैसला करेंगे तब आगे चलेंगे। वह प्रोसीजर फालो किया गया। लैंड यूज मास्टर प्लान में फिक्स की गई। उस के बाद सैंट्रल गवर्नमैंट को अप्रूवल डी० डी० ए० ने चाही और वह दी गई। पार्लियामैंट वह लैंड यूज पेश की गई, अप्रूव की गई। अप्रूव होने के बाद हम लोगों ने उसका इम्प्लीमेंटेशन शुरू किया। अब जैसे कल बात हो रही थी, उस में हमें यह जाहिर हुआ कि शायद आप सोच रहे हैं कि जो फैसला है वह बेसिकली गलत है। उस फैसले में कुछ तरमीम की जरूरत है। उसमें शायद यह सुझाव था कि यह फैसला किसी एक व्यक्ति ने किया है, किसी बाडी ने नहीं। लेकिन यह फैसला डी० डी० ए० ने एक ए बाडी किया है जो बाडी अन्डर दि ऐक्ट कांस्टीट्यूटेड है जिस में नान-आफिसियल मेम्बर्स की प्रीडामिनेन्स है। उस में लीडर आफ दि अपोजीशन, डी० एम० सी०, चेयरमैन स्टैंडिंग कमेटी आफ दि कारपोरेशन, एसेक्टेड मेम्बर्स, यह सब लोग हैं। वह फैसला करते हैं। और उस की फिर एक स्टेट्यूटरी शेप दी गयी। पार्लियामेंट और कैबिनट ने एप्रूव किया। मैं ने जो कुछ कह दिया था कि मुंह दिखाने के काबिल नहीं रहेंगे उस को मैं इस कान्टेक्स्ट में क्लेरीफाई करना चाह रहा था कि डिसक्रिमिनेटरी लगेगा अगर एक स्कीम को जिस को आप ने ऐपरूव कर दिया और उस का इमप्लीमेंटेशन शुरू हो गया में 90 प्रतिशत इमप्लीमेंटेशन हो गया, तब अगर उस की साउन्डनेस को चैलेंज करेंगे तो ऐसा लगेगा कि हमने 95 परसेंट को हटा दिया और 5 परसेंट को मोडिफ़ाई कर दिया। इस को मैं प्लेस करना चाह रहा था कि यह चीज आप ने ऐपरूव की है और उस के बाद हमने इस को इमप्लीमेंट किया।

मैं आप से यह भी कहना चाहता हूं कि जब झुग्गियां हटायी गयीं थीं तो पार्लियामेंट में भी बहुत झगड़ा हुआ। नेशनल हैराल्ड में एक फोटो आयी फैक्ट्रीज की और यह कहा गया गरीब आदमियों को तो डी० डी० ए० ने फेंक दिया लेकिन आइस फैक्ट्री वालों को नहीं फेंका है। बड़ा क्रिटिसिज्म हुआ। हमने प्रेस वालों को तथा पार्लियामेंट में स्टेटमेंट दिया कि सब हटाने जायेंगे। किसी की अगर लीज है तो उसी के मुताबिक हम ऐक्शन ले रहे हैं।

"Everybody would go. Both in Press and in Parliament, there is an assurance on the other side that everybody would be treated alike."

हम सब को इमप्लीमेंट करेंगे।

सभापति महोदय : यह पार्लियामेंट में कब हुआ ? सम्भवत: जमुना बाजार का जब सवाल हुआ पिछले साल की बात होगी। आप नेशनल हैराल्ड की कटिंग भेज सकते हैं ?

श्री जगमोहन : क्वेश्चन का ड्राफ्ट रिप्लाई हम से मांगा जाता है, वह हम भेज देते हैं और मिनिस्ट्री वाले जवाब देते हैं। नेशनल हैराल्ड की कटिंग है वह मैं भेज दूंगा और जब ऐज वाइस-चेयरमैन आफ़ डी० डी० ए० काम कर रहा था तो हम ने कहा था कि आप को यह गलतफ़हमी हुई है। वह लैटर भी मैं भेज दूंगा।

श्रीमती सावित्री श्याम : वह आप भेज दीजियेगा।

श्री जगमोहन : जी हां, भेज दूंगा। दूसरा पोइंट यह था उस वक्त की जो सड़क है अगर सड़क को वाइडन कर दिया जायगा और फिर उस का जो ऐमबिकमेंट बनाना है उस में और सड़क में जो पोर्शन रह जायगा, यह किनता रह जाता है, तो मैं ने कहा था कि मेरे अंदाजे के मुताबिक 100 फ़ीट रह जाता है। हमने घाटों के एरिया की एक रूड़ी मैंटरी डेवलपमैंट स्कीम बनायी है कि पहली स्टेज में यहां पर ग्रीन होगा, यहां पर पार्क होगा। इस के अलावा घाट बनाने के लिये हम को कम्पटीशन इनवाइट करना है आल ओवर इंडिया। क्योंकि हम इसको सुन्दर बनाना चाहते हैं। और जब उसका सर्वे हो जायगा तब उस का फ़िक्सेशन प्रोपर जगह पर होगा। लेकिन फिर भी मैं एक नक्शा बना कर लाया हूं जिस में बताया गया है कि जितना ऐक्सटेन्डेड पोर्शन आफ़ दी रोड है वह कहां तक आता है। इस की आइस फ़ेक्ट्री तीन बटे चार कट जाती है रोड में। बाकी रह क्या गया। और हमारे मुताबिक 100 फ़ुट होगा। देक्युअल मेजरमेंट में 150 या 200 फ़ुट हो जाय यह कहना अभी मुश्किल है क्यों कि वह चीज प्लान पर डिपेन्ड करेगी। और फ़ैक्ट्री 150, 200 फिट में हो नहीं सकती। वाई लाज के मुताबिक सट बैकलाइन देनी होगी फ़ैक्ट्री ऐक्ट के मुताबिक।

सभापति महोदय : पहला सवाल मेरा यह है कि तीन चौथाई फ़ैक्ट्री चली जायगी तो बचेगा क्या। लेकिन अगर आप की योजना में और किनारे को सुन्दर बनाने को ध्यान में रखते हुए यह थोड़ी जमीन दे दें घाट बनाने के लिये, उस में बाग बगीचा लगा दें तो आप क संतोष होगा कि नहीं ?

श्री जगमोहन : हमारा संतोष नहीं होगा क्योंकि हम समझते हैं कि

"this is fundamentally against all principles of Planning for that area".

जिस सकीम पर 40, 50 लाख रुपया खर्च करना है उस के बीच में एक छोटा सा पौकेट छोड़ दें तो इस को हम गैर मुनासिब समझते हैं प्लानिंग दृष्टिकोण से। यह मेरा दृष्टिकोण है।

एक बात और कहना चाहता हूं कि डी० डी० ए० प्लानिंग बाडी है अन्डर दी ऐक्ट और यह उस का यूनानिमस म्यू है। इस में सब लोग मौजद हैं और उन का एक मत से यह विचार है कि यहां पर नहीं होना चाहिये।

श्रीमती सावित्री श्याम : जब उन के सामने यह बात आयी कि गाडगिल ऐश्यारेंसेज केवल उन्हीं को कवर करते हैं जिन का अनअथोराइज्ड ओक्यूपैंशन है अथौराइज्ड ओक्यूपैंशन को कवर नहीं करते हैं, तब उन्हों ने यह बताया कि उन के पास अथौरिटी की तरफ़ से ऐसे नोटिसेज हैं जिस में आप ने ट्रीट किया है ऐज अन-अथोराइज्ड कांस्ट्रक्शन।

श्री जगमोहन : मैंने बता दिया है कि हमने यही कहा था

"They are not covered by the Gadgil assurances."

एक के० के० कमर्शियल के ऊपर गाडगिल एश्योरेन्सेज ऐप्लाई नहीं करते हैं। उन को नोज दी गयी थी और वह जब खत्म हो

जायगी तब अनअथौराइज्ड ओक्यूपेंट आफ लैंड होंगे । "They had some legal rights. They were not squatters to begin with". 9 साल या 18 साल का पीरियड खत्म हो गया तो उस के बाद आप अनअथौराइज्ड ओक्यूपेंट हैं और पब्लिक प्रिमिजेज ऐक्ट के मातहत हटाने का नोटिस दिया जाता है । हमने उन को नोटिस नहीं भेजा है क्योंकि आप की जो सिफारिश होगी उस के अधीन हम काम करेंगे । नहीं तो अभी तक नोटिस दे दिया जाता ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : आप का उन के साथ ऐग्रीमेंट करने से पहले कोई उन्होंने कंस्ट्रक्शन किया जिस का अथोरिटी ने उन को नोटिस दिया हो कि आप ने अन-अथौराइज्ड कंस्ट्रक्शन किया है, उस नोटिस का जवाब उन्होंने कुछ दिया हो और फिर आपने पैनल्टी लगायी हो, ऐसा कोई वाक्या हुआ है ?

श्री जगमोहन : मैं कोई स्टेटमेन्ट बिना पुराने रिकार्डस को देखे हुए नहीं दे सकता हूं । सिविल लाइन्स में जो इम्प्रूवमेन्ट ट्रस्ट था उसके उस समय के रिकार्डस को देख कर आपके सेक्रेटेरियट को लिख दूंगा कि क्या फैक्ट्स हैं ।

श्रीमती सावित्री श्याम : यह जमीन गवर्नमेन्ट की है ?

श्री जगमोहन : जी हां । वह गवर्नमेन्ट की जमीन है । लीज तो एक किस्म का एग्रीमेन्ट होता है, गवर्नमेन्ट और उस पार्टी के बीच में ।

श्रीमती सावित्री श्याम : एक बात यह है कि अगर हम रोड चौड़ी करने के लिए जमीन छोड़ेंगे तो चूंकि वहां पर दो सौ या तीन सौ रुपए पर यार्ड की कीमत है जमीन की, वे लोग कम्पेन्सेशन का बहुत सा रुपया चाहेंगे । और अगर वह जमीन सरकार की है तब तो फिर कम्पेन्सेशन का कोई ग्राउन्ड ही नहीं बनता है ।

श्री जगमोहन : जमीन गवर्नमेन्ट की है । वह जमीन लीज पर दी गई थी और उस लीज का पीरियड एक्सपायर हो गया है । वह डाकूमेंट राइटिंग में है । सारी जमीन गवर्नमेन्ट की है इसमें कोई शुबहा नहीं है ।

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : आपने अभीबहु कि ये कामर्शल यूनिट्स जो हैं वह गाडगिल एश्योरेन्स में नहीं आती हैं । क्या यह फैक्ट है ?

श्री जगमोहन : हमारा तो यही व्यू है लेकिन यह तो वर्कस मिनिस्ट्री का काम है और हमने उनसे लिख कर पूछा है । लेकिन जैसा मैंने उस दिन अर्ज किया था कि इस बात के होते हुए भी, हम बर्चुअली गाडगिल एश्योरेन्स को पूरा कर रहे हैं । हमने उनको आल्टरनेटिव आफर किया है उनकी अपनी आयस की जगह दे रहे हैं और वह भी अपनी कीमत पर दे रहे हैं, आक्शन की कीमत पर नहीं । इसलिए यह बात तो अलग रही कि गाडगिल एश्योरेन्स में वह कवर होता है या नहीं, उस पर वर्कस मिनिस्ट्री जैसा फैसला करेगी हम उसको फालो करेंगे ।

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल :

On 2nd July, 1968, while giving evidence in the case of Old Motor-parts Association before the Committee, the representatives of the Delhi Development Authority, elucidating the point further, stated that these 37 people who were small factory-owners were definitely covered under the Gadgil assurance and that they were accommodated. (Statement of the DDA at page 5 of the Third Report of the Fourth Lok Sabha).

यह आपका 2 जुलाई, 1968 का स्टेटमेन्ट है ।

श्री जगमोहन : पहले तो मैं यह क्लैरिफाई करना चाहूंगा कि मैंने यह स्टेटमेन्ट नहीं दिया है । शायद वोर मलिक साहब ने यह कहा होगा । लेकिन प्वाइन्ट तो यह है कि गवर्नमेन्ट ने हमको क्या कम्युनिकेट किया है ।

सभापति महोदय : आप यह बताइये कि मोतिया खान में क्या कोई लैंड लीज के मामले भी हैं ।

श्री जगमोहन : उसमें सिर्फ स्वेटर्स हैं । वहां पर लीज नहीं है । उन्होंने शुरू में जमीन एक्यूपाई कर ली थी ।

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : आपका जो मास्टर प्लान है, जाहिर है कि दिल्ली जैसे शहर के लिए वह बहुत जरूरी है । जमुना को बेहतर बनाना, उसके किनारों को डेवलप करना, ब्यूटिफुल बनाना, यह सब जरूरी है । मैं यह जानना चाहूंगा कि इस मास्टर प्लान के साथ जोनल प्लान भी तो बनाना होगा ?

श्री जगमोहन : पहली एविडेन्स के अवसर पर भी मुझ से यह प्रश्न पूछा गया था । मैंने बाद में लिख कर जवाब भेजा था । मास्टर प्लान की लैंड यूसेज तो प्रैस्क्राइ ड हैं । जोनल प्लान तो एक किस्म का छोटा प्लान होता है जिसमें कि और ज्यादा डिटेल्स में वर्काउट किया जाता है । किन लैंड यूज को जोनल प्लान में चेंज नहीं किया जा सकता है ।

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : तो वह जोनल प्लान बन गया ?

श्री जगमोहन : जी हां ।

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : मैं आपकी अपनी राय जानना चाहूंगा । जमुना के किनारे के एरिया को ब्यूटिफुल बनाने की बात है लेकिन जैसा कि कल हमने और आपने उसको देखा, वह तमाम खुला हुआ एरिया है, उसमें कहीं वृद्ध विहार हैं और कहीं कोई दूसरा कांस्ट्रक्शन है तो उसको एडजस्ट करके ऐसी शक्ल में रिकांस्ट्रक्ट करें कि उन चीजों को भी बाकी रखा जा सके । हमने सभी ने बाम्बे के मेरीन ड्राइव को देखा है तो क्या आपकी राय में यह पासिबिलिटी है कि इस पूरे एरिया में उनको भी रिकांस्ट्रक्ट करके एडजस्ट किया जा सकता है क्योंकि यह सारा एरिया जो है वह खुला हुआ है, बीच बीच में ही कांस्ट्रक्शन है । आप अपनी मरजी और अपने नक्शे के मुताबिक उनको एडजस्ट करने की कोशिश कर सकते हैं । मैं यह बात बिल्कुल इन्सानी नुक्ते नजर से ही अर्ज कर रहा हूं । कोई भी इन्सान जब किसी भी जगह पर बैठ जाता है और खास तौर पर जबकि उसकी प्रापर्टी मूवेबिल न रह जाये उस वक्त उसको वहां से उखाड़ना हो तो उसका बड़ा नुक्सान होता है । तो क्या इस तरह से एडजस्टमेन्ट किया जा सकता है, खूबसूरत कांस्ट्रक्शन करा कर उनको भी बाकी रखा जाये इस बात की पासिबिलिटी है ? हमारे सामने इस तरह की मिसालें भी मौजूद हैं ।

श्री जगमोहन : आपने मेरा पर्सनल व्यू पूछा है लेकिन किसी आफिसर का तो आफिशल व्यू ही हो सकता है । वैसे मेरा पर्सनल व्यू भी वही है । मेरी अर्ज यह है कि जब पब्लिक गुड के लिए कोई काम करना चाहेंगे, कोई कांस्ट्रक्शन करना चाहेंगे तो उसमें इन्डिविजुअलज को शिफ्ट करने में उनको डिसलोकेशन होता ही है । लेकिन दूसरी तरफ आप इस बात को भी देखें कि दिल्ली की ट्रैफिक नीड्स कितनी बढ़ गई हैं । जमुना को भी डेवलप करना हम जरूरी समझते हैं, ऐसी हालत में यह आवश्यक होगा कि उनको वहां से शिफ्ट किया जाये । अगर सड़क के लिए जगह ले लें तो फिर उसके बाद और कुछ सम्भव नहीं होगा और न प्लानिंग प्वाइन्ट आफ व्यू से वह अच्छा ही लगेगा । एक छोटी सी जगह पर आइस फैक्टरी खड़ी कर दी जाये तो एडमिनिस्ट्रेटिवली भी वह चीज ठीक नहीं होगी क्योंकि जो और फैक्टरीज हटा दी गई हैं उनके ऊपर भी वही चीज लागू होगी । यह फिर डिस्क्रिमिनेशन की बात होगी । और फिर दूसरे बहुत से एरियाज जो डेवलप करने हैं उनमें भी यह प्राब्लम सामने आयेगी । जो पालिसी आपने एप्रूव की है हम उसी के मुताबिक कर रहे हैं । हाफ वे में चेन्ज करने से बड़ी दिक्कतें आयेंगी और हार्ट-बर्निंग भी होगी ।

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : एक तो आपने उनको लैंड आफर की है, सरकारी कीमत पर, वे

उसको ले सकते हैं। क्या इसके अलावा आपने कोई कम्पेन्सेशन भी दिया है ?

श्री जगमोहन : पहली एविडेन्स में भी मैंने यह कहा था कि एक डाकूमेन्ट है जिसमें उनके राइट्स और लायबिलिटीज और सरकार के राइट्स और लाइबिलिटीज दी हुई हैं। उसमें यह भी प्राविजन है कि अगर आपस में फैसला नहीं होता है कि आर्बिट्रेटर से फैसला कराया जायेगा। उस डाकूमेन्ट के मातहत जो उनके राइट्स हैं, हम उनको लेना नहीं चाहते हैं। डाकूमेन्ट में राइट आफ़ कंपेन्सेशन नहीं है।

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : यह कौन कर दे ?

श्री जगमोहन : लीज के डाकूमेंट में यह लिखा रहता है कि—

In the event of dispute, such and such procedure will be followed. Both the parties are signatories to the procedure.

इसमें भी यह लिखा है।

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : आर्बिट्रेशन कंपल्सरी है क्या ?

श्री जगमोहन : कंपल्सरी नहीं है, अगर आपस में फैसला हो जाए तो ठीक है।

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : इसमें आपने कोई कंपेंसेशन आफर किया था ?

श्री जगमोहन : हमने यह बात क्लियर कर दी थी। हमारी पालिसी बड़ी क्लियर है। हमने एक ऐडमिनिस्ट्रेटिव डिसीजन लिया है और उनको कह दिया है कि मास्टर प्लान के मुताबिक आपने उठना है। हमने आपको जमीन दे दी। अगर आपका लीगल राइट है तो हम कंपेन्सेशन कोर्ट से मुकर्रर करा देते हैं। अगर वे कहते हैं कि हम कोर्ट में ही जाते हैं तो हम कहते हैं कि जो कुछ अग्रीमेंट में हमने किया है वह नहीं करेंगे। जो कुछ कोर्ट डाइरेक्ट करेगा वह हम देंगे, बोथ वेज आपको फायदा नहीं मिल सकता। आपको एक चीज का चॉयस करना होगा। इसी वास्ते हमने कहा है कि जिस समय अल्टरनेटिव मिलेगा तो वह 'सब्जेक्ट टु डिसीजन दैट मे बी टेकन' होगा। यह चीज हमने क्लियर कर दी है।

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : मैं आप से यह सवाल पूछना चाहता था कि इस वक्त आपने उनसे बातचीत में या राइटिंग में जमीन के अलावा या जो प्लाट आपने डेवलप करके उनको आफर किया है, उसके अलावा उनकी जो मशीनरी है, उसको ले जाने के लिए भी कोई कंपेन्सेशन तय किया है या आफ़र किया है ?

श्री जगमोहन : जी नहीं। मैं अर्ज कर रहा था कि कंपेन्सेशन तो लैंड के बारे में होता है। जो ऐग्रीमेंट हुआ उसमें और चीज शामिल नहीं होती। केवल उसमें शामिल होता है "लैंड एण्ड दि स्टक्चर्स अपे डेड टु इट"। उसमें मशीनरी को ले जाने या लादने में जो खर्च होता है वह शामिल नहीं होता। दूसरी बात यह है कि अगर मशीनरी ले जाने का उनको कंपेन्सेशन देना है, जमीन का भी उनको कंपेंसेशन देना है, तो फिर क्यों नहीं हम उनको मार्केट रेट पर जमीन दें, 100 रु० की जमीन उनको 22 रुपये में क्यों दें। हम रिंग रोड पर 700 रु० की जमीन उनको 22 रुपये में दे रहे हैं।

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : मैंने तो सिर्फ़ इस लिहाज से अर्ज किया कि आपने उनको जगह के बदले जगह दी। लेकिन जहां तक मशीनरी का सवाल है जो हमने कल देखी, जो टैंक बने हुए हैं वे तो मुश्किल है कि वहां से उठाकर ले जायें, वे तो बिलकुल नये कंस्ट्रक्ट करने पड़ेंगे। इसका कंपेंसेशन आपने आफ़र किया है या नहीं ?

श्री जगमोहन : They have no legal right to compensation. अगर ये ऐबिटेट करेंगे तो 'अन्डर दि क्लाज आफ़ दि ऐग्रीमेंट' उसे डीटल करेंगे।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : एक तो आपने फ़रमाया कि वे गाडगिल ऐश्योरेंस में नहीं आते । कमेटी वाले यह व्यू बनाये बैठे थे कि गाडगिल ऐश्योरेंस में नहीं आते क्योंकि इनका कंट्रेक्ट हुआ । लेकिन आपके फ़रमाने के बाद ऐसा लगा कि वे गाडगिल ऐश्योरेंस में आ गये । क्योंकि उनको वे ही रियायतें गाडगिल ऐश्योरेंस के इंप्लीमेंटेशन में मिलती जो आप दे रहे हैं ।

श्री जगमोहन : मैं आपको अर्ज़ कर दूं कि हमने क्लियरकट कह दिया है ।

I have made a categorical statement that they are not covered by the Gadgil Assurances. On any consideration which you consider fair, we are virtually giving them the same concession they would have otherwise got under Gadgil assurances.

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : मेरा तो ऐसा सवाल था जिसमें आपकी मदद ही करना चाहता था । आप कमेटी के सामने ऐसा बयान दे रहे हैं जिसका आपको अधिकार नहीं ।

श्री जगमोहन : यह मेरा परसनल व्यू है ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : क्या आपकी स्कीम में ऐसा भी है कि तमाम जो आइस फैक्टरीज हैं, जैसे कि आपने ट्रांसपोर्ट वालों को हटाया है, उनको शहर से हटाया जाएगा ?

श्री जगमोहन : हर एक ऐरिया के लिए लैंड यूसेज प्रेस्क्राइब्ड हैं । जिसका लैंड यूस आइस फैक्टरी से टैली नहीं होता है तो उसको हम नान-कंफोर्मिंग यूसेज कहते हैं । नान-कंफोर्मिंग यूस की जितनी भी इंडस्ट्रीज हैं वे सब हटाई जाएंगी । अगर शहर में भी इंडस्ट्रियल ऐरिया है तो उसको भी हटाया जाएगा । नान-कंफार्मिंग यूज वालों को शिफ्ट किया जाएगा ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : अगर ऐसी बात है तो आप उनको इतनी मुहलत देंगे कि उसके मुताबिक उनको हटाया जा सके ?

श्री जगमोहन : मैंने यह कहा था कि जहां पर स्कीम इंप्लीमेंट कर रहे होते हैं, वहां वालों को उठाने की कोशिश होनी है । हर एक आदमी को पहले इत्तिला कर देते हैं । जैसे जैसे इंप्लीमेंटेशन होता है, वैसे उनको वहां से हटाया जाता है । जहां स्कीम है इंप्लीमेंटेशन की, जहां फ़ण्ड सैंक्शन हो गया, वहां से लोगों को डिस्लोकेट करना पड़ता है । सारी दिल्ली में तो एक साथ इंप्लीमेंटेशन नहीं हो सकता है ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : मेरा सवाल तो सिर्फ़ इतना ही था कि क्या आपके डी० डी० ए० की स्कीम में यह बात है कि लोगों के साथ अन्याय न हो । डिस्लोकेट करने के बाद वे रिहैबिलिटेट न हो सकें । आपने ह्यूमिनिटेरियन ग्राउंड पर उनको कहा है कि वहां चले जाएं या आपके ऐग्रीमेंट में है ?

श्री जगमोहन : एग्रीमेंट में नहीं है । ह्यूमिनिटेरियन ग्राउंड पर कहा है, ऐडमिनिस्ट्रेटिव फ़िजीबिलिटी पर कंसिडर किया है ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : सभी विचार किया है इंडस्ट्रीज के लिए, तो जैसा आपने ओखला इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट बनाया, इसी तरह से आपने कई इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया बनाये, तो वहां पर हर एक के लिये बराबर चांस है तरक्की का या तबाही का । तो क्या आप इनके बारे में यह सोचेंगे कि जहां आप इनको ले जा रहे हैं इनका बिजिनेस वहां जाकर और ज्यादा मुसीबत में पड़े ।

श्री जगमोहन : ऐसा नहीं है ।

श्री इसहाक सम्भली : मैंने बिजिनेस के नुक्ते निगाह से कहा । इस में यह है कि लिमरेस्ट जगह पर रेजिडेंशल जगह दी जावेगी ताकि वह अपने बिजिनेस को देख सकें ।

श्री जगमोहन : इस वक्त यह कहना कि बिजिनेस के हिसाब से दूसरी जगह ठीक है या नहीं मुश्किल है । यह बात तो उन पर ही निर्भर करती है कि किस तरह से वह काम करते हैं । लेकिन मेरी राय यह है कि जो लोग तब्दील हो कर वहां जायेंगे उन के लिये बिजिनेस की सब से ज्यादा इम्कान वहां पर है क्योंकि वहां प्रोडक्शन लेवेल भी होगा और इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया भी वह होगी । एक्स्पेंशन आफ इंडस्ट्रीज के लिये जो चीज जरूरी है वह यह कि वहां डेवेलपमेंट पोटेंशल हो । उन में समझ भी है और वह काम चला सकते हैं ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : महावीर वालों का जो मामला है वह बाकी चार से मुख्तलिफ है । आप ने उन्हें अपनी मर्जी से और अपनी अथारिटी से आज्ञा दी है । क्या उन को इजाजत देने के बाद आप उन से कहेंगे कि वह वहां से चले जायें ?

SHRI JAGMOHAN: So far as shifting is concerned all of them are equal. The process of shifting would be different in different cases, depending on the leases they have signed and the agreements they have entered into. In the case of Mahavir factory they had a lease up to 1971. Under the lease there is a clause that if the Central Government desires to have the property it can be taken. We have served notice on them under that clause. The only point here is that if the matter is to be determined by arbitration they have a stronger case for a higher rate of compensation because their right is stronger than the right of a man who holds a property for a temporary period.

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : आज आफिसर लोग हैं जो सारी गवर्नमेन्ट को चलाते हैं, पालियामेंट तो खाली पालिसी बनानी है । मौलाना साहब ने जो पहले सवाल किया था उस के बारे में बतलाइये कि क्या आप चाहेंगे कि यमुना का बड़ा खूबसूरत किनारा भी बनाया जाये और किनारे के साथ साथ जो जमीन है, उस पर कीमती बिल्डिंगें भी बनाई जायें, दस-दस, बारह-बारह मंजिली ? किनारा भी खूबसूरत रहे और हिन्दुस्तान के फंड्स में अरबों नहीं तो करोड़ों रुपये भी आ जायें, क्या ऐसी आप की विचारधारा है ।

श्री जगमोहन : मैंने अर्ज किया कि जब लैंड यूज फिक्स किया गया था तब सारे मामले पर कंसिडरेशन किया गया था ।

Money consideration, public interest and all those considerations were there. Public objections were invited and ultimately it was decided by the authority which gave the authority.

उन्होंने यह फैसला किया कि इस में यह होना चाहिये । अगर हम सोचने कि यह एक आलीशान एरिया बना कर कामर्शल सेंटर बना कर करोड़ों रुपया बना लिया जाये, तो हम ऐसा कर सकते थे ।

But the question is that all these considerations were duly gone into by the competent authority and they came to the conclusion that this is what it should be.

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : आपके पास ऐसा सुझाव आया था कि मेरीन ड्राइव की तरह पूरी लाइन बनाई जाये जिस से कि गवर्नमेंट के पास करोड़ों रुपये आ जायें, जिस से और डैवेलपमेंट हो सके, लेकिन आप ने उस को माना नहीं, क्या यह सही है ?

श्री जगमोहन : ऐसा सुझाव डेफिनिट टर्म्स में नहीं आया था, लेकिन हम ने सब कुछ सोचा था । लेकिन जिस तरह आप ने मेरीन ड्राइव की बात कही, उस तरह से रिंग रोड और

दरिया के बीच नहीं हो सकेगा । वह एक सड़क है और सारी बिल्डिंग्स उसी लाइन पर होंगी । इंटर-स्टेट बस टीर्मनस के किनारे बिल्डिंग्स हैं । यह बिल्डिंग्स दूसरी तरफ होंगी ।

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : सवाल कारपोरेशन की अपनी आमदनी का है । इस तरह से वह अपनी आमदनी बढ़ा सकती थी ।

सभापति महोदय : आप ने शुरू में कहा था कि जब यह यमुना बाजार की झुग्गी झोंपड़ी का मामला पार्लियामेंट में आया तब मिनिस्टर साहब ने कहा कि उन्हें भी हटाया जायेगा । आप कंसर्न्ड ऐडमिनिस्ट्रेटिव मिनिस्ट्रीज से पता कर लीजिये कि कब यह बात कही गई है ।

श्री जगमोहन : यह सवाल जब हुआ था उस वक्त शार्ट डिस्कशन हुआ था । हम ने भी नोट भेजा था जिस में यह प्वाइंट रेज किया गया था । उस वक्त मिनिस्टर साहब ने जवाब देते हुए अपनी राय दी थी । हम ऐडमिनिस्ट्रेटिव मिनिस्ट्री से पूछेंगे और डी डी ए का रिफ्रेंस नम्बर आप को भी दे देंगे ।

सभापति महोदय : आप मिनिस्ट्री से पूछिये, वह हम को भी भेज देंगे ।

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : क्या आप यह बतला सकेंगे कि दिल्ली डेवेलपमेंट अथारिटी ने जो भी जमीनें हैं उन के यूज को चेन्ज किया है ?

श्री जगमोहन : जो डी डी ए ऐक्ट बना तो उस में लैंड यूज को चेन्ज करने की बात रखी गई थी । पहले वह पब्लिक आब्जेक्शनस इन्वाएट करनी है उस के बाद चेन्ज कर सकती है । मास्टर प्लान बनते वक्त इस तरह के कई केसेज हुए थे । कहीं पर फैक्चुअल एरर थी कहीं कुछ और था । ऐसी जगहों पर लैंड यूज को चेन्ज किया गया था ।

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : डी डी ए ने जो चेन्जेज किये हैं उन की तरफ मैं आप का ध्यान ले जाना चाहूंगा । एक है न्यू मुल्तान नगर, रोहतक रोड, दूसरा है प्रेमिसेज नम्बर 2, मौलाना आजाद रोड, नई दिल्ली, तीसरा है हरिनगर आश्रम, मथुरा रोड, चौथा है लोनी रोड । इसी तरह से अशोक रोड, नई दिल्ली और लैंड ऐट दि जंक्शन आफ लोदी रोड ऐंड किचलू मार्ग तथा जी टी रोड शाहदरा हैं । उत्तम मार्ग कालोनी मेहरोली रोड को भी आप ने चेन्ज किया है । अगर आप इन को चेन्ज कर सकते हैं तो यहां चेन्ज करने में आप को कौन सी दिक्कत आ रही है ?

SHRI JAGMOHAN: Under the DDA Act there is a provision to change the land-use after following a certain procedure. In some of the cases which you have read out land-use has not been changed although representations were made—either the matter is pending or it has not been changed. In some of the cases the land-use has been changed after the DDA was convinced about the change of use and that it was beneficial. In the case of New Multan Nagar there was a factual error in the plan. The decision was something whereas in the colouring it was not made clear. In the case of Hari Nagar also it was more or less the same. They are still representing that it should be changed. No decision has yet been taken. After the DDA decides the Central Government has to approve the change. Wherever there are minor modifications the DDA has certainly a right to modify subject to the procedure laid down under the Act. In this case the DDA has come to the conclusion that it should not be changed whatever the representation because that will be against the principles of planning.

SHRI A. S. SAIGAL: You may go through the reply to Unstarred Question No. 1880 for 25th November, 1968 and your reply and see whether there is any variation.

SHRI JAGMOHAN: I will send a written reply.

श्री अब्दुल गनी वार : प्रीमियम लेने की क्या वजह थी ? क्या सिर्फ आप इसलिए लेते थे कि आपने उनको आज्ञा दे रखी थी ?

SHRI JAGMOHAN: The premium is in consideration of the right to use the land in terms of that agreement.

श्री अब्दुल गनी वार : प्रीमियम टेम्पोरेरी रखते थे ?

श्री जगमोहन : प्रीमियम टेम्पोरेरी भी होता है ।

सभापति महोदय : आप का धन्यवाद ।

(The witnesses then withdrew)

(The Committee continued their deliberations on the subject).

GMGIPND—LS I—1952 (E) LS— 8-3-69—200

© 1969 By the Lok Sabha Secretariat.

Published under Rule 382 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Lok Sabha (Fifth Edition) and printed by the General Manager, Government of India Press, Minto Road, New Delhi.

Corrigenda

to the

Evidence (1969-70) re: Rehabilitation of displaced persons who are covered under the "Gadgil Assurances" given before the Committee on Government Assurances.

Page 3, _____

col. 2, line 26 for 'ने' read 'दी'

Page 6, col. 2, line 6, after ''remain' insert 'in'

Page 8, col. 2.

(i) line 8, for 'elsewhert' read 'elsewhere'

(ii) line 9, for 'officers' read 'offices'

(iii) line 6 from bottom, for 'उस ' read ' जिस '

Page 10, col. 2, line 21, for 'as be' read 'ask'

New Delhi,
The 10th February, 1970.

CONTENTS

Witnesses examined	Date of hearing	Page
MPOSITION OF THE COMMITTEE	.....	(iii)
resentatives of the—		
(i) Ministry of Health and Family Planning and Works, Housing and Urban Development (Department of Works, Housing and Urban Development)	1st November, 1969	1
(ii) Delhi Development Authority;		
(iii) Delhi Administration; and		
(iv) Municipal Corporation of Delhi.		

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES

COMPOSITION OF THE COMMITTEE

(1969-70)

PRESENT

Shri K. Anbazhagan—*Chairman.*

MEMBERS

2. Shri Maharaj Singh Bharati
3. Shri Abdul Ghani Dar
4. Shri Narendra Ramchandraji Deoghare
5. Shri Samar Guha
6. Shri Kanwar Lal Gupta
7. Kumari Kamla Kumari
8. Shri G. Y. Krishnan
9. Shri Bhola Nath Master
10. Shrimati Mohinder Kaur
11. Shri C. Muthusami
12. Shri A. S. Saigal
13. Maulana Ishaq Sambhali
14. Shri A. T. Sarma
15. Shrimati Savitri Shyam.

SECRETARIAT

Shri M. C. Chawla—*Deputy Secretary.*

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES

MINUTES OF EVIDENCE GIVEN BEFORE THE COMMITTEE

Saturday, the 1st November, 1969 at 15.00 hours.

PRESENT

Shri A. S. Saigal—(*in Chair*).

MEMBERS

2. Shri Maharaj Singh Bharati
3. Shri Abdul Ghani Dar
4. Shri Narendra Ramchandraji Deoghare
5. Shri Kanwar Lal Gupta
6. Kumari Kamla Kumari
7. Shri G. Y. Krishnan
8. Shri Bhola Nath Master
9. Maulana Ishaq Sambhali
10. Shri A. T. Sarma.
11. Shri Samar Guha

SECRETARIAT

Shri M. C. Chawla—*Deputy Secretary.*

WITNESSES EXAMINED

(i) *Ministry of Health and Family Planning and Works, Housing and Urban Development (Department of Works, Housing and Urban Development)*

1. Shri P. R. Nayak—*Secretary.*
2. Shri S. Chaudhuri—*Deputy Secretary.*
3. Shri Prakash Narain—*Land and Development Officer.*

(ii) *Delhi Development Authority*

Shri S. G. Bose-Mullick—*Vice-Chairman.*

(iii) *Delhi Administration*

Shri Jagmohan—*Commissioner (Implementation).*

(iv) *Delhi Municipal Corporation—*

Shri G. D. Bahri—*Deputy Commissioner.*

Re. Rehabilitation of displaced persons who are covered under the "Gadgil Assurances"

(The witnesses were called in and they took their seats.)

MR. CHAIRMAN: Now we start the proceedings. The number of persons seeking relief is 4918. Is it correct?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: Yes.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Whether any Press note inviting applications from the eligible claimants was issued in this behalf or not or door to door survey was made in different areas by the authorities concerned?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: This was done on the basis of public announcement made earlier by the DDA inviting applications from persons who considered themselves to be eligible for assistance. It also included certain cases, known to us as covered by the Gadgil Assurance i.e., some *khokhewale* covered by the Delhi Municipal Corporation jurisdiction.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: What was the final date of receiving the applications?

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: End of December 1968.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: What is the progress to find out the genuineness of the claim?

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: A Committee has been formed which is going through all these applications. In initial stages they were framing the points on which scrutiny should be made. Now those points have been cleared up. I think they have scrutinised 300 applications and the progress is there. I think it will take some time before all the scrutiny is complete.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: The basis was in Gadgil Assurance. What was the difficulty in scrutinising the applications?

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: When scrutiny took place it was seen:

1. Whether they were displaced persons.

2. Whether they were squatting at a particular place in August.

A large number of people could not furnish the proof. They say it is lost. We are taking secondary evidence i.e. ration cards, or prosecution made by the Corporation. challan made, electricity bills and such other secondary proof.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: When I was a Member of the Delhi Assembly, ration card, challans and the voters list—these were admitted even at that time, I do not see any difficulty when you have all these basis, I think that was there. You want any documentary evidence to prove that a particular refugee was there before 1950 or not. The question was very simple. I think the matter has been unnecessarily delayed and so many times this Committee have given its verdict and not a very favourable note. So, in spite of all this, I am sorry to say that the matter is further delayed unnecessarily. After all when you received the claim you could issue a public notice—anybody who has got this evidence and can produce documentary evidence whether it is ration card, challan, they should be able to convince you that he was here before 1950 and is a refugee. I think on account of red-tapeism in your Department this matter is being delayed unnecessarily and for the last 20 years these people are there. It is not a very happy state of affairs. What action do you propose to take?

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: We have daily dates for hearing. I think from 5th onward. This work will be finished in as little a time as possible. We have actually told them that you must finish in 60 days.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: Keeping in view 4918 we should also compare the figure of 1100 eligible displaced persons as determined by the Chanda Committee and they gave the report. In 1960, they said that they had come across about 1195 or so eligible persons. Whether a large number of 4918 will be found actually eligible, that remains to be seen. It may well be that all these men may not be eligible under the Gadgil Assurances. Chanda Committee talk of 7 persons at Pusa Road, those who are in Chanda Committee Report are no longer in need of assistance under the Gadgil Assurances.

Chanda Committee gave details of the number of eligible persons after site inspection.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: बीस साल हो गये हैं। लोग पटरियों पर बैठे रहे। जब उन्होंने देखा कि सरकार कुछ नहीं करती है तो वे वहां से चले गये, दूसरी जगह चले गये। बहुत से रिहैबिलिटेशन बेनीफिट भी उन्होंने नहीं लिये। आपने एक यह एश्योरेंस भी दी थी कि वे जहां नौकरी करते हैं या दुकानदारी करते हैं, उसके नजदीक ही उनको जगह दी जायेगी।

"Where eviction is necessary, the alternative accommodation should be provided on developed land and as far as practicable near the place of businesses or employment of the displaced persons.

इसके अलावा लोन की भी बात थी। उनको आप हटाते हैं तो दस पन्द्रह मील दूर फेंक देते हैं। प्लाट डेवेलेप्ड नहीं होता है। झुग्गी-झोपड़ी स्कीम में आप उनको डाल

देते है। पहले आप अस्सी गज देते थे अब सिर्फ पच्चीस गज की बात करने लग गए हैं।

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: May I say that the Government announced in December, 1968, that those governed by the Gadgil Assurance will all be given plots of between 80 to 200 Sq. yards. There was a time when these people were being dealt with under the Jhuggi-Jhonpri scheme. The Committee on Govt. Assurances made certain recommendations and Government considered them and in December, 1968, an announcement was made in Parliament to the effect that Government will no longer treat the Jhuggi-Jhonpri scheme as applicable to Gadgil Assurance people, but they will be rehabilitated as far as practicable.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: इसमें तो यह कहा है कि नजदीक देंगे। यह तो नहीं होना चाहिये कि लोग 10, 15 मील दूर रिहेबिलिटेट हों। जो आपने रिहैबिलिटेट किये हैं उनमें से 75 प्रतिशत अपनी जगह से 10, 15 मील दूर बसाये गये हैं।

75 per cent people are offered at places which are 10 or 15 miles away from their original place.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: If you look at the report of the Chanda Committee, you will find that there are certain recommendations made as to how people should be rehabilitated. And by and large we are trying to rehabilitate them in those places as far as possible. But, what will happen after a study of the 4,000 odd applications is concerned, I cannot say. But we stand by the Government assurances and they will be given land if they are able to buy them. DDA has a scheme also to give built houses on a hire purchase basis.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: What about loan? That is on a very small scale.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: If any construction is removed, Rs. 500 was being given in those days.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: You have not changed it.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: We are prepared to do that. But people may prefer alternative scheme of DDA of a built-house, if they can get on hire purchase.

SHRI ABDUL GHANI DAR: आप और हम सभी मानेंगे कि 20 साल से ज्यादा अर्सा हो गया लेकिन गाडगिल ऐश्योरेंस के नीचे जो वायदे किये गये थे अभी हम पूरे नहीं कर पाये।

आपने जो इसमें फिगर्स दिये हैं वे कैसे इकट्ठे किये और किस तरह इकट्ठे किये उस पर भी जरा रोशनी डालें। साथ ही आपने यह कहा कि आपने नोटिस जारी किये। तो मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि किस तरह जारी किये और किन-किन जरियों से जारी किये जिससे गरीब लोग जो दूर बैठे हैं उनकी समझ आ सके। अगर आप इस बात पर भी रोशनी डालेंगे तो मैं बहुत शुक्रगुजार हूंगा।

तीसरी बात यह है कि जो आपने ऐलान की बात कही कि हमने कुछ टाइम और बढ़ा दिया है तो इसकी इत्तला भी आपने तमाम झुग्गियों में दी कि नहीं, कि हमने टाइम बढ़ा दिया है ताकि जिसको अर्जी देनी हो वह दे दे।

चौथी बात आप कहते है कि जो आपने कमेटी बैठायी उसमें तीन महीने का आपने समय रखा। तो आया यह तीन महीने आखरी है या फिर इसको एक्सटेंड करने वाले हैं।

पांचवी बात यह है कि जो केसेज पुराने चले आते है आपके सामने और अभी उनका फैसला नहीं हुआ तो उसकी कोइ न कोई वजह होगी। तो वजह होने से कोई आदमी बरबाद होता रहे तो आपकी गलती नहीं है। उसकी गलती है और वह मजावार है। लेकिन अगर आप का डिपार्टमेंट मास्टर प्लान बनाये और कोई जगह न रखे तो हम क्या करे। मैं जानता हूं कि कई व्यक्ति करोड़पति थे जो बिलकुल

मिट गये, गरीब तो मिटे ही। अब ऐसी सूरत में जब आप मास्टर प्लान में उनके लिये कोई जगह नहीं रखें तो आप किस तरह गाडगिल ऐश्योरेंस को पूरा करेंगे, यह भी जरा बता दें।

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: We gave publicity in all the English and Hindi newspapers of Delhi continuously for a few days, and repeated it again at short intervals. I can give the dates. At present I do not have.

MR. CHAIRMAN: You supply the dates to the Committee.

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: Besides that, there are certain Associations formed by the displaced persons and particularly in areas where they are in clusters. They have been talking to us through their Associations and we convey them information and we give them forms and other materials. To our knowledge, only for the fact that there was some request for some more time because some people were not able to apply, there was no request since then that anyone has been left-over. If someone comes even now, if it is genuine, his case will not be ignored. So, this number that we have stated is the number that stands with us now, and that is the position, Sir.

SHRI ABDUL GHANI DAR: आप जरा यह बतायें कि यह 4918 का नम्बर आपने कहां से दिया, कैसे यह नम्बर लिया। और दूसरे यह कि जब पहले प्लान करने लगे तो यह क्यों नहीं सोचा कि जो बरबाद लोग हैं वे यहीं बसते हैं और उनके लिये जगह रखनी चाहिये। उनके लिये आप ने क्यों जगह नहीं रखी ?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: The number 4,918 includes 625 khokhas. They conducted a survey in 1967.

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: The Municipal Corporation surveyed all of their jurisdiction and they found that there were 625 people carrying on business in khokhas on roadside. I do not know whether they had determined their eligibility under the Gadgil Assurance.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: In reply to their annuoncements, the DDA received 4,281 applications. In addition, the Government know of 12 cases who have been squatting on land at the Land Development Office. This is how total is made up. Now, DDA has tried and Government had said that they will give due regard to the existing state of affairs in preparing the Master Plan. In some cases, however, it has not been possible to allow these people to remain permanently where they are, as for example, when they are in the alignment of a road and it could not be altered. But as far as possible, the scheme of rehabilitation recommended by the Chanda Committee and now under consideration by the DDA and the Government thinking is according to this idea that as far as possible, they should be rehabilitated near where they are or where they are doing business. But in some cases it is not possible.

SHRI ABDUL GHANI DAR: Again, my question remains. Has any place been earmarked for rehabilitating these people or are you going to send them away wherever you like?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: There are a number of areas earmarked for them. For example we are going to rehabilitate the people in the Pusa road or Aram Bagh areas in New Rajindernagar. Similarly, the DDA has a scheme to rehabilitate the people in the Ranjitnagar and Khampur area.

SHRI ABDUL GHANI DAR: Anyway, you may give us the details. How many will be rehabilitated where they are and how many elsewhere?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: In some cases, they will be rehabilitated where they are.

For example, there is squatting in the Ahata Kidara area. The scheme of the DDA is to try and develop that area and rehabilitate the people on the spot.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: All the people or only some of them?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: As many as can be accommodated there.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: How many will be accommodated there?

Shri P. R. NAYAK: That will depend upon whether those people want plots or built-up tenements.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Can you give us the figures areawise?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: This will be known clearly when the survey is complete. If you want the old picture, that is given in the Chanda Committee's report, which is referred to in the Second Report of the Fourth Lok Sabha Committee on Assurances.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: Formerly, it was with the DMC but now it has been transferred to the DDA. I do not know what the present position is. I think about 700 families are there.

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: 360.

SHRI ABDUL GHANI DAR: I think three families have left it.

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: To our knowledge, there are 363 people who are eligible; in reply to our advertisement, we have received only 360 applications; it appears that three people have already left the place or have not cared to apply; so, 363 may be taken as the number on which basis we are proceeding to make the plan for their rehabilitation. This matter was dealt with by the corporation for quite some time, and when slum clearance work and the Jhuggi-Jhonpri scheme was transfered this also was transferred. The plan was to give to those people who were squatting on land more than 125 Sq. yds. a bigger plot and a smaller plot to those who were squatting on land up to 80 Sq. yds., and to those who were squatting on land less than 80 Sq. yds., say, 45 or 50 Sq. yds., tenements. This was the proposal made by Government, and I think it has not been finalised yet. The Secretary says that the assurance or scheme that they will all be offered 80 to 200 Sq. yds., plots will have to be modified. Now, we have asked the corporation to give us back all the papers and files so that we can go ahead and we could rehabilitate these people also as we are doing it in the case of the others. So, the Ahata Kidara area also will be taken up as the other areas are being taken up.

The idea is that we should try to accommodate as many of them as possible there itself. There may be a few who may want some plots and who want to shift there. There may be others who may like to have buildings of their own elsewhere. So, it is possible that the number may come down to a reasonable limit. We do not know exactly how the situation will be tackled, but we shall have to tackle each scheme on its own merits.

The question was raised whether there was any area earmarked for them. There is no such area earmarked for them as such.

Then the question has been asked whether we have got an idea of the dimensions of the problem and how many people are to be rehabilitated. We have really no idea of it. Unless we have an idea, it is not possible for us to say immediately how many will be rehabilitated. Besides, we would also like to know how many of them would like to have plots under other schemes of the DDA. There are a very large number of people who are telling us that they want 200 sq. yards plots in the Wazirpur development scheme or the Naraina scheme. We are prepared to give them if they are prepared to go there; we welcome it and we would give it to them at a pre-determined rate, without going through the formalities of a lottery or anything like that. There are also others who are asking us for

houses or ready-built flats which have been made by the DDA. So, by that means also, several people will be accommodated. Then, we would know how many will remain. As for others who want flats, we propose wherever there is land available in the same vicinity to construct some tenements which we would like to allot to them on a hire purchase basis. For example, in the Subhash Nagar area, there are a large number of families living, and at least 60 of them have already told us that they may be given even a small apartment but they should not be shifted from that place. In our revised scheme for that area, we have separated a portion which was previously earmarked for a very large park, for building tenements for them and we shall be giving these to them. These are the various methods by which we want to tackle the problem, but it can be really tackled schemewise only when the dimentions of the problem are known. I hope this will be in our hands in about a month's time.

SHRI ABDUL GHANI DAR: आप ने यह बतलाया कि जब आपने मास्टर प्लान अपनी बनाई थी तब आप ने कोई खास एरिया मार्क नहीं की थी। आपके जेहन में सिर्फ यह था कि इधर बसायेंगे, उधर बसायेंगे। बीस बरस से आहता किदारा की स्कीम चल रही है। मैं जानना चाहता हूं कि जब आपने मास्टर प्लान बनाई तब आपने रिहैबिलिटेशन डिपार्टमेंट या किसी और जिम्मेदार इदारे से गाडगिल ऐथ्योरेंसेज को पूरा करने के लिये यह पूछा था कि कितने आदमी गाडगिल ऐथ्योरेंसेज के नीचे आते हैं और कहां कहां हैं ताकि उनको आबाद करने के लिये आप कुछ इलाके मार्क कर दें और वहां पर उनको बसायें ?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: In the master plan there is enough area earmarked for residential purposes. So, the problem of finding areas does not have so much of relevance to the master plan or to the other point mentioned earlier. The master plan should, if possible, be modified to allow the people to remain there. This attempt has been made in certain cases, but in certain other cases, it has not been possible to modify the master plan to allow the people to remain their places. Because of alignment of roads or because of the widening of roads or because the area had to be re-developed, to that extent, the mater plan has had to be retained and these people have had to be shifted to other areas.

MAULANA ISHAQ SAMBHALI: पिछले ढाई साल से मैंने देखा है कि गाडगिल ऐथ्योरेंस का सवाल कई बार उठ चुका है। नायक साहब ने कहा कि गाडगिल ऐथ्योरेंस एगजिस्ट कर रही है। खुशी की बात है कि उन्होंने फराकदिली के साथ इसको मान लिया। आप जानते हैं कि जो हमारे रेफ्यूजी भाई यहां पर आये, जाहिर बात है कि उनके लिये सभी के दिलों में हमदर्दी थी। मैं समझता हूं गवर्नमेंट ने गाडगिल ऐथ्योरेंस को तहत एक बड़ा अच्छा मौका दिया, जो कि इन जगहों पर बैठे हुये हैं, कि आप उनको वहां पर लीगैलाइज कर सकते हैं। साथ ही साथ कोई मजबूरी आये तो उसके लिये रास्ता बतलाते। लेकिन मैं तजुर्बे की बिना पर कह सकता हूं कि पिछले दो मर्तबे जो गाडगिल एथ्योरेंस का सवाल उठा तो मुझे दुख के साथ कहना पड़ता है कि फैक्ट यह है कि कोई मास्टर प्लान एगजिस्ट नहीं करता था, उसके जोनल प्लान्स नहीं बने थे लेकिन न जाने क्यों, गाडगिल एथ्योरेंस को इम्प्लीमेंट करने की जिम्मेदारी जिनके हक में थी, उन्होंने पूरा करने की कोशिश नहीं की। पूर्वी मार्ग, आराम बाग से कितने ही घरों को उजाड़ा गया, डिमालिश किया गया और उसके बाद यहां पर सवाल आया। वहां पर किसी और को बिठाने के क्या माने हैं, वहीं वालों को रहने दिया जाये तो गनीमत है। नायक साहब की खुशकिस्मती है कि बोम मलिक जैसे आफिसर्स उनके साथ हैं जिनका रवैया है कि पब्लिक के साथ सिम्पैथेटिक एटाट्यूट रखें लेकिन इसके

बावजूद मैं नहीं समझता कि क्यों गाडगिल एश्योरेंस को इम्प्लीमेंट करने के लिये कोई जोश और सरगर्मी नहीं है। बेला रोड, आराम-बाग, पूर्वी मार्ग और फेज रोड, वहां पर गाडगिल एश्योरेंस को पूरा करने के लिये सरगर्मी और जोश नहीं था और न कोई ख्वाहिश ही पाई जाती है। इससे अच्छा और क्या मौका हो सकता है कि जब गाडगिल एश्योरेंस दे दी गई। कोई नया एरिया या जमीन नहीं मांगी गई बल्कि सिर्फ यह जो उस जगह पर बैठे हैं कि वे अगर यह साबित कर दें कि फलां डेट को वहां पर बैठे थे तो उनको लोगलाइज कर दिया जाये।

मैं तस्लीम करता हूं कि मास्टर प्लान बनेगा, शहर का डेवलपमेंट होगा लेकिन क्या यह नहीं हो सकता है कि जब मास्टर प्लान बने तो [उनको गाइडेंस दी जाये, उनको पाबन्द किया जाय कि अपनी बिल्डिंग बनाइये लेकिन इस तरह से बनाइये? लेकिन दिल्ली शहर में ग्रीन बेल्ट बनाने के लिये उनके मकानों को उजाड़ा जाये, उनको बेघर किया जाये, यह बड़े ताज्जुब की बात है जबकि आपके पास इतना अच्छा मौका है, आप गाडगिल एश्योरेंस को इम्प्लीमेंट करके उन मुसीबतजदों को राहत पहुंचा सकते थे बिना इस बात का मौका दिये हुए कि वे लोग जस्टिस हासिल करने के लिए कोर्ट में जाते, अपील करते या एश्योरेन्स कमेटी के सामने आते। आपकी तरफ से किसी को भी इस बात का मौका नहीं देना चाहिए था कि वे कोर्ट में जायें या अश्योरेन्स कमेटी के सामने आयें। मैं चाहता हूं कि आप तीन चार चीजों का स्पेसिफिकली जवाब दें।

पहली बात तो यह है कि आपकी राय में जो गाडगिल एश्योरेंस में आते है उनको हिदायत की जाय कि मास्टर प्लान के मातहत उतनी ही जगह छोड़ते हुए और उस जगह पर आपके नक्शे के ही मुताबिक कांस्ट्रक्शन करें। ऐसा करने में आपको क्या दुश्वारी है?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: May I point out the exact terms of the Gadgil assurance? It reads like this:

".....any displaced person without being authorised to do so has occupied any public land, or constructed any building or part of a building on such land before the 15th August, 1950, such person shall not be evicted nor such construction shall be removed unless the following conditions are fulfilled:

A sector-wise plan in this behalf is prepared by the Chief Commissioner of Delhi on the recommendation of the Allotment Committee and such plan is approved by the Central Government in the Ministry of Rehabilitation and for the purpose of preparing such a plan, the Allotment Committee functioning under the Chief Commissioner shall be strengthened by two persons nominated by the Central Government...." etc.

Subject to the provisions that alternative accommodation is provided on developed land, and as far as practicable, near the place of business or employment."

In every case, where any construction is demolished or removed, rehabilitation grant *ex-gratia* is made to the displaced person."

Then, in the case of constructions which comply fairly or with suitable modifications made fairly to comply with the municipal requirements. they shall be granted land.

The intention is in every area where people had been squatting, we should try to prepare a plan for that area. This plan was actually prepared in

1952—1954 by a Committee on which Members of Parliament were also associated, and all action that has been taken subsequently for the removal and rehabilitation of these people has been in keeping with the provisions of the recommendations of that Committee. Today we are left with a very small problem.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: 5,000 people.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: Maybe; we do not know. As I said, in 1960, the Chanda Committee, after a survey of Delhi, came to the conclusion that there were about 1,195 people eligible.

SHRI ABDUL GANI DAR: The Chanda Committee did not go to all the places.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: They have given details of the areas which they have inspected. I think the Committee also took note of this. The problem is now confined to about a dozen areas really speaking. We have studied the problems of these dozen areas. As Mr. Bose-Mullick said, in certain places like Subhas Nagar, and Arambagh, their intention is as far as practicable to rehabilitate these people there. Take Poorvi Marg, for instance. There are only five people now eligible for Gadgil assurance rehabilitation on Poorvi Marg. We find that it is not practicable to permit them to remain there permanently, because they come in the way of the alignment of the road, right on the corner of the road, and they are occupying very large areas, something like 3,000 to 4,000 sq. yds. quite improperly. It was never the intention that rehabilitation assistance would be of that order. The Committee was also advised at an early stage that the intention is to rehabilitate them in plots which we will have in New Rajendra Nagar. This is what we mean to do.

It is also a problem in Arambagh. There are only five people there who are eligible for assistance, and it is our intention to offer them plots of 200 sq. yds., each. That is the maximum in terms of the rehabilitation assistance. There are certain other areas like Motia Khan and Jhandewalan. There is a Scheme of the DDA to give them godown accommodation elsewhert and some officers and some show-rooms in Motia Khan area.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: There is no construction work going on in Motia Khan.

MAULANA ISHAQ SAMBHALI: मैंने जो बात कही और मुझे अफसोस है कि मैंने बड़े इत्मीनान के साथ सारी बात सुनाई लेकिन उसका जवाब नहीं आया । मैं यह जानना चाहता था और यह सजेस्ट करना चाहता था और हमको मजबूरन इस बात को आपके सामने रखना पड़ता है कि गाडगिल ऐश्योरेंस के बारे में सरकार को जितना शर्मिन्दा होना पड़ा, इतना शर्मिन्दा किसी और इश्यू पर शायद ही होना पड़ा हो । हमने जो रिपोर्ट दी जैसे पूर्वी मार्ग और आरामबाग एरिया में से पांच-पांच फेमिलीज को हटाया और हमारे जगन्नाथ राव मिनिस्टर ने हमारी रिपोर्ट को ऐडमिट किया कि गाडगिल ऐश्योरेंस फुलफिल नहीं हुई । पार्लियामेंट्री सिस्टम में यह कोई मामूली बात नहीं है इस चीज को ऐडमिट करना और यह नौबत आना कि गवर्नमेंट यह कहे कि ऐश्योरेन्स पूरी नहीं हुई । मेरी समझ में नहीं आता कि ऐसा क्यों हुआ । वह आप से कोई नई जमीन नहीं चाहते थे, वह कोई नई लैंड नहीं चाहते थे, वह सिर्फ इतना ही चाहते थे कि जो जगह, दो हजार फीट हो या दो सौ फीट हो, उतनी ही जगह उनको दी जाए । उस वक्त उन्होंने इस जमीन पर कब्जा किया था, उस वक्त वहां कोई आबादी नहीं थी । हमने भी वह ऐरियाज देखे हैं जहां पर उनको अल्टरनेटिव जमीन दी गई है । । मैंने उसी समय जगमोहन जी से सवाल किया था कि यह क्या डेवलप्ड

लैंड है ? कोई भी डेवलप्ड लैंड उनको आफर नहीं की गई। हमारे ऐडमिनिस्ट्रेशन की तस्वीर ही दूसरी है और वह कहते हैं कि एरिया प्लान के मुताबिक मकान बनायें। उनको डेवलप लैंड दीजिए और इस कन्डीशन के साथ उनको कहिए कि एरिया प्लान के मुताबिक बनायें तो उससे आपकी प्लेन भी पूरी हो जाएगी और गाइडिंग ऐक्योरेंश भी पूरी हो जाएगी।

दूसरी बात मैं यह जानना चाहता हूं कि जब अल्टरनेटिव अकोमोडेशन के लिए कहा जाता है तो जो तीन शर्तें गाइडिंग ऐक्योरेंस में दी गई हैं—पहला यह कि बिजिनेस प्लेस के लिहाज से नजदीक हो, दूसरे, डेवलप्ड लैंड हो और तीसरे उसी जमीन, की हैसियत की होनी चाहिए—क्या जो सजेशंस आपकी हैं वे इन कन्डीशंस को फुलफिल करती हैं। खोखे वालों की स्कीम की बात कही गई लेकिन मुझे ताज्जुब होता है कि अभी तक वह स्कीम कहां पड़ी है, अभी तक तो वह बतलाई नहीं गई है। वह क्या करेंगे, सड़क पर बैठे रहेंगे ? मैं तो फिर वही अर्ज करूंगा कि पार्लियामेंट में इस पर सवाल उठा था और आपको जानकर खुशी होगी डिफरेंट पार्टीज के लोग इस कमेटी में भी बैठे हुए हैं और पार्लियामेंट में भी बैठे हैं, लेकिन निहायत खुशी की बात है कि गाइडिंग ऐक्योरेंस के सवाल और रिफ्यूजीज के साथ इन्साफ के सवाल पर आज भी किसी तरह का फर्क उनमें नहीं है। इसलिए मैं चाहता हूं कि ऐसी चीज हो जिससे कि जो गवर्नमेंट ऐनाउन्समेंट है, जो पार्लियामेंट के मेम्बर्स की एक राय से सिफारिश है उनको पूरा किया जाय और मेहरबानी फरमाकर इन तीनों चीजों के बारे में अगर आप उनको पाबन्द करते हैं कि अब आपके नक्शे के अनुसार वह चलें तो जो आप उनको अल्टरनेट जगह देना चाहते हैं वह तीनों कन्डीशंस को फुलफिल करें जो गाइडिंग ऐक्योरेंस में कही गई हैं। साथ ही खोखे वालों के बारे में आपकी क्या स्कीम है, मैं चाहूंगा कि उसके बारे में भी बतायें।

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: As I said, it is a question of allowing some construction to remain contrary to public interest, as when the construction comes in the way of road alignment. We have carefully considered this matter. Even the Chanda Committee made a specific recommendation that these squatters should be removed and offered rehabilitation assistance in New Rajendra Nagar. I do not think at this stage, in the present state of development of this area, it is really practicable or in the public interest to allow four or five large squatters to remain there. Where rehabilitation assistance is to be given, it is the intention of Government as well as of DDA to offer land in developed areas. It will be near the place where these people are, as far as practicable. That is why we thought of New Rajendra Nagar. It may not in all cases be practicable to rehabilitate them on the spot or very close to the spot but the objective is to try to rehabilitate them on the spot if possible or near where they are to the extent possible, and to give them developed land with services, in a properly approved lay-out.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: Can you assure us that they will be given plots where there is electricity, filtered water and sewerage, roads, etc.?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: The intention is to give land which is fully serviced provided with roads etc.

MAULANA ISHAQ SAMBHALI: मेरा सीधा सा सवाल है कि जो जगह आपने हासिल की है, मैं आपसे पूछना चाहता हूं कि वह क्या डेवलप्ड लैंड है, हम डेवलप्ड लैंड उसको मानते हैं कि जहां पर प्लाट में इलेक्ट्रिसिटी हो, फिल्टर्ड वाटर हो और सीवर हो तथा रोड्स हों। क्या वह प्लाट जो आप आफर कर रहे हैं वह डेवलप्ड लैंड है इस सैंस में ?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK. I am talking in that sense. Mr. Bose-Mullick said they are proposing to offer plots in colonies where they are developing all these services.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Is there any prepared plan for this?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: As we were trying to explain, for development of Ahata Kidara a plan is under preparation by the DDA. Similarly, Mr. Bose-Mullick talked about Subhash Nagar also. So far as direct Government responsibility goes, we have New Rajendra Nagar. These are all areas which are serviced.

MR. CHAIRMAN: So there is no prepared plan.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: If by this you mean a plan which can be put into execution tomorrow, no.

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: There are certain colonies which we have already developed, where a large number of houses have already come up and there is water, electricity, sewerage etc. It is in these colonies that we are proposing to provide them with flats. So, a prepared plan is there.

SHRI SAMAR GUHA: A certain number of beneficiaries will either be rehabilitated in the place where they are or be shifted to other places. So, there will be certain realignment. Have you made a clear and categorical plan about that realignment and the time within which their rehabilitation in those areas will be complete?

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: The question as to how many families are eligible is under examination. That will give us the dimensions of the problem.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: What are your proposals about Kadam Sharif and Paharganj where you have vacant land and the number is quite large?

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: In Kadam Sharif a large area is the graveyard and I am doubtful whether any construction will be permitted in the graveyard. We have to think how many are eligible, how many will voluntarily go away and how many will remain. Then the problem will be known to us and we will try to solve it ourselves. It is a responsibility which we have taken upon ourselves. When we get the total number of eligible persons in a particular area, we will ask them if they were prepared to take a flat in our existing colonies which are theirs for the asking. In those colonies the number that they as be for is reserved for them. We will have to think about those who are still left there.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: Have you fixed any deadline within which, whatever the circumstances, you will provide them with shops and alternative accommodation?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: There was a period of five or six years between 1962 and 1968 when the Government took the view that the fulfilment of the Gadgil assurance would be accomplished in terms of the Jhuggi-Jhonpri scheme. During this period no action on the lines that we are now thinking of was taken. It was only as a result of the report of this Committee last year and the debate in Parliament that Government accepted the responsibility of having to rehabilitate all such persons as were eligible.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: what about *khokawalas*?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: The question of *Khokawallas* has to be dealt with in a special way. Most of them are on the roadside and I do not think there is any scope for their rehabi-

litation in these places. I am informed that the Delhi Municipal Corporation is discussing with the DDA the practical means of rehabilitating eligible *khokhawallahs* in the new colonies which the DDA is building up. Their eligibility is also required to be checked. But I think the rehabilitation of these *khokawallahs* will have to be only in the new colonies. I do not think in the old city there is any scope for building this number of shops.

MR. CHAIRMAN: How much money have you provided for this?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: It is not a question of providing any money specially for this; it is covered by schemes which the DDA is implementing for the development of residential colonies, building houses, services etc.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: As far as practicable a person running a shop in a *khoka* should be provided accommodation near his shop.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: This will have to be studied.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: The Delhi Corporation has given alternative accommodation to *rehriwallahs* near their *rehris*. In the same way you must provide alternative accommodation to the *khokawallahs* near their *khokas*. If you throw them 10 or 15 miles away from their *khokas*, they cannot do any business. Their number is 600 or so and three or four colonies of the DDA will be completed next year.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: I would ask the officer of the Municipal Corporation to explain the practical difficulties in this matter.

SHRI G. D. BAHRI: The Municipal Corporation is already seized of the problem of rehabilitation of *khokawallahs* and certain attempts are being made to rehabilitate them. According to the Chanda Report, which was accepted by the Government in 1962, it was agreed that these people should be accommodated. In the Jhuggi-Jhonpri plan, we had also provided for shops in the residential pockets and during the period the Jhuggi-Jhonpri scheme was being implemented by us, we had developed about 221 shops of 25 sq. yds. each in different colonies out of which 177 were allotted. We had also planned for the development of 1207 shops of 10 sq. yds. each and out of these about 482 shops have been allotted. This was possible whenever a complete cluster was taken up for clearance which included both residential as well as commercial squatters. *Khokawallahs* are mostly concentrated on road-berms. It will be next to impossible to provide accommodation for them there itself or in the nearby localities. We have recently constructed some kiosks on different roads—on the Indraprastha Road and also outside the Irwin Hospital. We requested the 'Gadgil assurance' people and others whether they would like to be considered for these, but they refused; they do not want to move from their areas where they have been carrying on business for so many years. We have attempted their rehabilitation on road berms, after the Joint Committee consisting of representatives of the DDA and Delhi Administration and the Municipal Corporation had studied the area and recommended that these did not constitute a traffic hazard. It is there that licensed *rehriwallahs* have been accommodated. Wherever rehabilitation has taken place and khokawallahs who were eligible came on that particular site, we have offered them sites along with licensed *rehriwallahs*. In Subzimandi we have been able to rehabilitate them there itself. But I would like to point out in this connection that rehabilitation of licensed rehriwallahs as well as khokawallahs is only a temporary rehabilitation becuse they cannot be allowed to remain on the road permanently. The ultimate answer is that they should go into regular markets or in the shopping centres in new colonies. In the new colonies we have already taken steps to accom-

PARLIAMENT LIBRARY

modate them but all of them are not prepared to go. The Corporation has, at the moment, no regular markets where they could be accommodated. We have also accommodated people in the Lajpat Rai Market. Practically all of them except about 50 or 60 have been provided regular shops with the assistance of funds made available by the Ministry of Rehabilitation. Khokawallahs in Subzimandi have been accommodated in Indira Market and Gokhale Market. The leftovers are the problems in that area. Near about the Clock Tower in Subzimandi they have been accommodated along with licensed rehriwallahs in small stalls of 8' x 10'. Normally we give 7' x 5' stall sites to rehriwalahs. In the case of khokawallahs we have given a little bigger stalls 8' x 10'. We have tried to accommodate them wherever sites are available and people are ready to be rehabilitated. As I said earlier this is only a temporary arrangement because our understanding was that their permanent rehabilitation in a proper way should be done within a maximum period of two years; within that time they will have to be taken to regular markets. We are looking out for sites. We are trying to construct new markets. Proposals for reconstruction of Randhawa and Gokhale Markets are under active consideration.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: The idea behind 'Gadgil assurance' was that it should be as near as possible. It should not be three or four miles away. If you try to find out some sites nearby, you will definitely get; you can certainly find out some sites within a radius of one mile where these *Khokawallahs* can be accommoted. You can construct shops in the ground floor and offices or something like that in the first floor. If you throw them ten or fifteen miles away, will they agree to go? You are asking a person who has invested Rs. 10,000 or so in Subzimandi and has been there for the last about 20 years, to go to Lajpat Nagar. How will he go? How can you expect him to go? What is the scheme for that area?

SHRI G. D. BAHRI: We had another scheme to provide stalls for those people near Roshanara Gardens. This is only within a few yards away. They are not prepared to go beyond Chandni Chowk and there is no place in Chandni Chowk. Similar is the difficulty in Karol Bagh also. There are certain open sites where, with the approval of the DDA, we have finally decided to construct some shops; they will also be a few hundred yards away from their present place, but the people who are on Ajmal Khan Road or Gurudwara Road are not prepared to go there. We have taken the people to the Tybia College site also, but they say that they are not able to get business there.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: Of course, it is not possible to rehabilitate them at that very place. But you construct only stalls and not regular markets. In stalls it is not possible to run the business. You must develop markets. Have you got any plans to construct markets as such?

SHRI G. D. BAHRI: We have some schemes. The redevelopment scheme for Indira Market and Gokhale Market is under preparation. Unfortunately even in the gaps in between the existing stalls on the Panchkuin Road, even people living in Pahar Ganj area covered by Gadgil Assurances are not prepared to go.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: You construct market; do not construct only stalls. You construct permanent shops—a good market for them.

SHRI SAMAR GUHA: If they do not agree to go there, the responsibility would be theirs.

SHRI G. D. BAHRI: That will not solve the problem.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: It is 'alternative accommodation in a reasonable area'. If 'reasonable area' can be defined, then they can use that area.

32314

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES (1969-70)

FOURTH LOK SABHA

EVIDENCE

(1969-70)

[Re: Rehabilitation of displaced persons who are covered under the "Gadgil Assurances"]

[Laid on the Table of the House on the 13th December, 1969]

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT
NEW DELHI
December, 1969/Agrahayana, 1891 (Saka)
Price : Re. 0·35

28.372 R
L69

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: Do you agree with us that some pucca market should be constructed nearby so that you can shift them there?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: If possible. There are certain areas where this is not practicable.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: If no site is available, I can understand. Where the site is available, the Government of India should provide pucca market. Temporary stalls would not do. You agree there?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: This is the policy we have been following in a number of areas of shifting people from stalls to pucca shops. In principle one would agree that eligible Khokawallahs, should be shifted to pucca shops if these can be built. I think it is good the Municipal Corporation is studying this problem. I hope some concrete results would emerge. I am not at all sure that all these people will be willing to move even, as Mr. Bahri said, 300 yards away. They are not prepared to go to Roshanara Garden area.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: If you build a pucca market near Roshanara Gardens, I am sure they will go.

SHRI SAMAR GUHA: You know the Chowringhee area. Thousands of 'tokawalas' were there. No private building was allowed to be put up in that area. Mr. B. C. Roy ordered construction of a big market there and gave notice to these people. Then they had to move there. Those who refused to go were left out. Nobody stood for them. If you give alternative market facilities, you can build a few-storeyed market, you can provide a few hundred people. Give them a really good place where they have not only physical but economical rehabilitation too.

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : एलीजिबल जो हैं उनके बारे में आप कब तक फैसला कर लेंगे ? लीगलाइज करने में आपको क्या दिक्कत है। सड़क को आपने खुला करना है तो दो चार दस उससे एफेक्ट हो सकते हैं, सैकड़ों नहीं । आप सवाल को टालें नहीं । आप टालते जाते हैं । जहां पर सौ या तीन सौ या पांच सौ लोग बसे हुए हैं उनको आप उजाड़ना क्यों चाहते हैं ? क्यों लीगलाइज नहीं करते हैं ?

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: I think Mr. Nayak is the most competent man. He was Commissioner of Delhi Corporation.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: I thought we have said very clearly that wherever practicable, in so far as known areas are concerned, we have a scheme for rehabilitation. We talked about certain specific areas. Now, of course, there is a large list that has emerged. We have still to see as to how many of these people are qualified for rehabilitation.

श्री अब्दुल गनी डार : कब तक स्टडी करोगे ?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: I think Mr. Bose Mullick did say that a Committee is going into this and in the next three months they will finish the scrutiny.

SHRI G. Y. KRISHNAN: Will you be able to make out some plans?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: After the number of people eligible is known, the question will have to be considered whether they can be rehabilitated and where they will have to be rehabilitated.

SHRI G. Y. KRISHNAN: I think there will be no difficulty in simultaneously making out a plan.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: For example I would like to know as to how many people have to be rehabilitated in a particular area and whether the area is adequate for rehabilitating the number of eligible persons.

SHRI G. Y. KRISHNAN: That is true. In rehabilitating these persons in the market already constructed will you find any difficulty? Are these people not coming there?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: What the bulk of these people need is residential quarters. The number of commercial squatters is relatively small. It is a question of rehabilitating them from the point of residence. There, I have already mentioned, Subhash Nagar where built houses were allotted at the same site. It may appear that from the study which is being made there are a number of other places where similar rehabilitation on the spot is practicable. I think we will bear in mind the Gadgil assurance that as far as possible these people should be rehabilitated and, if necessary, to the extent possible, the Master Plan should be modified to meet this requirement.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: Even during this period of three months when these claims will be finalised, you can start construction of shops nearby. After all these people have to be provided alternative accommodation. You can select sites, you can make plans and then tenders are to be invited—you can start all these things right now so that it may not be delayed further.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: It would be worthwhile to wait for three months to know really the size of the problem at the different spots at which rehabilitation is to be done.

SHRI G. Y. KRISHNAN: How long will it take?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: It may take a year or two. For example, 2000 plots have to be allotted or quarters have to be allotted. It may easily take a couple of years.

MR. CHAIRMAN: You have stated that there are 4918 claimants. Is it a final figure, may I know?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: This is the total as derived from the applications. Applications received and all the known cases total up to 4918.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Do you think there are no claimants left over who may claim it later?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: I hope there are none. But I think enough time has been given and I believe, Delhi Administration has taken enough pains to make this known widely.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Are you considering creating a single cell in the Department of Works, Housing and Urban Development to review these cases?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: We have asked the D.D.A. to carry out this responsibility. We shall keep in close touch with the D.D.A. to ensure that work is expeditiously done and that steps for rehabilitation are taken up.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: D.D.A. has separate cell . . .

SHRI P. R NAYAK: They have a separate committee for this.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: Have you created some cell in respect of these 5,000 claimants?

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: There is a special officer, attending to it. And, sale will have to be made as soon as all these claims are examined by this Committee. But there is a special officer attending to this exclusively.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Should we not create a cell so that the cases may be decided as early as possible?

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: It will be necessary. We will give it consideration.

SHRI A. T. SARMA: Can you fix up some period by which all the assurances will be disposed of? You have taken 20 years. You should be satisfied about the time by which all the assurances will be implemented. You can fix 20 years or 30 years but let us have some date.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: 14 years ago a committee of the House thought that that assurance had been fully implemented. I would hesitate to give any date by which all this will be liquidated. We should try our best.

SHRI A. T. SARMA: According to your estimates?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: We will take all necessary steps to rehabilitate all these people.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: Will it be possible for you to give the dates? That is, the area, the name of the place where you want these shops? What is in your mind? Can you say that?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: After the actual figures are known, we will know how many are to be rehabilitated. It will take a month or two to consider whether these people will have to be changed elsewhere or can be rehabilitated nearby.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: Will it be possible to give a plan?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: We shall be able to formulate a plan and submit it to the Committee.

SHRI A. T. SARMA: You stated you have scrutinised 300 cases within 10 months. Now you are giving assurance that the whole work will be finished within 3 months. Is it possible?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: We were slow in getting on to the work.

SHRI A. T. SARMA: What are the genuine cases out of 300 claims?

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: Out of 300 cases I think about 190 cases were found to be genuine. There are other matters which are mentioned.

SHRI A. T. SARMA: Are you implementing genuine cases?

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: We are implementing.

SHRI A. T. SARMA: You are waiting for the report of that committee. Then only you will take action. Why not before? You may ask them to submit cases and consider periodically so that some time will be saved. You can implement within a shorter period.

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: Sir, we will be knowing the full dimensions of the problem within a measureable time.

SHRI A. T. SARMA: It will take 100 years; you won't come to any conclusion!

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: Sir, in large number of cases, the government have to give them built-up houses. The people who will be able to pay for a land of 200 sq. yards or go out to the outer colonies may not be very large.

SHRI A. T. SARMA: The man who is in possession of land should not be asked to evict the landless. You have raised objection that they are possessing more land, like that. Where there is no such objection, are you going to do that? They have only land within your purview.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: Some of the development is so haphazard that it is not permissible or practicable to allow that structure to remain, as it is. They will have to be re-planned. They will have to be re-built.

SHRI A. T. SARMA: Some refugees will according to you remain in the same place?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: I have got one such case on Panchkuin Road—We permit him to remain where he is.—Star of India, where his college, residence and all that are there.—We are going to allow him to remain.

MR. CHAIRMAN: You have stated that within 2 months scrutiny of claims by the D.D.A. and NDMC will be finalised. Can you give any assurance that this period would in no way be exceeded further?

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: Sir, I propose to do it earlier than 2 months in order to keep to the assurance.

MAULANA ISHAQ SAMBHALI: मैं आपके जरिये एक बात कहना चाहता हूं । अब तक मैं मिस्टर नायक की बातचीत बड़े गौर से सुनता रहा । उन्होंने बहुत हमदर्दी और मोहब्बत के साथ यह तो बार बार कहा कि मकानों की कमी है, हाउसेज ज्यादा बन जायें, अच्छे बन जायें तो यह प्रोबलम सोल्व हो जायेगी । लेकिन मैं आपके जरिये एक बात कहना चाहता हूं कि मेहरबानी करके जनरल रिहैबिलिटेशन और गाडगिल एग्योरेंस, इन दानों को शायद यह एक लेविल पर कन्सीडर नहीं कर सकते । वह लोग जिन का कोई क्लेम नहीं, या जिन को कोई एग्योरेंस नहीं उनके केसेज को आप बहुत सिम्पेथिटिक्ली डील करें इसके लिये बहुत शुक्रिया । लेकिन गाडगिल एग्योरेंस जिनको है उनको आपको इस तरह डील करना होगा जिस में सरकार को बार-बार शर्मिन्दा न होना पड़े ।

इसके अलावा जब आप मास्टर प्लान की बात करते हैं तो अफसोस के साथ कहना पड़ता है कि मास्टर प्लान की जब बात की जाती है तो देखने में यह आता है कि मास्टर प्लान पूरी तरह पर तैयार नहीं है । उनकी जो जोनल प्लान्स बननी हैं वे तैयार नहीं है । लेकिन लोगों को उजाड़ने की तैयारी है । मैं फिर कहना चाहता हूं कि जो लोग गाडगिल एग्योरेंस में आते है उनकी जमीनें लेकर, उनके मकान उजाड़कर आप वहां बड़ी-बड़ी चौड़ी सड़कें बनाने जा रहें हैं जिनकी कि कोई नेसिसिटी नहीं है । जब सड़कों के लिये कोई जस्टिफिकेशन नहीं मिलता तो कह दिया जाता है कि ग्रीन बेल्ट कायम करनी है । और यही वजह है कि सरकार को बार-बार जवाब देने के लिये मजबूर होना पड़ता है । आपके हाथों में सरकार की सोशिलिस्ट पौलिसी को इम्प्लीमेंट करने की जिम्मेदारी है । सरकार का वायदा है और उस वायदे को पूरा करने की जिम्मेदारी आपकी है, गाडगिल एग्योरेंस को पूरा करने की रेस्पांसिबिलिटी आप की है । इसलिये जहां उस एग्योरेंस को पूरा करने की बात आये वहां ग्रीन बैल्ट और बहुत ज्यादा लग्जरी वाली चीजों को इग्नोर किया जाय । और जो गाडगिल एग्योरेंसेज में आते हैं और दूसरे जिन लोगों को बसाना है उनको एक लेवल पर नहीं रखा जायगा, गाडगिल एग्योरेंसेज में आने वाले लोगों को प्रिफरेंस दिया जायेगा ?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: May I say that we are talking only about the people covered by the Government Assurances? We are concerning ourselves with this. I would like to dispel here any misunderstanding about the Master Plan. The Master Plan takes into account what has already happened in a certain area such as developments that have taken place in almost all the residential and industrial areas. Also it has to look ahead in the interests of the City to the next twenty years or fifty years.

It is true that the Master Plan, wherever possible, must be modified to permit rehabilitation of the people at the same sites. It seems to me that the Master Plan must also take into account the needs of the locality as it exists to-day and what the needs are going to be in the next twenty or fifty years.

I hope it will be appreciated by the Committee that the Government is as eager for the people covered by the Gadgil Assurance as for the general public that they are rehabilitated.

We have said that the Master Plan will be modified. To the extent possible, we shall do so.

SHRI MAHARAJ SINGH BHARATI: 5,000 के करीब केसेज हैं, हो सकता थोड़े बहुत और आ जायें। मुमकिन है उन में से 4,000 जेनुइन निकलें। उनकी रिहेबिलिटेशन करने के सम्बन्ध में जब सवाल आता है तब पचास साल आगे की बात आप को सोचना चाहिए यह ठीक है। लेकिन पुरानी दिल्ली को हम ने वैसे ही छोड़ रक्खा है क्योंकि उस इलाके को उजाड़ कर ठीक से बनाना नामुमकिन है। इसी तरह से अगर आप जो उन की पाकेटस हैं उन को छोड़कर डेवेलपमेंट कर दें और वहां पर लोगों को बसायें तो हमारे ऊपर का बोझ भी घट जायेगा और 4,000 जो लोग हैं उन का रिहेबिलिटेशन भी हो जायेगा। अभी भी आखिर हम क्या करते हैं? आप जितनी भी नई कालोनियां बसा रहे हैं उनमें जितने गांव आते हैं जैसे वजीर पुर है, वहां कहां से आप सड़क निकाल देंगे? गांवों को तो आप को अपनी जगह पर छोड़ना ही पड़ेगा, क्योंकि गांवों को उठा कर नये सिरे से बसा देना मुमकिन नहीं होगा। बहुत सी चीजों की प्रेक्टिकल डिफिकल्टीज होती हैं। इसलिए कुछ ऐसे केसेज भी हो सकते है जिन में बजाय इस के कि वहां बसे हुए लोगों को उखाड़ा जाय, चारों तरफ डेवेलप करके आबादी को वैसे ही छोड़ दिया जाये। इस तरह से गाडगिल ऐश्योरेंस के अन्दर बहुत सी बस्तियां चलती रहेंगी।

दूसरी बात यह है कि आउटलुक को भी थोड़ा सा बदला जाये। जो सड़क जहां से गुजर रही है, वहां उसका ऐंगल थोड़ा सा दूसरी तरफ घुमा दें ताकि घर बीच में न आयें। सड़क निकलनी ही चाहिये, वह चौड़ी होनी ही चाहिये, इस पर किसी का मतभेद नहीं है, लेकिन यह सोचा जाना चाहिये कि उसको जरा सा घुमाया जा सकता है या नहीं। इस किस्म के केसेज पहले भी आ चुके हैं। उसी तरह से गाडगिल ऐश्योरेंस के सिलसिले में जो मकान बने हुए हैं उनको छोड़ा जा सकता है। जिन लोगों के मकानों के पास आंगन है वह अपने आंगन का हिस्सा सड़क के लिए देने को तैयार हैं जिस से सड़क पूरी हो जाती है। इसलिये कोई हार्ड एंड फास्ट रूल नहीं बन सकता। इसलिए रूल्स ऐंड रेगुलेशन से बन्धा कर नहीं चला जा सकता। यह मसला सीरियस होता जा रहा है। थोड़ा सा फेर बदल मास्टर प्लान में करने की जरूरत पड़े तो कर देना चाहिए। वह कोई ऐसी चीज नहीं है जिसको बदला न जा सके।

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: We fully appreciate the spirit of the Gadgil Assurances. I do not think that we are unmindful of that. We shall bear this in mind constantly.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Do you accept it?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: At the same time I do feel that there are several weighty considerations which will also have to be shown. Each case has to be judged on its merits. We shall see to it that we accommodate local people. To the extent possible we shall have to accommodate them by removing them to other areas.

SHRI KANWARLAL GUPTA: महाता किदारा की जो स्कीम पड़ी हुई है, वह कब तक पूरी हो जायगी? उसके बारे तो कोई डिस्प्यूट भी नहीं है, स्क्रूटिनी वगैरह भी नहीं करनी है।

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: स्क्रुटिनी तो करनी है ।

SHRI KANWARLAL GUPTA कोई डेडलाइन तो होनी चाहिए कि दो या चार महीनेमें वह फाइनलाइज हो जायगी और काम शुरु कर दिया जाये । यह मामला इतना लम्बा चला गया है जिसका ठिकाना नहीं है

SHRI S. G. BOSE MULLICK: May I say something? All these colonies will have to be dealt with in some sort of a priority—I know that all of them cannot be taken up together. All this is very much in our minds. First priority will have to be given to those whom we are removing from there. Let us take for example construction of a school. For public purposes, if this school is constructed, then the people removed from this area will have to be shifted to the other area at the earliest possible time. Those people will have to be given the first priority.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: The Plan has nothing to do with this at all.

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: The Plan has to be implemented first. The drawing up of a Plan comes first and then comes the implementation of it. These two parts are to be done together. This much latitude we have to show. We will have to draw some kind of a priority in order to tackle this problem. Probably no one who is living there is going to be disturbed.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: You have taken 15 years. There must be some dead-line. The people are there for the last twenty years.

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: At one time the problem had been considered in conjunction with the Jhuggi Jhonpri Scheme.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: There will have to be some kind of interim arrangement for developing that area. Much will depend on public cooperation. The question is whether we shall have the cooperation of the public in our developing that area.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Will you have a dead-line for that?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: In dealing with many of these cases I found that a dead-line is not practicable. If you fix a dead-line, you will find that the people won't cooperate.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: At least you must move in the matter.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: I agree with you. On the Ahata Kidara side we find that it is a difficult problem for us.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: It may be difficult. If the people do not cooperate there will be some difficulties. You must move in the matter. I think in the last so many months nothing has happened.

SHRI SAMAR GUHA: Sympathies of the Members of Parliament and also the public opinion are with this Master Plan. You may prepare a reasonable and alternative Plan for the rehabilitation of these people. Indeed they may not be in such an advantageous position. You have not been in a position just to provide them with a reasonable alternative accommodation.

Now, I want to ask a few questions. For the screening of the eligibility of the claims, how many units are functioning?

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: Every day they are meeting now from 5th.

SHRI SAMAR GUHA: How many cases you examined?

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: We examined something like 20 to 25 cases per day.

SHRI SAMAR GUHA: Is it on the basis of the papers furnished by them?

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: Yes.

MR. CHAIRMAN: What is the nature of the relief proposed to be provided to these claimants?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: In 11 cases we are giving them plots in New Rajinder Nagar. A layout of the area has been prepared. We are going to seek approval and in these 11 cases we will offer plots. In one other case—I spoke about this college—it will be allowed to remain where it is, permanently on this site.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: Who are these 'lucky' people?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: Mr. A. N. Dhawan, Star of India College, Panchkuin Road.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: Who are the 11 people for Rajinder Nagar?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: Five from Purvi Marg, five from Aram Bagh, and one from Pusa Road.

But I expect we will have difficulty with these people, because some of them occupy as much as 4000 square yards.

SHRI SAMAR GUHA: What are the actual conditions of this hire-purchase?

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: At present, we are asking for one-third of the total price as initial deposit, and the balance in 15 years, in monthly instalments, i.e. 180 instalments.

SHRI SAMAR GUHA: How much it comes to?

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: A house in the Lower Income Group costs Rs. 12,000 to Rs. 14,000. We ask for about Rs. 4000 first, and the balance comes in instalments of Rs. 75 each.

SHRI SAMAR GUHA: What is the interest?

SHRI S. G. BOSE-MULLICK: 7½ per cent.

MR. CHAIRMAN: We are thankful to you.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK. Thank you.

(The witnesses then withdrew)

The Committee then adjourned.

© 1969 By Lok Sabha Secretariat.

Published under Rule 382 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Lok Sabha (Fifth Edition) and printed by the General Manager, Government of India Press, Minto Road, New Delhi.

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES (1969-70)

(FOURTH LOK SABHA)

EVIDENCE (1969-70)

(Re: Allotment of land to Cooperative House Building Societies in Shahdara Zone)

(Laid on the Table of the House on the 29th April, 1970)

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT
NEW DELHI

April, 1970/Vaisakha, 1892 (Saka)

8.372 L

Price : Re. 0 35

CONTENTS

Witnesses examined	Date of hearing	Page
COMPOSITION OF THE COMMITTEE	..	(iii)
Representatives of the—		
(i) Ministry of Health and Family Planning and Works, Housing and Urban Development (Department of Works, Housing and Urban Development)	3rd April, 1970	1
(ii) Delhi Administration		
(iii) Delhi Development Authority		

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES

COMPOSITION OF THE COMMITTEE
(1969-70)

Shri K. Anbazhagan—*Chairman*

MEMBERS

2. Shri Maharaj Singh Bharati
3. Shri Abdul Ghani Dar
4. Shri Narendra Ramchandraji Deoghare
5. Shri Samar Guha
6. Shri Kanwar Lal Gupta
7. Kumari Kamla Kumari
8. Shri G. Y. Krishnan
9. Shri Bhola Nath Master
10. Shrimati Mohinder Kaur
11. Shri C. Muthusami
12. Shri A. S. Saigal
13. Maulana Ishaq Sambhali
14. Shri A. T. Sarma
15. Shrimati Savitri Shyam.

SECRETARIAT

Shri M. C. Chawla—*Deputy Secretary.*

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT ASSURANCES

Evidence given before the Committee

Friday, the 3rd April, 1970 at 15.00 hours.

PRESENT

Shri K. Anbazhagan—*Chairman*

MEMBERS

2. Shri Abdul Ghani Dar
3. Shri Samar Guha
4. Shri Kanwar Lal Gupta
5. Kumari Kamla Kumari
6. Shri C. Muthusami
7. Shri A. S. Saigal
8. Shri A. T. Sarma.

SECRETARIAT

Shri M. C. Chawla—*Deputy Secretary*

WITNESSES EXAMINED

(i) Ministry of Health and Family Planning and Works, Housing and Urban Development (Department of Works, Housing and Urban Development)

Shri P. R. Nayak—*Secretary.*

(ii) Delhi Administration

Shri Subhash Dua—*Secretary—(Land & Building).*

(iii) Delhi Development Authority.

Shri S. G. Bose-Mullick—*Vice-Chairman.*

(Re: Allotment of land to Cooperative House Building Societies in Sahadara Zone.)

(The witnesses were called in and they took their seats)

MR. CHAIRMAN: I would like to know whether the term 'Vice-Chairman' of DDA is an elected post?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: It is an appointed post; appointed by the Government.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Designated as Vice-Chairman....

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: Chairman is the Lt. Governor.

MR. CHAIRMAN: For the purpose of the elucidation on the many issues that are cropped up, I would like to put some questions, so that on your explanation, we will be able to understand more. It has been noted from the plan of allotment of land in Sahadra zone, as circulated by the Delhi Administration, that all the 37 Associations have been accommodated so far as land is con-

cerned. Please state what other benefits are accrued to a society who gain priority over others?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: Sir, today the point of priority has no significance. Priority could have been important when there were to be a sequence in time of allotment, in a staggered or a phased manner. Since we are today making allotment to all the societies at one time, the question of any priority in point of time does not really arise. The question of location is certainly there. And what the Delhi Administration has done is to prepare an integrated lay-out for the whole area, taking into consideration the rates and other municipal facilities needed, the requirement of land for each society and to prepare a lay-out which is in keeping with these considerations.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Then, what is the criterion which has been followed in the allotment of land plots to various societies in the plan and whether any preferential treatment has been given to societies who gained priority by way of registration, etc.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: If at all there is any aspect of preference, I would say preference rather than priority (because priority really has a meaning of earlier in time; even from the dictionary meaning, priority means you give consideration at an early stage in time). Some preference is certainly involved in the allotment of chunks of land to different societies. Some pieces of land are nearer the Centre of Delhi; some are farther away. If, therefore, any preference has emerged out of this arrangement of societies in a certain order, it has reference only to location with reference to the centre of Delhi.

MR. CHAIRMAN: It seems to me that the priority is a term which is pressed by the cooperative societies when they claim certain particular area; the priority either to be based on the date of application, registration or on the date of payment of cost. The priority then existed only to choose a particular plot which they felt it may be a preferential treatment to them. Therefore, we can consider priority, that is claimed by the societies as a preferential treatment, because of the priority of the registration or some such thing. Then, on that account is there any priority that has been entertained by the Department concerned or not?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: I must submit that the concept of priority, which was referred to in a reply given in Parliament in April 1968, really had reference to the situation that then existed. I must point out that these lands were those which have been under acquisition from about 1965 or something like that. Certain payments—first instalment of payment—was obtained from all societies in 1966-67. Then, I think the acquisition went into litigation. In 1968 February the Court proceedings came to an end and right of the DDA or the Delhi Administration to take over the land was clearly established. At that time the Delhi Administration said please pay the second instalment by the 10 of March. Now in the situation that then existed, it seemed probable that the land would become available for allotment in chunks or could be allotted in chunks over a period of time. And then, therefore, we said in 1968 April that we would give priority to those who paid earlier. I think the intention was that in making allotments we would give earlier allotment to those who may have paid earlier. But when the DDA settled down to a consideration of this whole question in the light of, I am told, the experience that they had in West Delhi, they came to the conclusion that the lay out of the whole area of about 1700 acres had to be planned in an integrated manner and that there was no possibility of making allotments of little bits now and some additional area later over a period of time. They

decided that this must be planned in an integrated manner with roads that will serve the area; with schools sites that will serve the whole area and other facilities, etc. That is how we have come to the situation that all the land has come up for allotment at one time and not over a period of time. And in taking a decision as to how the land should be allotted, a certain preference has certainly been given with reference to the distance aspect in the light of two factors, that is to say, the date of registration of a society and date on which they made certain payments towards the cost of land.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Then it amounts to, that some preferential treatment was given?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: Preference has certainly been given, but preference not in relation to time but in relation to the location. Nearer land has been given to the society which ranked No. 1, though here again I must say from personal experience that the society which is No. 1 and which has been given a piece of land has actually made a representation that it has been treated very badly.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Then, though the term priority is used here, we can take it as preferential treatment. On that account it has been stated in the answer to Question No. 7227 that Government proposes to give priority or preferential treatment for allotment of sites to the societies who deposied the cost in time.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: I think there the term to be used should be priority and not preferential treatment, because then the possibility existed that certain people will be given land earlier and certain people later.

MR. CHAIRMAN: But even afterwards this priority existed to a certain extent, according to your answer.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: In fact according to my answer, the date of payment has influenced the order in which preference is shown in regard to the location of the areas to be allotted and if you will look at the dictionary meaning of priority, I think it is very clear that it has only reference to a point of time.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I do not deny that, but priority of payment of the dues by the concerned societies has been taken into consideration for some preferential treatment.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: That has been taken into consideration for some arrangement of the different societies in certain order and that order has governed the allotment of plots of different societies.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Then there is slight difference between the assurance given by the Minister on the floor of the House and the decision that is taken by the Administration.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: Well, I would like to reconcile in the way I have tried to. I would like to explain that the statement then made was in the nature of a proposal that Government had. The statement then made had reference to the possibility at that time, that allotments will be made at different times to different societies as and when land came under the possession of the Government. What has changed is the decision of the Delhi Administration in the light of the experience in West Delhi to plan an integrated development and to make allotment at one time. This is a change which has come about since April, 1968.

MR. CHAIRMAN: I would like to be clear about this because this becomes the basis of so many disputes and discrepancies that are found by other concerned people. You have to state whether the earlier decision of the advisory committee was kept in view when the above answer was given in 15.4.1968.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: That was in view, as a matter of fact.. I have

material here which was placed in the pad of the Minister at that time and this was what it seems:

"There is a policy of the Administration to lower down the priorities of societies, who have been defaulters in the payment of instalments by the due date. The date for depositing the second instalment was fixed in consultation with the representations of the cooperative societies".

and so on it goes.

SHRI DUA: The decision was taken on 9th January, 1967. The decision says that the Town Planner, Delhi Development Authority will be requested to fit in these societies in the overall planning in such a manner that preference in given to the date or registration. Priority, as the Secretary explained, is in respect of time, but preference for the allotment of area would be according to the date of registration. That was the earlier decision of the Land Allotment Advisory Committee.

SHRI KANAR LAL GUPA: Later on it was changed.

SHRI DUA: In view of the Parliamentary Question and having come up before the committee, we gave weightage to the question of payment. That was done in 1970.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Even when you have got an integrated plan of the different societies, you should have found some justification for changing the policy of priority according to the date of payment.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: I wish to explain again, Sir. In the mind of the Administration, there are two important considerations. The question is certain societies have been registered. Certain members have waited for long periods. The point is whether the fact of a long period of wait has to be given importance or not, or whether one has to go merely on the basis that a payment has been made in 1966, or 67 or 68 on a certain date. There are societies which have been registered as far back as 1952 and in the mind of the administration the desirability of giving an adequate weightage to the period over which members of societies had to wait, I think, that was beyond any doubt. The Adminisctration felt that this was a very important consideration.

I have here, for example, societies which have been registered in 1952, 1955, 1958 and so on and it certainly appears that the decision to give due weightage to this fact of registration is a very sound decision, is a very fair decision, but in the light of the statement made in Parliament the Administration also said, we will give some weightage to the payment aspect.

MR. CHARMAN: At what level and on whose recommendation the above policy has changed?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: This has been changed on the decision of the Land Advisory Body and the Lt. Governor.

I do wish to submit again that the matter has been looked at with reference to the circumstances that existed in April, 1968 and the circumstances that have developed in the light of the Administration's experience since then. In 1968, the possibility that land allotments may be made over a period of time in a phased manner, in a staggered way, did exist, but the Administration came to the conclusion in the light of expereince and the difficulties they came across specially in certain areas.

SHRI DUA: The difficulties were experienced when we trled to provide community facilities compulsory under the Master Plan of Delhi. Some portion of the land had to go to the school, or a shopping centre etc. In view of this it was necessary to draw up an integrated plan and provide probably a school in one of the so-

cieties while a shopping centre had to be provided in another. So the whole place had to be planned as an integrated plan. It was thought that it is no use trying to give them land in separate pieces, or in separate chunks. That was from the point of view of planning. Another point that was in respect of engineering. The whole area had to have an integrated drainage and sewerage. The water of one society could not be left to flow in another. Similarly for water supply the things had to be integrated.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Here, I do not deny the practical difficulties that were experienced by the Administration and that an integrated plan for the area was required. But whenever such decisions, such assurances are changed, the responsibility shall be laid with certain people. Who are responsible for these changes and to what extent, the Ministry or the Government which is responsible to the Parliament are also responsible for this?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: The decision in this case was taken by the Lt. Governor on the advice of the land Allotment Advisory Committee which is a representative body.

MR. CHAIRMAN: It has also been stated in an answer on 15. 4. 68 that 15 societies had deposited the cost of land by due date, i.e., 10-3-1968 whereas in the list appended in the note of the Ministry showing the names arranged in order of date of payment, only 8 societies have been shown as who had made the payment in time. The discripancy arose between the answer given by the Minister on the floor of the House and the memorandum that we have received from the Department.

SHRI DUA: The societies were asked to make payment by 10th March, 1968. They make the payment to the Reserve Bank of India. This office collects the information about deposits in the first week of the month pertaining to preceding month. As such normally it takes about 3-4 weeks to know the date of deposits. By the time we had to prepare this answer to Parliament Question which was listed for 15th April. So, we had the general idea that 15 societies had made the payment on the basis of challans issued for payment to the Societies, but is is possible that they might have delayed their payments through their bankers to the Reserve Bank of India.

SHRI KAWAR LAL GUPTA: On what exact date you got the information from the Reserve Bank?

SHRI DUA: The information from the Reserve Bank of India in respect of each cheque deposited would vary. The societies come to us asking us how much money has to be deposited by them. We determine the amount of money. On that basis we issue a challan to these societies telling that this amount of money you should deposit in the Reserve Bank. We have the dates on which the challans were issued by our Department.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: The thing is you have not fully complied with the assurance given by the Minister on the Floor of the House.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: The assurance given had relevance to the situation that was then envisaged, namely, the allotments may be made in a phased or staggered manner amongst various societies over a period of time but the Delhi Administration suggested the desirability of an integrated plan and simultaneous allotment to all societies at one time.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: Your interpretation and reasons may be anything but there is a difference between the two.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: The circumstances are different.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: But there is a change in the policy adopted by you later on. The most important factor in this is that as Mr. Nayak said 'location' because there are so many societies which are interested in getting land in a particular area

(Shahdara) and some societies have been given land there; others have not been given land there. Because, some times it is said that they will get the land on the basis of registration later on you said preference will be given on payment basis.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: The answer given tc me by the Delhi Administration is that all Societies have been given those letters.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: Then there is no problem.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: The problem is that somebody wants a particular piece of land rather than some other piece of land.

MR. CHAIRMAN: A formula in order to determine *inter-se* seniority according to date of registration and date of payment 2:1 has been adopted. This formula has not helped much in giving full weightage to the date of payment. The Friends Housing Society have suggested a simple method of arranging lists 2:1 may be adopted-two societies of that type:1. Firstly two societies may be picked up according to priority of date of registration and the third may be picked up according to the date of payment and so on.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: They are free to make any suggestion to the Lt. Governor. I believe that a large number of representations have in fact been made by the Societies to to the Delhi Administration, in regard to the particular piece of land given to them. In our opinion the present allocation is a provisional allocation and it is open to the Delhi Administration to take appropriate action in the light of representations received by them.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Will anybody explain to us why complicated formula for determining priority has been adopted instead of a simple formula for arranging in the ratio of 2:1?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: The primary consideration in the mind of the Delhi Administration is that those who have waited longest should have the weightage and it is not enough to say that we will give 2:1 on the basis of registration and on the basis of payment. I think in the mind of the Administration the larger consideration was that those who have had longest to wait should be shown preferential treatment. But, with a certain Statement having been made in Parliament, some means must be found for recognising that Statement in actual practice. The Delhi Administration thought it the best way. I do no think it is a very complicated. It can be easily explained.

MR. CHAIRMAN: But somehow the doubt exists in the mind that some preferential treatment was given.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: May I explain how it has been done.

37 Societies are involved. These 37 societies have been arranged in order of their date of registration. The earliest society is given the highest weightage i.e. to say 37 x 2 is the mark given to that society on the basis of its earliest registration. The next society shows 36 x 2 and so on. Most people have paid 87½ perecnt. Delhi Administration came to the conclusion that 87½ per cent payment by treated as full payment. Anybody who has paid upto 10th March I think they are eight according to the Delhi Administration—have been given 37 marks.

MR. CHAIRMAN: It is noted from the copy of the letter that the same letter contains three dates 10th, 11th, 12th. It is confusing. It is for allotment at Shahdara. Please let us know the exact date of the issue of the letter. Which date should be taken as authentic.

It has been brought to the notice of the Committee through a representation a copy of which was also forwarded to the Ministry for comments and information that although a letter was marked Registered A|D, it had been handed over to certain societies personally on 13th March 1970 along

with the Plan but they were asked to sign the receipt as on 11th March, 1970.

SHRI DUA: We have given it to the 37 societies. Most of them were knocking at our door. 8 of them collected by hand on the 10th March, the other 11 of them collected by hand on the 11th and the remaining were posted by Registered A|D. That is why three dates have been given.

The date mentioned is the date on which these have been posted.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The latest or the earlier date is to be taken?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: In each letter, I think, one date appears. 10/11/12.

That should have been the correct way of depicting it.

MR. CHAIRMAN: This has been handed over to the societies personally on 13th March, 1970 along with the Plan but they were asked to sign the receipt as 11th March, 1970. Whether there is anything like that?

SHRI DUA: That is not quite correct.

MR. CHAIRMAN: You have to explain whether the commitments have been kept up. According to your original letter, this does not arise.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: This does not arise. We have also made a point in our written answer that a proposal that was then under Government's mind cannot really amount to a commitment. This was in the nature of a proposal at that time.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Another point because of the terrain of land, and the composition of soil etc. none of the Societies were coming forward to make a choice in favour of these. At that time an inducement was given by the then Housing Commissioner that whoever makes choice in favour of these will be accorded first priority in the selection. This commitment was not honoured, according to them. whether there was any commitment that priority will be given to those people who choose a particular terrain?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: I can only say that Society which is number one, and which has been given land in this area is a Society of my Department, they have vehemently protested against this allocation. They think that they have been badly treated.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Another point. Onyl 31 Societies accepted in Shahdara zone and the list was finalised by the Administration. This list had continued to be expanded at will by the Delhi Administration, and this number has now increased to 37. The original claimants were 31, and now the number has increased to 35. According to them, this increase has lowered their original priority, or preferential treatment.

SHRI DUA: 49 Societies were offered land in Shahdara zone, and 31 accepted right away. 2 Societies had to be shifted from the Nazafgarh Road areas to this area, because an integrated development plan could not be prepared with just two Societies. The earlier zonal plan of the Najafgarh area was modified and the use of that land was made by the D.D.A. for their multi-storeyed and row-housing residential schemes. Those two societies had to be shifed into this area. Four other societies had to be shifted to this area from various areas of South Delhi. Government, for public purposes, acquired their land and felt that they could go into this Delhi East Zone. Therefore, 37 societies have been fitted into the development plan now prepared.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Another point. Letters issued by the Delhi Administration calling for payment of instalments, categorically stated that if the payment is not made by the prescribed day, the case of the defaulting society for allotment of land will be either closed or cancelled or priority will be further lowered down. According to them, the conditioning of the above penalties in favour of the defaulting societies has been made at the cost of societies who paid in time.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: Those who paid later certainly have been given a demerit in the marking system.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: There are pieces where you demanded money from them much later, and they deposited in time.

SHRI DUA: There are cases as the hon'ble Member has said at societies from which we demanded payment after the 10th March, 1968. Detailed calculations of either the areas to be allotted to them or the payments which they had to make, were made later. In that case, the due date given to them was almost one month from the day of the issue of the letter and if they have paid within a month, it has been counted as having been paid in time.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Two more points, which you may also explain. One thing is 15 per cent cut in area of the land allotted to the Societies. You have to make a cut in the land in the integrated system, because additional societies would have come in. Is it a fact that you have cut 15 per cent of the land for the original societies i.e. the Delhi Administration have imposed a 15 per cent cut for which there is no moral basis?

SHRI DUA: We will explain. The total area in this region to be allotted to the co-operatives and to be used for other common facilities is about 1700 acres. And the Government have acquired or are in the process of acquiring this land. As the Vice-Chairman explained just a few minutes back, 33 per cent of this area goes away in common facilities. Therefore, the area left to be allotted to the co-operatives is only 1100 acres. This 1100 acres has been divided over the 39 societies, and it was felt that we could fit them all into the area if we reduce the total area by 15 per cent. The Delhi Administration has decided that no one particular plot should be more than 400 sq. yards in size. Having fixed the ceiling of an individual plot at 400 sq. yards, it was felt that societies which had earlier offered land to their members up to 800 sq. yards would be able to cope up with this 15 per cent reduction by merely bringing down the ceiling.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Another point. The Authorities are trying to bring in such societies which were offered land in Najafgarh area, or at least not in the Shahadara area, they have been purposely and intentionally ushered in into this area in 1969. According to them, the inclusion in this area by the Authorities of such Societies like Preet Nagar etc. who were never considered for the Shahadara area is most arbitrary. Another thing is that, Preet Nagar Society is proposed to be offered huge area and at the best site, thereby depriving other societies. That is, they are objecting to the permitting of Preet Nagar Society in this area. And another thing, according to them, is that the area allotted is 146 acres, is a huge area.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: May I say that these are not representations which ought to be entertained at this level. There are really representations which should be left for consideration by the Delhi Administration. This is a matter of executive detail. I do not think they directly arose out of any assurance that has been made.

MR. CHAIRMAN: According to them, originally certain societies were preferred, and when you bring in other societies, the people who got the assurance are deprived of their claim.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: I do not think that at that stage it was stated anywhere that only 31 societies will be allotted land.

MR. CHAIRMAN: No, No. I brought that point. Originally 31 Societies have been accepted in Sahadara area.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: At that stage.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Therefore, there was one stage wherein the other societies did not come into the picture for that particular area. After some time, because that the Administration felt it essential, you have also admitted some other societies. Then, If these Societies are also given the land, the acreage of which is much more higher, the other societies think that they are getting less. Then the complaint arises and we have to take up the matter. All these minor details are represented to the Committee. And the aggrieved people may bring any thing. We cannot prevent them from saying this. I do not know this area. Only Mr. K. L. Gupta may know. But, on the other hand, about the assurance, the position is that once the code of the principles that were accepted is changed due to the changed circumstances, you may be justified in changing according to the circumstances. We have to justify ourselves that at least justice is done to all the people who are concerned.

SHRI DUA: Assurance given in Parliament. The question is whether Government have instructed. Yes. We did instruct them to deposit the cost of land for Shahadara area. If so, what is the last date—10th March 1968. The number of such societies who deposited by the due date—so much. Whether Government proposes to (31 does not figure in this); 15 societies, according to our information, have deposited the money in time. Whether Government proposes to give priority .....—Yes, the government propose to give priority for allotment of sites to these societies, etc. So, this is the text of the Parliament answer.

MR. CHAIRMAN: There must have been some understanding or some such thing that 31 societies are going to be there, otherwise how can people raise the issue?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: You can always say 'afterthought'. There is no denying the fact that certain societies have been injected later on. The Administration has perhaps felt that this was necessary in the interest of the societies. There was never any assurance that 31 societies will be allotted. As was explained, originally plots of land in the Shahadara area have been offered to 51 societies.

MR. CHAIRMAN: The payment of the zonal road contribution in time which was asked for from the societies during June 1968 has not been taken into account in calculating the preference. Some societies have paid for zonal road contribution fund and they feel that it should also be taken into consideration for some sort of preference.

SHRI DUA: Having reduced their area by 15 per cent. we might have to refund certain amounts. So when we made the demand for zonal road contribution at the rate of 50 paisa per sq. yard, certain societies did not pay saying that since you have reduced our area by 15 per cent, this payment may be assumed to have been made by them.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Therefore, the whole thing is in a melting pot.

श्री अबदुल वहीद : क्या यह करमायेंगे कि यह जो जमीन सोसायटियों को दे रहे हैं, यह पहले ही किसी और सोसायटी ने खरीद रखी थी? जमीन का कोई ऐसा झगड़ा है कि 1956 में 1400, लोगों के करीब, आदमियों ने जमीन खरीदी। उसके बाद उसकी रजिस्ट्री भी हो गई। बाद में उनको गवर्नमेंट ने, डेवलपमेंट ऐथारिटी ने कहा कि जब तक तुम कोआपरेटिव सोसायटी नहीं बनाते हम नहीं मानते और गवर्नमेंट का यह ऐलान होने के बाद उन्होंने अपने आपको कोआपरेटिव सोसायटी में रजि० किया ऐसी कोई बात है क्या ?

such societies which have been waiting for such a long time.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: In our whole scheme of acquisition, it has given weightage to those who had land in their possession; who had acquired land of their own.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: What about price?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: In Shahdara in respect of 37 societies, the same price has been charged.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: There were certain persons or societies which were owning land in Shahdara area, which you have allotted to 37 societies.

SHRI DUA: This particular society, the Pritnagar Society had purchased the land well before the date of notification which was applicable for 50,000 acres all over Delhi. This society had purchased 174 acres and the very same land has been given back to them. Partly a common pool rate has been charged, but the compensation paid for the land is on the date of notification. The compensation has been paid to the society and the society paid the premium to the Government. They purchased the land in 1952 and the compensation has been paid to them at the price of 1959.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: It is not the position. Pritnagar Society and other societies purchased land as early as 1950, rather much earlier than the acquisition notices at the rate of eight annas or one rupee per yd. Then you issued notices and acquired the land. You paid the compensation for instance Rs. 3|- per yd. but now after six or seven years, you are charging @ Rs. 9|- per sq. yd. You have paid them at the rate of three or four rupees. There are so many societies, practically all who were owning their own land and in some cases, you have allotted the same land to them but at a higher rate.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: This is a matter of general policy, which was prescribed by the Government. That is to say that no exception should be made from the scheme of general acquisition. Now this is also going to be the position, for example, in respect of a large number of unauthorised colonies. There too that consideration should have taken place. The decision of the Delhi Administration is that those lands should be acquired and they may be leased out to parties according to a layout plan that we will prepare.

SHRI ABDUL GHANI DAR: I am afraid that Government may take more than what they have the right to take. So, kindly give us the conditions when you announced that we will acquire the land so that we may know now under this assurance you are doing justice.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: We will give a written reply.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: There were 51 societies originally which were asked to take possession in Shahdara area. Is it correct?

SHRI DUA: They were offered.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: You have allotted to 37 and there are 14 societies left. So far as I know there are some societies when you offered them ten years back they said we do not want this land at this place with the result that their case was closed. You did not issue any notice to them saying, If you do not take this land your case will be closed." Their case was not considered. Whether you have received representation from these 14 societies? If 'Yes' the names of the societies, the details of the representations; it will be better if you send copies of the representations.

SHRI DUA: We will supply copies of the representation.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: Secondly, regarding 37 societies there

is a difference between the exact place in that Shahdara area. Some say that there are disputes about registration, date and payment. So far as I know three to four societies told me we made the payment when we were asked and our date of registration is also earlier. I want to know whether you received representation from these 37 societies; from how many societies you received the representation regarding factual inaccuracies or they were aggrieved by your decision for allotment of land at a particular site.

SHRI DUA: So far 22 representations have been received out of which 19 societies have accepted the allotment and three societies have not accepted.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: The question is whether justice was done to them or not; the standard measurement was applied to them or not. Will it be possible for you to give the copy of the representations of all the twenty-two?

SHRI DUA: We would be supplying the copies but in addition I may explain in the written answer submitted to you already it has been explained the detailed calculations regarding how a society has been given preference in the matter of allotment of land and how the societies been lowered down in the allotment of land.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Mr. Gupta, let us not make it a judical enquiry. We are not going into the working of Delhi Administration. We are considering this question in the light of the assurance given by the Minister and even that assurance because it is given with the term 'priority'. They have expressed that there is no priority or allotment made and it is totally allotted to them and, therefore, the priority is completely ruled out but to a certain extent they have made out a formula on preferential treatment.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: Whether that formula has been followed or not? We have to see to it.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: If any of the representation is in regard to the point that the prescribed formula has not in fact been adopted, we will bring that to the notice of the Committee, and we will carry out corrections in the compilation.

MR. CHAIRMAN: If you allow me to bring out a formula, I will bring out a formula in such a way that that satisfies my people. But the point is to see whether it is a sufficient guarantee in the sense what was the Assurances?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: We shall bring it to the notice of the Committee in case any grievance is received.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: So, you will give details of those societies which claimed that they made the payment in time when demanded but they have not been given due marks as you have applied the formula. Similarly, where there is confusion about the date of registration.

SHRI DUA: There is no confusion regarding the date of registration, because the Registration Certificate given to the Cooperative Society bears only one date and no representation in this regard has been received.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: There is no doubt about the date of registration.

SHRI KANWAR LAL GUPTA: 14 Societies have not been given any land at all and about payment or something in the case of other societies.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: If we have received any representation from 14 societies, we shall bring it to your notice. We shall remove the inaccuracy too in our compilations.

SHRI A. S. SAIGAL: Whether it is a fact that the Housing Department wrote to all the societies who were offered land in Shahdara area to pay the cost of land by a specific date in three instalments i.e. first instalment 50 per cent, 2nd 50 per cent?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: That is correct. First instalment was to be paid in 1966-67.

SHRI A. S. SAIGAL: Is it a fact that if the payment of instalment was not made in time, the offer of land will be cancelled?

SHRI DUA: These were the administrative instructions to compell the payment of dues in time.

SHRI A. S. SAIGAL: Those societies who made payment in time, earned the right of priority as given in the Government assurances.

SHRI DUA: Yes, Sir.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: I think, we have explained this point sufficiently. I think the intention of the Government in 1968 was that priority may be given to those who have paid in time on the assumption that all payments will be made over the period of time.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Is it a fact that there was no scheme for integration?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: No, Sir. It was as a result of experience gained from the allotment of land in West Delhi.

SHRI A. S. SAIGAL: Is not registration of Society the only condition for eligibility to get land from the Government and the real yardstick is to see whether the society is a serious buyer of land and makes payment when asked by a due date?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: It is a valid point.

SHRI A. S. SAIGAL: While making a list of the societies who made payment within the due date, have you taken into consideration the payment of these three instalments?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: As has been explained, the payment of the 2nd instalment has generally been regarded as the payment of the whole value, because of the cut of 15 per cent.

SHRI A. S. SAIGAL: Is it not, in view of the fact that you have threatened that non-payment of any of these instalments will cancel the offer of land?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: This was in the conditions of allotment. I think the Government has been considerate.

SHRI A. S. SAIGAL: Is it a fact that the Prit Nagar Society has been offered land in Shahdra area in September 1969? How much area and at what cost has it been done as compared to other societies?

SHRI DUA: This Society was already in possession of 174 acres before the date of General Notification in Delhi. This society purchased the land in the early fifty. The question of finalising the area to be allotted to them was taken up at a meeting of the Land Allotment Advisory Committee in 1969 which recommended that the same area be given back to them.

SHRI A. S. SAIGAL: It is not a fact that 15 per cent cut in all allotment of land has become necessary only because the huge area of 148 acres is proposed to be allotted to this Society?

SHRI DUA: This Society was already in possession of 174 acres of land which had to be given to Members. We have imposed 15 per cent cut on this Society also.

SHRI A. S. SAIGAL: Can you say why the Government Assurance in question has not been implemented according to us?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: We have explained the circumstances of this matter according to our best understanding of this matter.

SHRI A. S. SAIGAL: Do you agree with the principle—first come, first served?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: I think this is the attempt made here. First come on the basis of registration, plus a weightage to be given for the first who made the payment first. This is the principle which the Delhi Administration has tried to follow.

SHRI A. S. SAIGAL: If so, why do you not implement it by giving priority to those who have paid the amount first?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: I think for the reasons I have already explained—those who acquired land earlier or registered as Societies earlier do, I think, in fairness deserve weightage.

SHRI A. S. SAIGAL: It seems at first the policy was to give priority on the basis of registration but afterwards it was changed but during this time those people who have paid earlier were affected.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: Not during that period, Sir.

SHRI A. T. SARMA: After all, this policy was changed.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: If I may explain, right from the beginning, the fact of registration has always figured in the Government policy in the determination of entitlement or priority. The classification of societies into categories 1, 2, 3, and 4 has reference to the date of registration as an important criterion. Therefore, this has been an integral part of policy always. For example, the societies that were registered prior to 30th November, 1959, or registered between that date and the 2nd of May, 1961. Later, in the answer in Parliament, it was envisaged that if we have to make allotments according to a phased programme, we may give priority to the date of payment. But, for the reasons which I have explained, we have come to the stage that all allotments are being made at the same time and there is no question of deferring or delaying the allotment to anybody.

SHRI A. T. SARMA: My point is whether those people who made payment before the registration of other societies were given the benefit?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: If you will see the list.....

SHRI A. T. SARMA: I want to know whether they suffered or they were given the chance.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: Actually, Sir, all of the payments were made after 1966. If you will see the list of registration of societies, you will find that all were registered prior to 1961 from 1952 to 1961.

SHRI A. T. SARMA: You are giving an indirect answer. I want to know whether there were some people who have made their payment before their Societies were registered and you did not consider those.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: I do not think that is correct. Because, all the payments, as I said, have been made after 1966, and all the societies have been registered prior to 1961.

SHRI A. T. SARMA: I want to know the position of those societies who made early payments.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: There is only one Society or one group of people who had acquired land prior to the notification.

MR. CHAIRMAN: What is the name?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: Pritnagar Society.

SHRI A. T. SARMA: May I take it for granted that those who have made payment earlier were given allotments?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: No, Sir.

SHRI A. T. SARMA: So, their claim was totally ignored?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: If I may explain, we are making allotment only to Societies which have been regis-

tered up to 1961. Now, in respect of these societies, the first demand for payment was made in 1966. The payment has been made by those societies from 1966 to 1969. But it relates only to societies that were registered prior to 1961, and amongst these, there is only one which had acquired land on its own. In respect of which, we discussed a little while ago. They had acquired land of 174 acres, and paid for it themselves. But that land has been acquired and they have been allotted 148 acres instead.

SHRI A. T. SARMA: Whether the rate of acquiring and allotting was the same or not?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: There may be a difference. When you allot, you have to take into consideration the fact that one-third of the land acquired is taken up for roads, school site and so on. You have to add the cost of that. You have to add a lot of other developmental costs. The price has been arrived at on the basis of 'no profit, no loss' consideration.

SHRI A. T. SARMA: There is another question. There were some societies, and you offered them allotment. They did not accept at that time because at that time the lands were not so attractive. But now they are claiming.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: Mr. K. L. Gupta raised the point of about 14 societies.

SHRI A. T. SARMA: I want to know whether they were refused, or you are considering their case.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: They did not respond initially. But I am told that some of them have written to us saying that "we would like to be considered."

SHRI DUA: The Societies which have now responded will be given land in some other area. The matter will be taken up by the Land Allotment Advisory Committee, and if possible, the land will be allotted to the Cooperatives.

SHRI A. T. SARMA: You have informed that 15 societies made their payments in time. There were some earlier payments also. Did you ask the Reserve Bank to give a list of those who have deposited their amounts in the Reserve Bank? My point is whether your figure was on your speculation or, it was depending on the report from the Reserve Bank.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: It was more a matter of assumption rather than a report from the Reserve Bank. I wish we had corrected this earlier.

SHRI A. T. SARMA: You have allotted lands to the Railways Society Originally, there was one allotment. Afterwards, some additional allotment was made. I want to know whether the two allotments were made simultaneously.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: At different dates.

SHRI A. T. SARMA: Again you stated that the first payment was made in time and the second payment was late by 28 days. May I know when you have allotted the second allotment?

SHRI DUA: They were given 30 days to make the payment.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: 10th March, 1968, was the last date.

SHRI A. T. SARMA: So, you have given the notice.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: One month earlier.

SHRI A. T. SARMA: In spite of the notice, they did not pay.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: For the second instalment of land.

SHRI A. T. SARMA: For the second instalment, you have given prior notice to them. The delay was due to their negligence.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: Negligence or inability, or whatever it may be.

SHRI A. T. SARMA: Any-how, it is negligence on their part.

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : आपकी पेमेंट्स की जो ड्यू डेट थी, उसके लिए कितनी सोसायटीज हैं जिन्होंने डिले की है पेमेंट करने में ?

श्री दुआ : 29 सोसायटीज ने डिले किया है।

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : आपके पास का मेरे पास जो जवाब है उसमें 35 सोसायटीज का है। मेरे कहने का मतलब यह है कि यह जो डिलेज हुए हैं, इसके बाद भी उन लोगों के केसेज आप कंसिडर कर रहे हैं या नहीं कर रहे हैं ?

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: They have all been considered. Though they have paid at a later date, these 37 societies are being allotted land because the Delhi Administration has thought that the default should be condoned and consideration should be shown to them.

श्री अमर सिंह सहगल : मैं यह जान सकता हूं कि आप उनको जमीन वहीं दे रहे हैं या दूसरी जगह दे रहे हैं ?

श्री नायक : 37 37 सोसायटीज हैं, उन सबको वहीं शाहदरा में जमीन दी जा रही है।

MR. CHAIRMAN: Ther Mr. Naik, as the Committee has to present its report to the Lok Sabha by the 30th of this month, we would request you that the information asked for and promised to be furnished by you and other colleagues of your Administration, should be sent to us within the 17th of this month.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: Shall we receive any note on the points raised? Of a few we have made some notes of our own.

SHRI CHAWLA: We will send you the proceeding.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: We shall do our best to comply with your wishes.

MR. CHAIRMAN: If possible, you may give detailed information on the changes that have been necessitated in order to implement certain things, the demands of the societies and all that. If you can give something like an essay, it will be useful to give a proper explanation to the Hon'ble Members of this Committee. Now whenever you answer a bit, it does not amount to a detailed explanation or gives the complete picture. So, if there has been any necessity for a change of policy, the terms or condition, if you can give us the details in writing that I think will be more useful and clear our impression.

SHRI P. R. NAYAK: We will do that.

(The witnesses then withdrew)

(The witness then withdrew)

The Committee then adjourned

© 1970 BY LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT

PUBLISHED UNDER RULE 382 OF THE RULES OF PROCEDURE AND CONDUCT OF BUSINESS IN LOK SABHA (FIFTH EDITION) AND PRINTED BY THE GENERAL MANAGER GOVERNMENT OF INDIA PRESS, MINTO ROAD, NEW DELHI